# BUKTI CORRESPONDING

AN ASSISTIVE TECHNOLOGY TO ENHANCE CONJECTURING ABILITIES OF SLOW LEARNERS: A MOBILE APPLICATION DESIGN

resmilitaris

← Back to Submissions

1900 / **Sutarto et al.** / An assistive technology to enhance conjecturing abilities of slow lear   Library

Workflow   **Publication**

Submission   **Review**   **Copyediting**   **Production**

Submission Files   🔍 **Search**

▶ [W] 2596 Assistive Technology.docx   Article Text

Download All Files

Pre-Review Discussions   **Add discussion**

Name	From	Last Reply	Replies	Closed
No Items				

Comments from Reviewer A

❖ **Recommendation to Editor**(Please mark "x" for appropriateoption)

( ) Excellent, accept the submission (5)

(x) Good, accept the submission with minor revisions required (4)

( ) Acceptable, revisions required (3)

( ) Resubmit for review, major revisions required (2)

( ) Decline the submission (1)

The editor will forward the section below to author/s

Evaluation (Please assign the score for each item below)

5=Excellent   4=Good   3=Average   2=Below Average   1=Poor   n/a=Not Applicable

Items	Grade
Overall evaluation on the paper	
1. Contribution to existing knowledge	4
2. Appropriate formatting and structure	4.5
3. Readability	3.0
4. Soundness of methodology	4.0
5. Evidence supports conclusion	4.5
6. Adequacy of literature review	4.5

❖ **Strengths**

The paper entitled **"AN ASSISTIVE TECHNOLOGY TO ENHANCE CONJECTURING ABILITIES OF SLOW LEARNERS: A MOBILE APPLICATION DESIGN"** is received for review and in my view the above title publication in Res Militaris .

❖ **Weaknesses**

In my view
- Review of the literature on the variables and concepts need to be more exhaustive.
- Add more literature.
- The background needs restructuring to highlight the objective of the study.
- Please check your references.

Comments from Reviewer B

❖ **Recommendation to Editor** (Please mark "x" for appropriateoption)
(x) Excellent, accept the submission (5)
( ) Good, accept the submission with minor revisions required (4)
( ) Acceptable, revisions required (3)
( ) Resubmit for review, major revisions required (2)
( ) Decline the submission (1)

The editor will forward the section below to author/s

Evaluation (Please assign the score for each item below)	
5=Excellent 4=Good 3=Average 2=Below Average 1=Poor n/a=Not Applicable	
Items	**Grade**
Overall evaluation on the paper	
1. Contribution to existing knowledge	4
2. Appropriate formatting and structure	4.5
3. Readability	3.0
4. Soundness of methodology	4.5
5. Evidence supports conclusion	4.5
6. Adequacy of literature review	4.5
❖ **Strengths**	

The paper entitled "**AN ASSISTIVE TECHNOLOGY TO ENHANCE CONJECTURING ABILITIES OF SLOW LEARNERS: A MOBILE APPLICATION DESIGN**". In my view the above title is suitable for publication in Res Militaris

❖ **Weaknesses**

In my view the authors must
a. Include the objective of the study.
b. Add more literature.
c. Proofread the work