



AN ANALYSIS OF STUDENTS' DIFFICULTIES IN CONJECTURING PROCESS OF BLOCK PAVING PROBLEMS

The International Conference on Physics and Mathematics for Biological Science 2019
Jember, Indonesia



Browser tabs: (63) Whats, Res Militari, Active Sub, The 2nd Int, https://ico, ETNOMATI, Informatio, LoA of ICO

mail.google.com/mail/u/1/#search/ICOPAMB/FMfcgxDqTcHQdRXVDPsRqfvzPpzmxfm

ICOPAMB

Active

Google

20 of 24

LoA of ICOPAMBS 2019 External Inbox x

International Conference Physics & Math Bio Science <icopambs.fkip@unej.ac.id> Mon, Jul 29, 2019, 12:10 PM

to me, d.dafik, intandwihastuti88, caksurahmat

Dear Authors,

Congratulations!, Based on the recommendations of the reviewers and the Program Committee, we are pleased to inform you that your paper identified above has been accepted for oral presentation. You are cordially invited to present the paper orally at ICOPAMBS 2019 which will be held on 31 August to 1 September, 2019 in FKIP-University of Jember Jember, East Java Indonesia.

LOA (Letter of Acceptance) already sent to your email. please check your inbox / spam / web. Now you are able to pay the registration fee. Please send the proof of payment to the right side menu of ICOPAMBS 2019 in website icopambs.fkip.unej.ac.id (Payment Confirmation)

We are looking forward to seeing you in our conference.

https://mail.google.com/mail/u/1?ui=2&ik=8a6df3c934&attid=0.1&permmsgid=msg-f:1640364426104718235&th=16c3bec12828c39b&view=att&disp=inline&realattid=f_jynviwb30

LoA-ICOPAMBS 20....pdf ICOPAMBS INTAN.docx INTAN_ICOPAMBS_....rar Review_ICOPAMBS_....rar Show all

88°F Cloudy 1:09 PM 10/8/2022



ICOPAMBS 2019

The International Conference on
Physics and Mathematics for Biological Science
FKIP- University of Jember

REVIEW FORM CONTENT

Paper ID : paper 18

Paper Title : An Analysis Of Students' Difficulties In Conjecturing Process Of Block Paving Problems

	Clear	Partially	Not Clear
----- The title reflects the content and purpose of the research	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
----- The abstract contains summarize of the paper content	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
----- The introduction clearly explains state of the art of research	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
----- The novelty is clearly defined	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
----- The purpose and objective of the work are clearly stated	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
----- The methodology is clearly described	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
----- The data are well presented	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
----- The results are well discussed based on references	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
----- The conclusion answered the problem in the research	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
----- The references are relevant and based on recent journals	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
----- Are the suggestions meaningful, valid, and based on the findings?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
----- Are the references adequate?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
----- Is cohesion achieved throughout the article?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
----- Is the work contributing to the field?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Evaluation:

- The article can be published as it is.
- The article can be published after some minor revision.
- The article must undergo a major revision before it can be resubmitted to the journal.
- The article cannot be published.



ICOPAMBS 2019

The International Conference on
Physics and Mathematics for Biological Science
FKIP- University of Jember

REFEREE REPORT

Title	The title reflects the content and purpose of the research but it is still not to the point.
Abstract	The result in abstract is not clear
Introduction	use the number of citation on references when you cited in introduction. all citation have to be on introduction. The novelty is not clearly defined.
Methodology	-
Result and Discussion	The results are not well discussed based on references The discussion has not the impact of the problem and its solution There is not suggestion based on findings The table and figure must be explained clearly and added some analysis.
Conclusion	The conclusion is not clear.
References	There are some references which is not cited in introduction. The references are not relevant and not based on recent journals



ICOPAMBS 2019

The International Conference on
Physics and Mathematics for Biological Science
FKIP- University of Jember

REVIEW FORM ARTICLE FORMAT

Paper ID : ICOPAMBS_2019_Paper_18

Paper Title : An Analysis Of Students' Difficulties In Conjecturing Process Of Block Paving Problems

	Clear	Partially	Not Clear
Title and Abstract	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Abstract	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Introduction	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Research Methods	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Result and Discussion	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Acknowledgment	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Structure of References	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Citation of all References	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Table, Figures, and Formula	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

REFEREE REPORT

Title	Please use JOP (Journal of Physics) Format
Abstract	Please use JOP (Journal of Physics) Format
Introduction	Please use JOP (Journal of Physics) Format
Research Methods	Please use JOP (Journal of Physics) Format
Conclusion	Please use JOP (Journal of Physics) Format
Acknowledgment	Please use JOP (Journal of Physics) Format
Structure of References	Please use JOP (Journal of Physics) Format
Citation of all References	Please use JOP (Journal of Physics) Format
Table, Figures, and Formula	Please use JOP (Journal of Physics) Format



ICOPAMBS 2019

The International Conference on Physics and Mathematics for Biological Science FKIP- University of Jember

LANGUAGE REVIEW FORM

Paper ID : paper 18

Paper Title : An Analysis Of Students' Difficulties In Conjecturing Process
Of Block Paving Problems

Rate the paper based on these following details.

	Yes	Partially	No
Has the paper showcased effective sentence formation?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Have the sentences in this paper used correct tenses?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Has this paper showcased appropriate use of word choices?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Has this paper showcased appropriate use of discourse markers?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Have the sentences in this paper utilized proper punctuation?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Has this paper showcased smooth transitions of ideas from the beginning to the ending?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Has this paper been grammatically accurate?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Has this sentence showcased appropriate use of words or word forms?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Do the lexical and grammatical features create clear meanings?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the language clear and understandable?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Additional Evaluation and Additional Comments:

(Please mention the paper's strength and weaknesses with regards to the language elements of it. Please also make constructive suggestions to address the weaknesses).

This is a quite well written paper in terms of language. The messages are well delivered. The word choices, although in some sense still sound Indonesian-English, make it easier for readers to understand. However, most sentences in the manuscript are written in incorrect tense. There are also quite many grammatical inaccuracies in this paper such as incorrect word order which happen quite often which may obstruct the meaning in some way. You also need to mind the way you translate the excerpts. I have highlighted some points you need to take care of, and please re-check the whole manuscript for similar issues you need to address. Please revise accordingly to improve the quality of your paper.



ICOPAMBS 2019

The International Conference on Physics and Mathematics for Biological Science FKIP- University of Jember

Number : 01/UN25.3/FKIP/ICOPAMBS/I/2019
Subject : ICOPAMBS Publication

18th November 2019

Paper ID : 18

**Paper Title : An Analysis Of Students' Difficulties In Conjecturing Process
Of Block Paving Problems**

Dear Authors,

Thank you for participating in the International Conference on Physics and Mathematics for Biological Science 2019. I am very grateful to say that the conference has been successfully held. Following your paper which you have submitted to the ICOPAMBS 2019 and also based on the review result of your paper, I am pleased to inform you that your paper is potentially to be published in the **JPCS (Journal of Physics Conference Series) Indexed by Scopus Q-3**, with the following conditions.

1. Please kindly revise your paper based on the feedback given by the reviewer as attached in the email.
2. Please follow the guideline of **JOP (Journal of Physics) Template**,. The revised paper together with relevant files should be **compressed into one file** with the following name: AUTHORNAME_ICOPAMBS_2019_PAPERID. It should be resubmitted to the committee by no longer than November 22th 2019 by emailing the organizing committee (icopambs.fkip@unej.ac.id) and (ermitara@unej.ac.id)
3. Please kindly make a payment for the publication fee, each paper will be charged IDR 1.750.000 for author. Payment shall be made before November 22th, 2019 to the following details.

Bank name : BNI Syariah Jember

Account name : PANITIA ICOPAMBS 2019

Account number : 8882112882

Address : BNI Syariah Cabang Jember, Jember, Indonesia

Should you have any problem or enquiry, please do not hesitate to contact us

After making payment, please notify us by sending the payment record to secretariat email (icopambs.fkip@unej.ac.id) and by whatsapp to 085746158567 (Lioni Anka Monalisa).

Note: Please do your best to revise your paper to meet the publication standard, as rejection from journal publication will not make your money back, but you are still entitled to publish your paper our journal.

ICOPAMBS Committe

Signed

Ermita R A, S.Pd, M.Si

Chairperson for ICOPAMBS 2019


Dr. Dwi Wahyuni, M.Kes

An Analysis Of Students' Difficulties In Conjecturing Process Of Block Paving Problems

[REDACTED]

Type the [REDACTED]

Abstract. This study aims to describe students' difficulties in the conjecturing process of block paving problems. The subjects used in study were 32 of 8th grade students of Junior High School. The data were collected using Block Paving Problems (BPP) and interviews. Data collection procedures consists of two stages. The first stage, the researchers had the student solved BPP while think alouds. The second stage is task-based interview to explore information was needed to confirm from the results of think alouds. The result of study reveals that 1) difficulty in interpreting the pattern of n, 2) The difficulty in finding and predicting the next pattern based on regularity,, 3) The difficulty in finding and predicting the next pattern 4) difficulty in Forming Pattern of n

Keywords: students' difficulties; conjecturing process; Block Paving Problems 

1. INTRODUCTION

Basically the process of learning mathematics is closely related to the formation and use of plying / reasoning abilities. Students will readily accept or process information science if there is a scheme of thinking, so that when they are faced with a mathematical problem, it is easy to place, manage, arrange and use a logical flow. Peretz in his study states that what students first need in the process of reasoning is to feel "need" to reason, to develop their reasoning, or habitual thinking [1, 27].

One way to develop students' reasoning abilities is through conjecturing. One standard of reasoning ranging from pre-kindergarten to high school is to create and investigate mathematical conjectures [2]. Creating and investigating mathematical conjecture is a conjecturing process.

The process of conjecturing is a mental activity in building conjectures based on the knowledge already possessed [8]. Mental activity in constructing conjectures is a process that occurs in the mind (thought process) that appears through students' behavior in problem solving. [9] states that problem solving and conjecturing are an important and interconnected part of mathematical activity. It further

explained that problem solving involves the invention, **conjecturing** is the main route to the invention [2].

Research on the process of conjecturing in problem solving has been widely practiced ([9], [10], [11], [6], [26]). Cañadas, et al., (2007) describes the familiar types and stages of conjecturing in mathematics education. In solving the problem of open classical analogy through conjecturing, students seek perceptual, transitional, and relational similarities [10]. **Designing tasks involving elementary students in Conjecturing activities and proving** [11]

The process of conjecturing in problem solving generalization patterns consists of local and global conjecturing processes. The global process of conjecturing in solving the problem of pattern generalization takes place at the stage of action, where students observe and organize cases as a whole to build conjecture [7,8]. While the local conjecturing process in solving the problem of pattern generalization occurs at the stage of action, where students observe and organize cases separately as a basis for constructing conjectures. Among these studies, **it** has not revealed the difficulties experienced by students in the conjecturing process.

Generalizing patterns is an important aspect of mathematics in each topic and is something that is highlighted in teaching, almost at all levels [3,4,5]. Generalizing patterns is the activity of generating general rules based on specific examples ([6], [7]), whereas according to [4] generalizations should be at the core of mathematical activities in schools. **In generalization is inseparable from pattern analysis.**

Patterns are ideas that underlie mathematical thinking. According to [15] pattern analysis, describing patterns, and their properties **is** one of the goals of mathematics. [5] states almost all mathematics is based on patterns and structures. One of the basic competencies of SMP / MTs mathematics is to understand patterns and use them to guess and make generalizations or conclusions [16].

Generalization in school math **can not** ignore the child's psychology of children or students generalizing in their ways. [17] states that children not only use notations / symbols but also must present and give mathematical reasons, make conclusions and generalizations in their own way. [2] describes one of the standards that should be studied and mastered by students of grade 6 to grade 8 is to understand patterns, relationships, and functions. **In understanding the pattern of students are required to represent, analyze, and generalize the pattern with tables, graphs, diagrams, symbols and others.**

[18] mentions that mathematical patterns can be described as predictable regularities, usually involving numerical, spatial, or logical relationships. [19] states that patterns can be graphic, numeric, verbal and algebraic. Furthermore, this study uses a graphic-shaped pattern (pictorial), because the pictorial pattern allows one to observe in different ways [6]

Associated with patterns, many mathematicians claim that mathematics is called "the science of pattern" [20, 21, 27]. This perspective highlights the existence of patterns in all areas of mathematics. In particular, the pattern is seen by some researchers as a way of approaching algebra because it is a fundamental step to build a generalization which is the essence of mathematics [22]).

Furthermore, [23] explains three types of generalizations of patterns, namely (1) factual generalization, (2) contextual generalization, and (3) symbolic generalizations. The type of factual generalization is a **genera-lisas** type based on known facts. The factual trait affirms the idea that this generalization takes place at a basic level of generalization in which the **universe of speech** does not go beyond a particular sequence or image, such as a figure to 1000, an image to 1000000, and so on. **The type of factual generalization at the level of action (action) numerically** and allows students to cope with certain cases. A contextual generalization type is a type of generalization based on a problem context and limited to a particular object. A symbolic generalization type is a type of generalization associated with an algebraic object or symbol that is not limited to a particular object.

The type of generalization developed by Radford, implicitly illustrates that there are children who experience great-natures. For example a child who does a factual generalization actually has difficulty to contextually or symbolically generalize. **So** it is important to understand more about the difficulties experienced by students in generalizing the pattern.

For a teacher, it is necessary to know the difficulties experienced by students in the learning process. Difficulties can be seen from the mistakes made in solving the problem on the given problem. The search for errors is an effort to be done to improve the learning achievement of learners' mathematics. Problems that are not immediately addressed will result in a lack of student understanding on later higher mathematical topics. This resulted in the accumulation of student disability because of the complexity of his problems in mastering mathematical concepts.

Difficulties are often reflected errors in problems solving [12.]. Of the 62 students who did the proses conjecturing in solving the problem of generalization pattern only 43.5% of students successfully completed, while 56.5% of students did not succeed. [13] The failure of the students to solve the problem indicates the difficulties experienced by the students in solving the problem.

Students who have difficulty in the process of conjecturing, need more attention, because if not immediately addressed, it will impact on other problems. To overcome student difficulties, it is necessary to trace the source of the error. In this study, it can be done with cognitive map (cognitive map). [12] explaining that the cognitive map shows the direction of thinking in such a way that can be as a guide to go further. The cognitive map differs from the concept map. The concept map shows the concept hierarchy relationship, while the cognitive map describes one's thinking flow in constructing or solving problems. Therefore, the cognitive map does not indicate hierarchy, but rather describes the connection between knowledge, problems, procedures, and concepts of thought processes. Student difficulties in generalizing patterns in the process of conjecturing students can be photographed using cognitive maps and can be traced the location of the error

. METHODOLOGY

Subjects involved in this study were 35 students of grade VIII from **MTS Surya Buana Malang** and student of **Junior High School 1 Ponorogo**. The data were collected using Block Paving Problem (BPP) and interviews. Data collection procedures **consists** of two stages. **The first stage**, the researchers had the **student** solved BPP **while think** alouds. The second stage **is** task-based interview to explore information **was needed** to confirm from the results of think alouds.

This research **is** a qualitative research embranced an explorative descriptive approach. Data analysis were conducted by; (1) transcribing data obtained from interviews and think aloud, (2) condensing data, (3) data encoding, (4) describing students' difficulties in conjecturing process, and (5) conclusions. The BPP is presented in Figure 1.





Figure 1. Paving Block Problem (BPP)

. **RESULT AND DISCUSSION**

This section **will** describe the students' difficulties in the conjecturing process based on the stages of the conjecturing process undertaken by each subject. Stages of the conjecturing process consist of observing cases, organizing cases, searching and predicting patterns, formulating conjectures, validating conjectures, generalizing conjectures and justifying conjectures.

The thirty-two (32) subjects used in this study were low-ability students and could not complete BPPs provided. Of these 32, **all perform the stages of observing the case and having difficulty 7, in organizing the case of 17 subjects performing and 11 experiencing difficulties, at the stage of searching and predicting patterns 12 do and having difficulty 7, in the stage of formulating conjecture 12 people do and who had difficulty 8 people, in the stage of validating the conjecture 7 people did and no one had difficulty. Being in the stage of generalizing and justifying the conjecture no one does.**

Because of difficulty in formulating conjecture. The following data of students who have difficulty are presented in the following table.

 **Table 1.** Data Subject Having Difficulty

Stage of Conjecturing Process	Number of subjects who carry it out	Number of Subjects are Having Difficulty
Observe the case	32	7
Organize the Case	19	11
Finding and Predicting Patterns	12	7
Formulating Conjecture	7	7
Validating Conjecture	7	-
Generalizing conjecture	-	-
Justify conjecture	-	-

The results of data analysis from 32 subjects shows obtained difficulties experienced by students in the conjecturing process is as follows

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

1. Difficulty to interpret the n pattern

Observing the case, the subject S1 performs the activities in understanding BPP by calculating the 1st pattern of 1, the 2nd pattern is 4, the 3rd pattern is 9 and understanding the BPP question. Understanding the question, the subject S1 has difficulty in interpreting the question in determining plenty of paving blocks on the pattern of the N. the difficulty is seen at the time of S1 mention the pattern of the n-pattern of how ? The following S1 subject statement is based on the excerpt of think alouds data.

S1: 1st black pattern 1, 2nd pattern black 2 white 2, 3rd pattern black 3 white 6. Determine the number of black and white paving blocks on the nth pattern. The pattern of the n, the pattern to how ya? What is the 10th pattern, the 25th pattern

After understanding the BPP subject S1 continues to count the number of black and white squares on each pattern. Due to difficulties in understanding the question on the nth subject pattern, the subject stops to complete the BPP. Here are excerpts of interviews of researchers and subject S1.

P : Brother, while you think aloud do you mentioned the pattern of n, the number of pattern ya? What does he mean brother

S1 : I'm still confused the pattern of N, and at what number is it sir.

P : I confusd what does it ment?

S1 : I am confused sir, the nth pattern is whether it is the 10th pattern or the 25th pattern

P : oo... so why do not you continue solving the problem.

S1 : I am confused to get it done. Sorry

The difficulty in interpreting the N pattern is seen in the subject S2 which states that the N pattern is the 14th pattern, since $a = 1$, $b = 2$, $c = 3$, ... $n = 14$. Here are the results of the S2 work in understanding the pattern of the n.




Figure 4. The Worked of S4

4. Difficulty in Forming Pattern of n

The stage of formulating n pattern, the subject conjecture S5 is difficult. It is seen when the S5 subject understands certain patterns, such as the 6th pattern of the number of white paving block 6 white 30, the 10th pattern of the black 10 white paving block 90. The S5 subject has difficulty in formulating the general shape or the nth pattern. The following is an excerpt of a transcript of think aloud and the work of S2 in formulating the n pattern

P : How does the you get this one (pointing to student work)

S : Oh, look, sir. For example the 6th image, black must be in order of pattern. While the white is obtained from $6 \times 6 - 6 = 30$. So it is also for other patterns sir.

P : So, if the pattern is at n?

S : The n pattern is just like this, sir, if the 20th pattern means black 20, white $20 \times 20 - 20 = 380$, if the n pattern, I can not do it sir.

	H	P	
pola ke 1	= 1	0	= 1
2	= 2	2	= 4
3	= 3	6	= 9
4	= 4	12	= 16
6	= 6	30	= 36
10	= 10	90	= 100




Figure 5. The Worked of S5

The difficulty in formulating the nth pattern is also seen in the S6 subject. The subject understands that the number of black and white paving is $n \times n$, but has difficulty in formulating the nth pattern to find out the respective amounts of black and white paving. The following are excerpts from the interview

result of the researcher and the S6 subject and the result of the S6 subject in formulating the n-th pattern.




Figure 6. The worked of S₆

CONCLUSION

Based on the results and discussion of the study that the difficulties experienced by students in the process of conjecturing are outlined here: 1) difficulty in interpreting the pattern of n, 2) difficulty in finding the regularity of the pattern, 3) The difficulty in finding and predicting the next pattern based on regularity, 4) Difficulty in Forming Pattern of n. For further research, it is suggested to do research that may help the difficult students in conjecturing process. The natural condition of the students should be overcome by doing research on scaffolding to help students overcome difficulties in the conjecturing process.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

My deepest thanks goes to the Directorate of Research and Community Service (DRPM) Ristekdikti for the assistance provided in post-doctoral research grants.

REFERENCES

- [1] Peretz, D. 2006. Enhancing Reasoning Attitudes of Prospective Elementary School Mathematics Teachers. *Journal of Mathematics Teacher Education* (2006) 9:381-40.
- [2] Nasional Council of Teacher of Mathematics. (2000). *Principles and standards for school mathematics*. Reston, VA: NCTM.
- [3] Dindyal, J. (2007). High school students' use of patterns and generalisations. In J. Watson & K. Beswick (Eds), *Proceedings of the 30th annual conference of the Mathematics Education Research Group of Australasia* (pp. 236-245). Hobart, Tasmania, Australia: MERGA Inc. Retrieved from <http://www.merga.net.au/documents/RP182007.pdf>
- [4] Küchemann, D. (2010). Using patterns generically to see structure. *Pedagogies: An International Journal*, 5(3), 233-250. doi: 10.1080/1554480X.2010.486147
- [5] Mullingan, J.T., Mitchelmore, M.C., English, L.D., & Robertson, G. (2011). Implementing a Pattern and Structure Mathematics Awareness Program (PASMAT) In Kindegarden. In L. Sparrow, B. Kissane, & C. Hurst (Eds.) *Shaping the Future of Mathematics Education. Proceedings of the 33rd Annual Conference of the Mathematics Education Research Group of Australasia* (pp 797-804). Retrieved from <http://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/ED521029.pdf>
- [6] Sutarto, T. N., & Subanji, S. (2016). Local conjecturing process in the solving of pattern generalization problem. *Educational Research and Reviews*, 11(8), 732.
- [7] Nusantara, T., & Hastuti, I. D. (2018, April). Global conjecturing process in pattern generalization problem. In *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* (Vol. 1008, No. 1, p. 012060). IOP Publishing.
- [8] Sutarto Toto, N., & Subanji, S. (2015). Indicator of conjecturing process in a problem solving of the pattern generalization. In *Proceeding International Conference on Educational Research*

and Development (ICERD), UNESA Surabaya (pp. 32-45).

- [9] Cañadas, M. C., Deulofeu, J., Figueiras, L., Reid, D. A., & Yevdokimov, O. (2007). The conjecturing process: Perspectives in theory and implications in practice. *Journal of Teaching and Learning*, 5(1), 55-72. Retrieved from <http://www.acadiau.ca/~dreid/RIDGE/publications/Canades-2007-JTL.pdf>
- [10] Lee, K. H., & Sriraman, B. (2010). Conjecturing via reconceived classical analogy. *Educational Studies in Mathematics*, 76(2), 123-140. doi 10.1007/s10649-010-9274-1
- [11] Lin, F. L. (2006). Designing mathematics conjecturing activities to foster thinking and constructing actively. APEC-TSUKUBA International Conference, Tsukuba, Japan. Retrieved from [w.criced.tsukuba.ac.jp/math/apec/apec2007/paper_pdf/Fou%20Lai%20Lin.pdf](http://www.criced.tsukuba.ac.jp/math/apec/apec2007/paper_pdf/Fou%20Lai%20Lin.pdf)
- [12] Subanji & Nusantara. 2013. Karakterisasi kesalahan berpikir siswa dalam mengonstruksi konsep matematika. *Jurnal Ilmu Pendidikan*. Vol 19, No 2 (2013)
- [13] Sutarto, Nusantara, T., Subanji, & Sisworo. (2014) Identifikasi Kesulitan Siswa Dalam Membangun Konjektur Pada Pemecahan Masalah Matematika. Makalah disajikan dalam seminar Nasional Pendidikan, UTY, Yogyakarta, 21 Juni.
- [14] Sutarto & Hastuti, ID. (2015). Conjecturing Dalam Pemecahan Masalah Generalisasi Pola. *Jurnal Ilmiah Mandala Education*. 1(2), pp. 172-178
- [15] Vogel, R. 2005. Patterns: A Fundamental Idea of Mathematical Thinking and Learning. *ZDM*, 37 (5).
- [16] Permendikbud RI No. 68 Tahun 2013 tentang Kerangka Dasar Dan Struktur Kurikulum Sekolah Menengah Pertama/Madrasah Tsanawiyah. Layanan Hukum dan Organisasi Kementerian Pendidikan dan Kebudayaan (Online), (<http://jdih.kemdikbud.go.id/diknasrokum/index.php/peraturan-perundangan>)
- [17] Caraher, D.W., Martinez, M.V., & Schielmann, A.D. 2008. Early Algebra and Mathematical Generalization. *ZDM Mathematics Education*. 40: 3-22.
- [18] Mulligan, J. & Mitchelmore, M. 2009. Awareness of Pattern and Structure in Early Mathematical Development. *Mathematics Education Research Journal*, 21(2): 33-49
- [19] Janvier, C. 1987. Translation Processes in Mathematics Education. In C. Janvier (Ed.), *Problems Of Representation in The Teaching and Learning Of Mathematics*. Hillsdale, New Jersey:LEA. pp. 27-32
- [20] Resnik, M.D. 2005. *Mathematics as a Science Of Mathematics*. Oxford: University Press
- [21] Tikekar, V.G. 2009. Deceptive Patterns in Mathematics. *International Journal of Mathematical Science Education*, 2(1): 13-21
- [22] Zazkis, R., & Liljedahl, P. (2002). Generalization of patterns: the tension between algebraic thinking and algebraic notation. *Educational Studies in Mathematics*, 49, 379-402.
- [23] Radford, L. 2003. Gestures, Speech, and the Sprouting of Signs: A Semiotic-Cultural Approach to Students' Types of Generalization. *Mathematical Thinking And Learning*, 5(1), 37-70.
- [24] Barbosa, A. Palhares, P. & Vale, I. 2007. Patterns and Generalization: The Influence of Visual Strategies. *Proceedings of the Fifth Congress of the European Society for Research in Mathematics Education*, 2007: 844851.
- [25] Bieda, K. N., Drwencke, J., & Picard, A. 2014. Reasoning and Proving Opportunities in Elementary Mathematics Textbooks. *International Journal of Educational Research*, 64: 71-80.
- [26] Cañadas, M. C. & Castro, E. (2005). A proposal of categorisation for analysing inductive reasoning. In M. Bosch (Ed.), *Proceedings of the CERME 4 International Conference* (pp. 401-408). Sant Feliu de Guíxols, Spain. Retrieved from http://www.mathematik.uni-dortmund.de/~erme/CERME4/CERME4_WG4.pdf
- [27] Yerushalmy, M. (1993). Generalization, induction, and conjecturing: a theoretical perspective. In Schwartz, J.L., Yerushalmy, M., & Wilson, B. *The geometric supposer: what is it a case of?*. Hillsdale, NJ Lawrence Erlbaum Associates



Ministry of Research, Technology and Higher Education
University of Jember
Faculty of Teacher Training and Education
Department of Mathematics and Natural Science Education

Certificate

ICOPAMBS 2019

We acknowledge that

Sutarto
IKIP Mataram

has participated as a

Presenter

**In The International Conference on Physics and Mathematics
for Biological Science
entitled**

An Analysis of Students' Difficulties in Conjecturing Process of Block Paving Problems

University of Jember, 2019

31st August – 1st September 2019



Prof. Drs. Dafik, M.Sc., Ph.D.

