



International Journal of Instruction

International Journal
of Instruction



e-ISSN: 1308-1470
p-ISSN: 1694-600X



(/)



You are here: / e-iji.net - Home Page (/) / Editorial Board

Search ...

Editorial Board (/editorial-board)

Hits: 105005



Publisher

Gate Association for Teaching and Education (GATE) (<http://www.gateacademy.ch>)

Editor in Chief

Prof. Asim ARI (/editorial-board/104-dergiler/301-prof-
asim-ari)*Eskişehir Osmangazi University, TURKEY*

Assistant Editors

Dr. Kerim SARIGÜL (<http://kerimsarigul.com/hakkimda>)*Gazi University*

Editorial Assistant

Dr. Rza MAMMADOV

Dr. Eren AKDAĞ KURNAZ

Dumlupınar University, TURKEY

Editors

Prof. Yousif A. ALSHUMAIMERI

<https://fac.ksu.edu.sa/yousif/cv>

King Saud University, SAUDI ARABIA

Prof. Luis E. ANIDO RIFÓN

https://gist.det.uvigo.es/~lanido/index_en.htm*University of Vigo, SPAIN*

Prof. Trevor BOND

<https://research.jcu.edu.au/portfolio/trevor.bond/>*James Cook University, AUSTRALIA*Prof. Bronwen COWIE (<https://www.waikato.ac.nz/staff-profiles/people/bcowie>)*University of Waikato, NEW ZEALAND*Prof. Do COYLE (<https://www.ed.ac.uk/profile/do-coyle>)*The University of Edinburgh, UNITED KINGDOM*

Managing Editor

Dr. Gökhan KAYIR

Technical Assistant

Dr. Zehra Sümeyye ERTEM

Dr. Özgür SİREM

MEB, TURKEY

Assoc. Prof. Sheng-Wen HSIEH

<http://onyx.feu.edu.tw/eng/index.asp>*Far East University, TAIWAN*Prof. Jennifer L. JOLLY (<https://getdo.ua/leadership.html>)*The University of Alabama, USA*Prof. Piet KOMMERS (<http://www.pietk.com>)*University of Twente, NETHERLANDS*Prof. Christoph RANDLER (<https://uni-tuebingen.de/it/fakultaeten/mathematisch-fakultaet/fachbereiche/biologie/institute/biologie/personen/prof-dr-christoph-rand>)*University of Tübinge, GERMANY*Prof. Elsebeth Korsgaard SORENSEN (<https://vbn.aau.dk/en/persons/103878>)*University of Aarhus, DENMARK*

E-IJI.NET

- Editorial Board (/editorial-b)
- Advisory Board (/advisory-review-board)
- Abstracting / Indexing (/abstracting-indexing)
- Author Guidelines (/author-guidelines)
- Manuscript Template (/dosyalar/iji_model.doc)
- Notes to Contributors (/not-contributes)
- Notes to Editorials (/notes-editorials)
- Open Access Policy (/oper-access-policy)
- Publication Ethics & Malpractice Statement (/publication-etmalpractice-statement)
- Submit Your Article (/article-submission)

ARTICLE STATISTIC

Article Submitted: 7803
Article Published: 1046



e-ISSN: 1308-1470
 p-ISSN: 1694-609x



<http://www.gateacademy.ch>
 Gate Association
 for Teaching and Education
<http://www.gateacademy.ch>



International Journal of Instruction is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License

[HOMEPAGE \(/\)](#) [ABOUT US \(/ABOUT-US\)](#) [VOLUMES \(/VOLUMES\)](#) [CONTACT \(/CONTACT\)](#)



(/)



You are here: / e-iji.net - Home Page

Search ...

April 2021, Volume 14, Number 2 (/volumes/365-april-2021,-volume-14,-number-2)

Contents .pdf (/dosyalar/iji_2021_2_contents.pdf)

- Switzerland Case as an Example of Success in Multicultural Education: From the Editor (/dosyalar/iji_2021_2_0.pdf)
Asim Ari, Andreas Stöckli
- Attitudes and Work Expectations of University Students towards Disability: Implementation of a Training Programme (/dosyalar/iji_2021_2_1.pdf)
Montserrat Roca-Hurtuna, Gabriel Martínez-Rico, Roberto Sanz, Mario Alguacil
- The Effect of Problem Based Learning on Problem Solving and Scientific Writing Skills (/dosyalar/iji_2021_2_2.pdf)
Yuli Ifana Sari, Sumarmi, Dwiyono Hari Utomo, I Komang Astina
- Improving Algorhythmic Teaching-Learning Environment by Asking Questions (/dosyalar/iji_2021_2_3.pdf)
Zoltán Kátai, Erika Osztián
- Special Aspects of Effective PR Professionals' Training in the Post-Truth Era (/dosyalar/iji_2021_2_4.pdf)
Andrii Kyrchok
- Cyber Aggression between Intentions and Cyber Wellness of Students: An application of TPB Models (/dosyalar/iji_2021_2_5.pdf)
Mardianto, Fattah Hanurawan, Tutut Chusniyah, Hetti Rahmawati, Fanny Dameaty Hutagalung
- A Model of Lecturer Performance Evaluation: Sustainable Lecturer Performance Mapping (/dosyalar/iji_2021_2_6.pdf)
Tri Hartiti Retnowati, Djemari Mardapi, Badrun Kartowagiran, Sukrul Hamdi
- Beliefs about Intercultural Communicative Competence: The Development and Validation of a New Instrument (/dosyalar/iji_2021_2_7.pdf)
Zerde Duisembekova
- Implementation of an Inquiry Learning Model with Science Literacy to Improve Student Critical Thinking Skills (/dosyalar/iji_2021_2_8.pdf)
Ani Sutiani, Manihar Situmorang, Albinus Silalahi
- The Relationship between Blended Mathematics Professional Training and Teachers' Creativity and Effectiveness (/dosyalar/iji_2021_2_9.pdf)
Sri Suryanti, Yudhi Arifani
- Oral Communication Apprehension among Japanese EFL International Students in a Language Immersion Program in Malaysia (/dosyalar/iji_2021_2_10.pdf)
Christine Mary Jalleh, Omer Hassan Ali Mahfoodh, Manjet Kaur Mehar Singh
- Character Thematic Education Game "AK@R" of Society Themes for Children with Malang-Indonesian Visualize (/dosyalar/iji_2021_2_11.pdf)
Andy Pramono, Pujiyanto, Betty Dewi Puspasari, Nadhifa Salmatia Dhanti
- Effect of Child Literature Based Integrative Instructional Program on Promoting 7th Graders Writing Skills: An Empirical Study (/dosyalar/iji_2021_2_12.pdf)
Sara El-Freihat, Abeer Al-Shheil
- Problem-Based Learning with Character-Emphasis and Naturalist Intelligence: Examining Students Critical Thinking and Curiosity (/dosyalar/iji_2021_2_13.pdf)
Suhirman, Saiful Prayogi, Muhammad Asy'ari
- Backward Instructional Design based Learning Activities to Developing Students' Creative Thinking with Lateral Thinking Technique (/dosyalar/iji_2021_2_14.pdf)
Wandee Srikongchan, Sittichai Kaewkuekool, Sopon Mejealeurn
- The Impact of Fix Up Strategy on Indonesian EFL Learners' Reading Comprehension (/dosyalar/iji_2021_2_15.pdf)
Mohammad Muhassin, Jihan Annisa, Dewi Ayu Hidayati
- Professional Readiness and Efficiency of Future Physical Education Teachers (/dosyalar/iji_2021_2_16.pdf)
Tulegen Botagariyev, Zura Khakimova, Joseph Andrushchishin, Aiyngul Akhmetova, Saniay Konisbaeva
- The Effect of the Scientific Approach-Based Learning on Problem Solving Skills in Early Childhood: Preliminary Study (/dosyalar/iji_2021_2_17.pdf)
Een Yayah Haenilah, Hermi Yanzi, Rizky Drupadi
- Assessment of the Role of a Private Tutor in Individual Educational Approach of a University Applicant (/dosyalar/iji_2021_2_18.pdf)
Denis Bogomolov, Alexandr Grinev, Iza Berechikidze, Svetlana Larina, Tatyana Degtyarevskaya
- Reflective Teaching Perceived and Practiced by EFL Teachers - A Case in the South of Vietnam (/dosyalar/iji_2021_2_19.pdf)
Do Minh Hung, Pham Trut Thuy
- The Relationship between Personality, Attitude, and Organizational Citizenship Behavior of Senior High School Teachers in Indonesia (/dosyalar/iji_2021_2_20.pdf)
Muhammad Alwi, Bambang Budi Wiyono, Ibrahim Bafadal, Ali Imron
- Value-Based Digital Storytelling Learning Media to Foster Student Character (/dosyalar/iji_2021_2_21.pdf)
Didin Saripudin, Kokom Komalasari, Diana Noor Anggraini
- Exploring Crucial Factors of an Interest in STEM Career Model among Secondary School Students (/dosyalar/iji_2021_2_22.pdf)
Fazilah Razali
- Information Seeking Behaviour and Personality Traits in Secondary-school Students (/dosyalar/iji_2021_2_23.pdf)
Sukan Charoenkul, Sumalee Chanchalor
- Problem-Based Learning Remodelling Using Islamic Values Integration and Sociological Research in Madrasas (/dosyalar/iji_2021_2_24.pdf)
Isa Anshori
- The Impact of Collaborative Learning on Learners' Critical Thinking Skills (/dosyalar/iji_2021_2_25.pdf)
Idi Warsah, Ruly Morganna, Muhamad Uyun, Hamengkubuwono, Muslim Afandi
- The Compatibility of Developed Mathematics Textbook Content in Saudi Arabia with NCTM Standards: A Critical Review (/dosyalar/iji_2021_2_26.pdf)

E-IJI.NET

- Editorial Board (/editorial-b)
- Advisory Board (/advisory-review-board)
- Abstracting / Indexing (/abstracting-indexing)
- Author Guidelines (/author-guidelines)
- Manuscript Template (/dosyalar/iji_model.doc)
- Notes to Contributors (/not-contributes)
- Notes to Editorials (/notes-editorials)
- Open Access Policy (/open-access-policy)
- Publication Ethics & Malpractice Statement (/publication-eth-malpractice-statement)
- Submit Your Article (/article-submission)

ARTICLE STATISTIC

Article Submitted: 7803
 Article Published: 1046



e-ISSN: 1308-1470
 p-ISSN: 1694-609x



(<http://www.gateac.org>)

Gate Association
 Teaching and Education
 (<http://www.gateac.org>)

Mansour Saleh Alabdulaziz, Steve Higgins

Big Five Personality Test for State Islamic Senior High School Students in Indonesia (/dosyalar/iji_2021_2_27.pdf)

Abdul Muhid, Ali Ridho, Ahmad Yusuf, Noor Wahyudi, Zakiyatul Ulya, Ahmad Hanif Asyhar

Investigating the Problems of Teaching Oral English Communication Skills in an EFL context at the Tertiary Level (/dosyalar/iji_2021_2_28.pdf)

Prodhan Mahbub Ibna Seraj, Hadina Habil, Md. Kamrul Hasan

Development of an Instructional Design Model for Physical Chemistry based on Multiple Representatives (/dosyalar/iji_2021_2_29.pdf)

Wilda Syahri, Muhaimin, Syamsurizal, Muhammad Rusdi

English as a Foreign Language: Listening to Students and Teachers, a Case Study in LAO PDR (/dosyalar/iji_2021_2_30.pdf)

Mar Gutiérrez-Colón, Phetsavanh Somsivilay

The Learning Strategy Based on Scientific Approach to Strengthen the Employability Skill of Teacher Candidates (/dosyalar/iji_2021_2_31.pdf)

Hadromi, Sudarman, Heri Yudiono, Febrian Arief Budiman, Muhamad Nurkholis Majid, Khoerul Nofa Candra Permana

Scientific Methodology in Integrated High Schools: A Case Study (/dosyalar/iji_2021_2_32.pdf)

Genaina Fernandes Guerra, Matias Noll

The Statistical Creative Framework in Descriptive Statistics Activities (/dosyalar/iji_2021_2_33.pdf)

Subanji, Toto Nusantara, Desi Rahmatina, Heri Purnomo

Validation of Motivated Strategies for Learning Questionnaire: Comparison of Three Competing Models (/dosyalar/iji_2021_2_34.pdf)

Buratin Khampirat

The Development of Creative Cognitive-Behavior Counseling Model as a Strategy to Improve Self-Regulated Learning of Student

(/dosyalar/iji_2021_2_35.pdf)

Wahyu Nanda Eka Saputra, Said Alhadi, Agus Supriyanto, Sofwan Adiputra

Test-Taking Strategies in Second Language Receptive Skills Tests: A Literature Review (/dosyalar/iji_2021_2_36.pdf)

Eva Bumbálková

The Effect of Problem-Based Learning with an Analytical Rubric on the Development of Students' Critical Thinking Skills

(/dosyalar/iji_2021_2_37.pdf)

Nunuk Suryanti, Nurhuda

Classroom Motivational Climate in Higher Education: Validation of a Model for Assessment (/dosyalar/iji_2021_2_38.pdf)

Diana Abello, Jesús Alonso-Tapia, Ernesto Panadero

Male and Female University Students' Perceptions of an Effective EFL Teacher (/dosyalar/iji_2021_2_39.pdf)

Rastislav Metruk

Factors Affecting Students' Willingness to Communicate in EFL Classroom at Higher Institution in Indonesia (/dosyalar/iji_2021_2_40.pdf)

Sukardi Weda, Haryanto Atmowardoyo, Fathu Rahman, Mawardin M. Said, Andi Elsa Fadhillah Sakti

Improving Students' Academic Achievement Using the ScEd-ALS Android-Based (/dosyalar/iji_2021_2_41.pdf)

Z. Zulfiani, Iwan Permana Suwarna, Sujoyo Miranto

A Comparison of Achievement in Large University Classes Using Mentored Groups versus Lectures (/dosyalar/iji_2021_2_42.pdf)

Kanyuma Jitjumnong, Surachai Suksakulchai

Examining Students' Intention to Use Augmented Reality in a Project-Based Geometry Learning Environment (/dosyalar/iji_2021_2_43.pdf)

Mailizar, Rahmah Johar

Flipped Classroom Strategy Based on Critical Thinking Skills: Helping Fresh Female Students Acquiring Derivative Concept

(/dosyalar/iji_2021_2_44.pdf)

Ali M. Al-Zoubi, Laiali M. Suleiman

Teachers Quality and Educational Equality Achievements in Indonesia (/dosyalar/iji_2021_2_45.pdf)

Sekar Purbarini Kawuryan, Suminto A. Sayuti, Aman, Siti Irene Astuti Dwiningrum

Music Education Teachers' Knowledge and Use of ICT at Spanish Universities (/dosyalar/iji_2021_2_46.pdf)

Diego Calderón-Garrido, Xavier Carrera, Josep Gustems-Carnicer

RANDAI Learning Model to Enhance Pre-Service Biology Teachers' Critical Thinking Skills (/dosyalar/iji_2021_2_47.pdf)

Fitri Arsih, Siti Zubaidah, Hadi Suwono, Abdul Gofur

Analysis of EEG Signals for Non-technical and Non-medical Students (/dosyalar/iji_2021_2_48.pdf)

Mikolaj Buchwald, Aleksandra Czyż, Marcin Jukiewicz

The Effect of Treffinger Creative Learning Model with the Use RME Principles on Creative Thinking Skill and Mathematics Learning Outcome

(/dosyalar/iji_2021_2_49.pdf)

Sabina Ndiung, Sariyasa, Emilianus Jehadus, Ratih Ayu Apsari

The Impact of Gender and Degree on The Adult Educator's Theoretical Identity (/dosyalar/iji_2021_2_50.pdf)

Genovefa Papadima

Developing Creative Entrepreneurship through Experience-based Learning: Creating products of Guangxi, China to Thailand

(/dosyalar/iji_2021_2_51.pdf)

Zhou Xiumiao, Mayuree Suacamram

Validating an Indonesian Version of the What Is Happening In this Class? (WIHIC) Questionnaire Using a Multidimensional Rasch Model

(/dosyalar/iji_2021_2_52.pdf)

Wardani Rahayu, Muhammad Dwirifqi Kharisma Putra, Yuli Rahmawati, Bahrul Hayat, Rekha Bhan Koul

Accompanying Persons with Disabilities at University: Skills Development among Volunteers (/dosyalar/iji_2021_2_53.pdf)

Tatiana Lukovenko, Natalia Kalugina, Nikolay Sorokin, Oleg Bulavenko

Understanding Directed Motivational Currents among Chinese EFL Students at a Technological University (/dosyalar/iji_2021_2_54.pdf)

Chili Li, Lianhua Tang, Shuang Zhang

The Impacts of Task-based Instruction on Students' Grammatical Performances in Speaking and Writing Skills: A quasi-experimental study

(/dosyalar/iji_2021_2_55.pdf)

Vu Phi Ho Pham, Thi Huyen Do

Changing the Quality of Teachers' Written Tests by implementing an Authentic Assessment Teachers' Training Program (/dosyalar/iji_2021_2_56.pdf)

Verónica Villarreal, Daniela Bruna, Gavin T. L. Brown, Claudio Bustos

The Affect-Intellect Relationship Mediating Learning and Development of Students in School (/dosyalar/iji_2021_2_57.pdf)

Eliana de Sousa Alencar Marques

The Correlation between Level of School Happiness and Teacher Autonomy in Jordan (/dosyalar/iji_2021_2_58.pdf)

Omar T. Al-Bataineh, Ahmad M. Mahasneh, Zohair Al-Zoubi

Assessing Verbal Positive Reinforcement of Teachers during School from Home in the Covid-19 Pandemic Era (/dosyalar/iji_2021_2_59.pdf)

Mantasiah R., Yusri, Abdullah Sinring, Farida Aryani

On the Communicative Functions of Context in Foreign Language Education (/dosyalar/iji_2021_2_60.pdf)

Krzysztof Polok



International Journal of Instruction is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License

[HOME PAGE \(/\)](#) [ABOUT US \(/ABOUT-US\)](#) [VOLUMES \(/VOLUMES\)](#) [CONTACT \(/CONTACT\)](#)



Problem-Based Learning with Character-Emphasis and Naturalist Intelligence: Examining Students Critical Thinking and Curiosity

Suhirman

Universitas Islam Negeri Mataram, Indonesia, suhirman@uinmataram.ac.id

Saiful Prayogi

Universitas Pendidikan Mandalika, Indonesia, saifulprayogi@ikipmataram.ac.id

Muhammad Asy'ari

Universitas Pendidikan Mandalika, Indonesia, muhammadasyari@ikipmataram.ac.id

This research aimed to examine the effects of problem-based learning with character emphasis and naturalist intelligence on the students' critical thinking skills and curiosity. This study was conducted at Islamic Senior High School in Mataram and it employed 3x2 treatment by level design. Three treatments; the problem-based learning with character emphasis (PBL-CE) (A1), the problem-based learning (PBL) (A2), and the regular learning (RL) (A3) were given to two groups; the students with high naturalist intelligence (B1) and low naturalist intelligence (B2). The data on the students' naturalist intelligence and critical thinking were collected through the test and the data on the students' curiosity was collected through self-assessment and observation sheets. The data were analyzed using MANOVA at 0,05 significance level. The results of the study showed that: (1) the PBL-CE affect the students critical thinking skills and curiosity, (2) the naturalist intelligence does not have a significant effect on the students critical thinking skills and students curiosity, and (3) the interaction between the PBL-CE and naturalist intelligence did not affect the students critical thinking skills and curiosity. PBL-CE can be applied as alternative learning to develop students' critical thinking and curiosity.

Keywords: problem-based learning, character emphasis, naturalist intelligence, critical thinking, curiosity

INTRODUCTION

Learning does not only focus on academic performance but also develops and increases the capacity of students as a whole (Mak, 2014). Education must be managed so that it can produce thinking resources capable of building a knowledge-based social and economic order in the 21st century (Elmuhtarim, 2018; Patel et al., 2017), industry 4.0 (Schwab, 2016), and society 5.0 (Skobelev & Borovik, 2017). Students must be trained

Citation: Suhirman., Prayogi, S., & Asy'ari, M. (2021). Problem-Based Learning with Character-Emphasis and Naturalist Intelligence: Examining Students Critical Thinking and Curiosity. *International Journal of Instruction*, 14(2), 217-232. <https://doi.org/10.29333/iji.2021.14213a>

to use the power of arguments, thinking skills, and morals or characters in education. Students' capacity including skills, work habits, and characters that are believed to be essential to achieve a successful life (Anazifa & Djukri, 2017). They will face rapid and arduous changes in science and technology (Ritter & Mostert, 2016; Mapeala & Siew, 2015; Rehmat & Bailey, 2014). In brief, critical thinking skills, characters, and curiosity are three pivotal capital for students to face the world changes which must be developed simultaneously in education.

Critical thinking skills are an important aspect of modern education (Mouhtarim, 2018; Walter & Walter, 2018), important competencies in the successful performance of students (Verawati et al., 2019a, 2019b; Hasanpour et al., 2018; Prayogi et al., 2017), and the most important real-life skills (Mutakinati & Anwari, 2018). It is an essential ability that must be possessed by students in solving problems (Handoyo et al., 2019; Özgenel, 2018) and a process to make sound decisions (Özgenel, 2018) so that what we think is best about a truth we can do right. Critical thinking helps the student to define the cause of a change in a variable and the effect of one variable on other variables (Duran & Dökme, 2016). The essential things in critical thinking are practical, reflective, reasonable (Handoyo et al., 2019; Mutakinati & Anwari, 2018), beliefs, and actions. From this combination of five basic components, critical thinking is defined as reflective thinking that focuses on deciding what to believed to do.

In the learning of science, students' critical thinking can be seen from the ability of students to respond to every problem about everyday life. Critical students tend to be more active in trying to solve problems (Handoyo et al., 2019), self-corrective thinking (Mutakinati & Anwari, 2018), and can better recognize and regulate their emotions (Yao et al., 2018). They actively clarify a problem by asking, looking at a problem from a different side, digging up important information related to the problem to be solved, daring to express their opinions and ideas to criticize solutions that he thinks are rational, and able to draw conclusions from solving existing problems.

Curiosity is one of the educational aspects that must be developed in learning. Curiosity is defined as a willingness to explore something that is unknown, meet new things, and accept uncertainty (Arnone et al., 2011). It is a personality (Kaczmarek et al., 2014), an integral aspect of science learning (Weible & Zimmerman, 2016), and the importance that an individual should have as a requirement of learning (Gulten et al., 2011). Students who have high curiosity are indicated by; enthusiastic to seek answers each question, attention to the observed object, enthusiastic on the science process, and put on every step of the activity (Nasution et al., 2018).

Prior studies indicate that the students' critical thinking skills are not satisfactory. Critical thinking skills are still low (Khasani et al., 2019). Previous studies (Latifa et al., 2017; Qing et al., 2010; Miri et al., 2007) report that the students critical thinking skill is the lowest secondary school. The learning process that has been going on so far has not been able to improve critical thinking because cognitive aspects are only emphasized on results not on aspects of processes that involve critical thinking skills. In addition, the learning model applied by the teacher does not lead to the achievement of critical thinking skills. Practicing critical thinking requires a holistic approach using appropriate

teaching modes that allow students to manipulate their cognitive processes to stimulate their critical thinking skills (Prayogi et al., 2018; Prayogi et al., 2019; Wahyudi et al., 2018, 2019a, 2019b). The students' curiosity in learning is also far from expectation. Ciptasari et al (2015) found that the students' curiosity is in a low category. Students' activity such as questioning or reading several relevant sources did not significantly increase in learning (Nasution et al., 2018). Therefore, it is necessary to make a serious effort by creating appropriate learning strategies for the continuous development of the students' critical thinking skills and the students' curiosity.

Learning strategy is one of the external factors which affect the students' critical thinking skills and curiosity. Besides that, there are also internal factors, one of which is naturalist intelligence. Naturalist intelligences is defined as the potential to process information that is exhibited by naturalists (Hayes, 2009). Gardner (1999) believes that naturalist intelligences as firmly entrenched as the other intelligences. The development of naturalist intelligence in children requires the right environment to be nurtured, because naturalist intelligence is literally related to the environment (Barbiero & Berto, 2018). To be able to develop optimally the naturalist intelligence of the child, it is necessary to have stimulation in children (Hasanah et al., 2019). Essentially, naturalist intelligence is a part of multiple intelligences possessed by humans. It involves sensitivity to problems or natural phenomena and the ability to distinguish between living and non-living things or beings (Rohmah, 2016). Characteristics that can be seen when children have naturalist intelligence include the ability of children to attract natural world, children's ability to mark similarities and differences around them, children's ability to mark patterns and natural objects, and children's interest in stories related to phenomena nature, and children like to pay attention to the nature around them. These characteristics continue to develop in children with increasing age (Hasanah et al., 2019). According to Roos (2017), students with high naturalist intelligence have a strong affinity for nature. Strong affinity is indicated by great interest in learning the topics related to nature and its phenomena.

Classroom instruction is one of the efforts to develop the students' critical thinking skills and students' curiosity. Ideally, it ought to be designed to improve the students' academic performance as well as increase the students' curiosity. The learning models should be enriched with character education to help schools develop students' morality (Dinkha, 2008). The instructional design which embeds character is important to make sure that the learning is also focused on character building, not a mere achievement of academic results. Designing and intervening in instruction systematically for creating a learning environment needs to be carried out and its effectiveness needs to be investigated in developing the students' thinking skills (Tiruneh et al., 2018; Delaney et al., 2017).

In the current research, the intervention in learning is the addition of character content to the syntax of problem-based learning which is later known as problem-based learning with character emphasis (PBL-CE). PBL-CE is a kind of PBL strategy designed by sharpening stages of the learning activities in order to strengthen the students' character and diversifying the syntax of PBL in terms of meaning in order to establish the

students' character. Thus, the stages of the learning in PBL-CE are enriched with activities that encourage the students to the practice of forming characters consciously or unconsciously, without ignoring the meaning in the syntax of PBL itself.

Existing research applying PBL integrated with multimedia, and its significantly influences the students' critical thinking ability (Susilawati et al., 2018). However, practicing critical thinking with multimedia including virtual laboratories still does not expose learners to real-world situations, because the process of training critical thinking will be better when there is conduction between the topic being studied with the real-world context (Hofstein et al., 2001), so the virtual laboratory (virtual fields) cannot replace real laboratories (Cassady et al., 2008). Complex, contextual, and structural problems provide the students with an opportunity to develop their ability to think analytically, evaluatively, and reflectively and develop their creativity in exploring various information, develop various possible solutions, and create various sources to solve the problems faced (Tsai & Chiang, 2013). PBL increases the students' self-confidence, creates a supportive environment which leads to teamwork, enhances interpersonal communication and critical thinking skills, and build self-awareness (Moody et al., 2018; Aldarmahi, 2016). According to Aldarmahi (2016), PBL is useful for students in constructing knowledge, developing reasoning processes, improving independent learning skills, and increasing intrinsic learning motivation. This research seeks to find out the effect of PBL-CE and naturalist intelligence on the students critical thinking skills and curiosity.

Problem of Research

Facing the 21st century, education in Indonesia must be oriented to mathematics and science accompanied by social and human sciences (BSNP, 2010). Human resources needed are those who have the ability to think critically and solve problems (Eichmann et al., 2019; Osman, 2010; Oktay & Safak, 2012) and the ability to create and renew (Ertmer et al., 2014). The fact that occurs in Indonesian secondary schools, critical thinking skills has not become a serious concern in learning. The teachers' do not consciously plan and carry out learning which only emphasizes low-level thinking skills.

Education is not merely a function of transferring knowledge, but also as a driver for the development of noble values which are the basis for the development of good morals (Callina et al., 2018; Isdaryanti et al., 2018; Muliastuti, 2017). Good morals include curiosity. Therefore, schools must encourage students to be able to understand good moral values, have moral feelings, and finally have a strong commitment to carry out what they know and feel in moral action. In fact the cultivation of noble values, such as curiosity is still not running optimally. The science teacher considers that the inculcation of character is mostly done by the teachers who teach the religious subject. In addition, an assessment of students curiosity aspects is carried out by the teacher in order to complete the teaching administration when supervising learning by the school principal.

Constructivism learning activities include observing phenomena, collecting data, formulating and testing hypotheses, through collaboration with others. This is in accordance with the nature of science which includes the scientific attitude of science,

scientific processes, and scientific products. The scientific attitude of science is related to curiosity, humility, openness, honesty, positive models of failure, and responsibility. The science process is related to thinking skills, which is the process carried out by scientists through scientific methods. Science products include scientific concepts, principles, and theories. But the reality is that the teacher of secondary schools in Indonesia is still dominant in implementing regular learning and the learning process is more dominated by the teacher. In fact, the regular learning model has not been able to develop students' critical thinking skills and has not been able to accustom students' attitudes of curiosity.

Research Focus

This research focused on the effect of the PBL-CE on the students' critical thinking skills and curiosity, the effect of the naturalist intelligence on the students' critical thinking skills and curiosity, and the effect of the interaction between the PBL-CE and naturalist intelligence on the students critical thinking skills and curiosity.

METHODS

This was a quasi-experimental study conducted at secondary schools in Mataram – Indonesia, using treatment by level 3 x 2 design. Three kinds of treatments namely the PBL-CE (A1), the PBL (A2), and the RL (A3), were given to both the students with high naturalist intelligence (B1) and the students with low naturalist intelligence (B2) during the learning.

The PBL-CE group is given PBL which is enriched by teacher activities that encourage the strengthening of student character. PBL groups are given learning with PBL strategies only. The RL group received learning as planned by the teacher. The lesson was carried out on a biology course for 10 sessions on the topic of the ecosystem and environmental change by the same teacher in three groups.

Participants/Group

The research subjects (participants) involved three randomly selected groups from two secondary schools. Participants involved in the PBL-CE (A1) group were 56 students, PBL (A2) group were 58 students, and the RL group (A3) were 56 students. The grouping of the students into B1 and B2 was based on the rank of their naturalist intelligence test scores. Group B1 covered 50% of the students achieving high naturalist intelligence test score and group B2 included 50% of the students achieving low naturalist intelligence test scores.

Instrument and Procedures

This study measures two variables that are students' critical thinking skills and students' curiosity. Students' critical thinking skills are measured by essay test instruments, and students' curiosity is measured by self-assessment instruments. In this study also developed instruments to measure the natural intelligence, this is used to distinguish participants with high naturalist intelligence and low naturalist intelligence. Therefore, each variable developed its own instrument. The stages of instrument development, namely;

presentation of conceptual definitions of variables, operational definitions of variables, instrument lines, preparation of instruments, determination of content validity, and testing of instruments to determine internal consistency and instrument reliability.

Determination of the content validity using the Lawshe method. The value of the content validity is indicated by the content validity ratio (CVR) after being validated by six experts. The instrument test was conducted on 40 students who were not research subjects. Based on the test results, the critical thinking skills test instrument consisted of 7 essay items having internal consistency ranging from 0,64 to 0,91 and the instrument reliability of 0,95. The 7 items students curiosity self-assessment instrument had internal consistency ranging from 0,40 to 0,78 and the instrument reliability of 0,88. The naturalist intelligence test instrument consisted of 29 items having internal consistency ranging from 0,32 to 0,63 and the instrument reliability of 0,92.

Data Analysis

The data on the students' critical thinking skills and curiosity scores were analyzed using descriptive and inferential statistics. The descriptive statistics were used to get a level of students' critical thinking skills and students' curiosity. The inferential statistics Manova was used to test the research hypothesis at the 0,05 significance level.

FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

Critical Thinking Skills

The effect of the treatment of the PBL-CE and naturalist intelligence on critical thinking skills is seen from normalized gain scores (NGs). The comparison of NGs of the students thinking skills in the three groups is presented in Table 1. The average NGs in the PBL-CE group is higher than the PBL and RL groups. The PBL and RL groups have the same category of critical thinking skills, namely low, but the BPL-CE group gets into the moderate category. Based on the data above, it is descriptively known that the critical thinking skills of the students taught with PBL-CE are better than the PBL and RL groups. Table 1 also reveals the comparison between NGs of the students' critical thinking skills with the students' high (B1) and low (B2) naturalist intelligence in group A1, A2, and A3. The average NGs of the students in the A1 group are higher than those in the A2 group in the three treatment groups. The results indicate that the students' critical thinking skills with high naturalist intelligence are better than those with low naturalist intelligence. They also indicate that PBL-CE is able to encourage many students to get a very high and high category in comparison to the teaching and learning using RL. The distribution of the students' abilities in the critical thinking skills aspect based on NGs is presented in Figure 1.

Table 1
Students critical thinking skills based on NGs in the three groups

Groups	Naturalist Intelligence	Mean	Std. Deviation	N
(A1) PBL-CE	(B1) High	0,4229	0,18294	28
	(B2) Low	0,4079	0,18685	28
	Total A1	0,4154 (Category: Moderate)	0,18337	56
(A2) PBL	(B1) High	0,3514	0,19697	29
	(B2) Low	0,2493	0,20461	29
	Total A2	0,3003 (Category: Low)	0,20561	58
(A3) RL	(B1) High	0,3061	0,29494	28
	(B2) Low	0,2757	0,24586	28
	Total A3	0,2909 (Category: Low)	0,26947	56

Figure 1 shows that the students in the PBL-CE group had a greater frequency for the moderate category than those in the PBL and the PR groups. This implies that learning using PBL-CE has a better effect on the students' critical thinking skills.




Figure 1
Comparison of the students' frequency based on NGs in each category of the students' critical thinking skills in the three groups.

Students Curiosity

Measurement of the students' curiosity was carried out after the treatment had been completed. The results of data analysis on the students' curiosity using descriptive statistics are presented in Table 2. It shows that there are differences in the average scores and categories of the students' curiosity in the three groups. The average score of the students' curiosity in the PBL-CE group is higher than those in the PBL and the RL groups. The PBL-CE and the PBL groups have the same category pertaining to curiosity, namely good, but the RL group gets into the lower category, namely fair. Based on the data, it can be descriptively stated that the students' curiosity taught with PBL-CE is better than the PBL and RL groups.

Table 2
Students curiosity in the three groups

Groups	Naturalist Intelligence	Mean	Std. Deviation	N
(A1) PBL-CE	(B1) High	3,0843	0,26599	28
	(B2) Low	3,0596	0,27175	28
	Total A1	3,0320 (Category: Good)	0,26789	56
(A2) PBM	(B1) High	3,0879	0,24972	29
	(B2) Low	3,0269	0,38813	29
	Total A2	3,0574 (Category: Good)	0,32493	58
(A3) RL	(B1) High	2,9254	0,40578	28
	(B2) Low	2,8532	0,27356	28
	Total A3	2,8893 (Category: Fair)	0,34481	56

Table 2 also shows the comparison of the students' curiosity scores with the students with high (B1) and low (B2) naturalist intelligence in A1, A2, and A3 groups. The average score of the students' curiosity for the A1 group was higher than the A2 group in the three groups. The results indicate that the students' curiosity with high naturalist intelligence is better than those with low naturalist intelligence. The PBL-CE is able to encourage many students to get into the very good and the good category in comparison to the learning using the RL. The distribution of the students based on the caring score category is presented in Figure 2.

It is known from figure 2 that the students in the PBL-CE group have a greater frequency for a good category than those in the PBL and PR groups. This indicates that learning with PBL-CE had a better effect on the students' curiosity.




Figure 2

Comparison of the distribution of the students based on the curiosity score category in the three groups.

Hypothesis Testing

Hypothesis testing of the Manova using SPSS 16.0 was applied to see the main and simple effect at the 0,05 significance level. The results of the analysis are presented in Table 3.

Table 3
The results of multivariate tests

Effect	F	Sig.
Intercept	7,600	0,000
Groups	4,822	0,001
Naturalist	1,093	0,338
Groups * Naturalist	0,621	0,648

In Table 3, it can be stated that (1) PBL-CE have a significant effect on the students critical thinking skills and curiosity, (2) naturalist intelligence have no significant effect on the students critical thinking skills and curiosity, and (3) The interaction between PBL-CE and naturalist intelligence have no significant effect on the students critical thinking skills and curiosity.

The next analysis is to determine the effect of the PBL-CE variables and naturalist intelligence on each variable of the students' critical thinking and the students' curiosity. The results of the analysis are shown in Table 4. Table 4 shows that there were significant differences in the average NGs of students' critical thinking skills and the students' curiosity scores between the PBL-CE, the PBL, and the RL groups. Thus, learning using PBL-CE affects students' critical thinking skills and curiosity.

Table 4
Tests of between-subject effects

Source	Dependent Var.	Sum of Square	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Groups	^a CT skills	0,540	2	0,270	5,479	0,005
	^b Curiosity	0,928	2	0,464	4,658	0,011
Naturalist	^a CT skills	0,103	1	0,103	2,081	0,151
	^b Curiosity	0,029	1	0,029	0,287	0,593
Groups * Naturalist	^a CT skills	0,062	2	0,031	0,627	0,535
	^b Curiosity	0,140	2	0,070	0,704	0,496
Error	^a CT skills	8,086	164	0,049		
	^b Curiosity	16,335	164	0,100		
Total	^a CT skills	27,884	170			
	^b Curiosity	1540,959	170			
Corrected Total	^a CT skills	8,793	169			
	^b Curiosity	17,432	169			

CT = Critical thinking

The post hoc test was conducted to ascertain the group having the best effect toward the variables of the students. The results show that the differences in the average scores of the students critical thinking skills and the students curiosity. The students taught with the PBL-CE obtained the critical thinking average score which is significantly different from the PBL and RL group. PBL-CE treatment is the best treatment to improve

students' critical thinking skills. Table 5 also shows that the students taught with the PBL-CE obtained higher curiosity average score and it is not significantly different from the PBL group, but they are significantly different from the RL group. However, PBL-CE treatment is the best treatment to improve students' curiosity. The results of the analysis are presented in Table 5.

Table 5
The results of the LSD test

Dependent Variable	(I) Groups	(J) Groups	Mean Diff. (I-J)	Std. Error	Sig.
Critical thinking Skills	1	2	0,1150*	0,04160	0,006
		3	0,1245*	0,04196	0,003
	2	1	-0,1150*	0,04160	0,006
		3	0,0095	0,04160	0,821
	3	1	-0,1245*	0,04196	0,003
		2	-0,0095	0,04160	0,821
Curiosity	1	2	-0,0254	0,05913	0,667
		3	0,1427*	0,05964	0,018
	2	1	0,0254	0,05913	0,667
		3	0,1681*	0,05913	0,005
	3	1	-0,1427*	0,05964	0,018
		2	-0,1681*	0,05913	0,005

Group 1 = PBL-CE, 2 = PBL, 3 = RL

*The mean difference is significant at the 0,05 level

The current research produces important findings regarding the effect of PBL-CE and naturalist intelligence on the students' critical thinking skills and curiosity. It showed that there is an effect of PBL-CE on the students' critical thinking skills and curiosity. The PBL-CE treatment is the best treatment to improve students' curiosity than those the PBL and the RL treatments.

During the lesson using the PBL-CE, characters were purposely designed through the teacher's action at each stage in the learning syntax, including caring character. In several stages of the learning activities, there were always activities of the teacher to generate and strengthen the students' character and remind them to do something related to certain characteristics such as curiosity about the environmental and social problems. In learning using PBL-CE, the students were faced with authentic problems in the environment surrounding them. The students get used to thinking critically when they are confronted with the ones which they often witness every day. The environmental problems the students dealt with were in the form of images and news of electronic media. The images and information from media are very appropriate for students to develop their thinking skills (Sutarto, Indrawati, & Prihatin, 2018). When PBL-CE was carried out, the students were encouraged to arouse their curiosity about the problems which existed in their environment by learning the problems given.

The results of the study also showed that there are no effect of naturalist intelligence and the interaction between PBL-CE and naturalist intelligence on the students' critical thinking skills and the students' curiosity. The PBL-CE seemed not to have a significant effect of activating the students' critical thinking skills and curiosity as it was paired with the students' naturalist intelligence. The PBL-CE and PBL did not only support the critical thinking skills and curiosity of the students with high naturalist intelligence, but also those with low naturalist intelligence. This condition was affected by PBL-CE and PBL which required both individuals and groups to participate actively in the activity of problem analysis, convey ideas, find the right information for solving the problem, build hypothesis, think about how the problem-solving works, and set up logic about the relationship between one fact with another. The students with high and low naturalist intelligence can respond well to the learning activities carried out using PBL-CE, PBL, and RL. According to Witte and Rogge (2016), the students in the PBL are sometimes not better than those in the traditional class in terms of knowledge acquisition or problem-solving skills.

One of the new findings in this study is that the problem-based learning with character emphasis treatment is able to encourage many students to get the higher categories of critical thinking skills and curiosity than those problem-based learning and regular learning treatments. The existing research only reveals the influence of PBL on students' critical thinking. The learning using PBL-CE gives the students a challenge in order that they can interact and solve the environmental problem given. Students ask closed questions and open questions about the environmental problems presented by the teacher. According to Almulla (2018) students who frequently ask open questions have better critical thinking skills. The completion of a problem requires analytical and critical thinking skills, analysis of possible solutions, evaluation of the problems and available solutions, and ability to design something as problem solving alternatives. These abilities need to be continually strengthened in the learning using PBL-CE, although the students taking part in it having high or low naturalist intelligence. It is different from the learning process using the regular learning which tends to place the students as the learning object. This has caused a decline in the ability of the students to analyze, evaluate, and create, and weaken the students' character in the learning. According to Aldarmahi (2016), in the PBL, the students are capable of integrating basic science with life problems in comparison to those in the conventional system.

CONCLUSION

PBL-CE has a significant effect on the students' critical thinking skills and students' curiosity. It supports the students to get higher categories of critical thinking skills and curiosity. It is the best treatment to improve students' critical thinking skills and students' curiosity. Naturalist intelligence does not have a significant effect on the students' critical thinking skills and students' curiosity. The interaction between PBL-CE and naturalist intelligence does not affect the students' critical thinking skills and students' curiosity. The students with high and low naturalist intelligence give the same response to the PBL-CE, the PBL, and the RL. The character can be implemented explicitly, in detail, and operational in PBL. PBL syntax can be enriched with activities that

encourage students' critical thinking skills and express students' curiosity during learning. The teachers are required to be creative in developing learning resources needed in the learning process, such as student worksheets, tools and materials needed in the process of solving, problem, and assessment instruments for critical thinking skills and student curiosity that are valid and reliable.

The limitation of this study is that it only measures the aspects of critical thinking and curiosity, whereas on the one hand character emphasis and naturalist intelligence are closely related to environmental attitudes. In addition, learning is carried out in biology courses on the topic of ecosystem and environmental change by the same teacher in three groups. Limited topics might influence the generalization of findings, and three classes taught by the same teacher can be bored and may affect the findings. To overcome these limitations, this study recommends several things that can be considered for further studies. First, further research can explore the effects of implementing the model conditioned in this study on environmental attitudes, and can also measure other variables such as learning motivation. Second, learning is carried out in biology courses with topics expanded with different teachers in each school or class. However, with a number of limitations, the findings in this study become important as a basis for further research.

REFERENCES

- Aldarmahi, A. (2016). The impact of problem based learning versus conventional education on students in the aspect of clinical reasoning and problem solving. *Education in Medicine Journal*, 8(3), 1–10.
- Almulla, M. (2018). Investigating teachers' perceptions of their own practices to improve students' critical thinking in secondary schools in Saudi Arabia. *International Journal of Cognitive Research in Science, Engineering and Education (IJCRSEE)*, 6(3), 15–27.
- Anazifa, R. & Djukri. (2017). Project-based learning and problem- based learning: Are they effective to improve students' thinking skills. *Jurnal Pendidikan IPA Indonesia*, 6(2), 346–355.
- Arnove, M. P., Small, R. V, Chauncey, S. A., & Mckenna, H. P. (2011). Curiosity, interest and engagement in technology-pervasive learning environments: A new research agenda Marilyn. *Education Tech Research Dev*, 181–198. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11423-011-9190-9>
- Barbiero, G. & Berto, R. (2018). From biophilia to naturalist intelligence passing through perceived restorativeness and connection to nature. *Annals of reviews and research*, 3(1), 12-17.
- BSNP. (2010). *Paradigma Pendidikan Nasional Abad XXI. Versi 1.0*. Jakarta. [in Indonesia]
- Callina, K. S., Burkhard, B., Schaefer, H. S., Powers, J., Murray, E. D., Kobylski, G., Ryan, D. M., Kelly, D., Matthews, M. D., & Lerner, R. M. (2018). Character in context:

Character structure among United States Military Academy cadets. *Journal of Moral Education*, 48(4), 1–26.

Cassady, J. C., Kozlowski, A., & Kornmann, M. (2008). Electronic field trips as interactive learning events: promoting student learning at a distance. *Journal of Interactive Learning Research*, 19(3), 439-454.

Ciptasari, D., Nuswowati, M., & Sumarni, W. (2015). Pembelajaran zat adiktif dan psikotropika berpendekatan contextual teaching and learning. *Unnes Science Education Journal*, 4(1), 756–762.

Delaney, Y., Pattinson, B., Mccarthy, J., & Beecham, S. (2017). Transitioning from traditional to problem-based learning in management education: The case of a frontline manager skills development programme. *Innovations in Education and Teaching International*, 54(3), 214–222.

Dinkha, J. (2008). Effects of character education on the self-esteem of intellectually able and less able elementary students in Kuwait. *International Journal of Special Education*, 5(4), 47–59.

Duran, M., & Dökme, I. (2016). The effect of the inquiry-based learning approach on student's critical-thinking skills. *Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education*, 12(12), 2887–2908.

Eichmann, B., Goldhammer, F., Greiff, S., Pucite, L., & Naumann, J. (2019). The role of planning in complex problem solving. *Computers and Education*, 128, 1–12.

Ertmer, P. A., Schlosser, S., Clase, K., & Adedokun, O. (2014). The grand challenge: Helping teachers learn/teach cutting-edge science via a PBL approach. *Interdisciplinary Journal of Problem Based Learning*, 8(1), 2–20.

Gardener, H. E. (1999). *Intelligence reframed: Multiple intelligences for the 21st century*. Basic Books, New York.

Gulten, D. C., Yaman, Y., Deringol, Y., & Ozsari, I. (2011). The relationship between curiosity level and computer self efficacy beliefs of mathematics, science, social sciences and elementary teachers candidates. *The Turkish Online Journal of Educational Technology*, 10(4), 11–13

Handoyo, S. S., Iriani, T., & Septiandini, E. (2019). Study of the analysis on the characteristics of learning style of the students of the vocational education of building construction study program, Faculty of Engineering, Jakarta State University. *3rd UNJ International Conference on Technical and Vocational Education and Training*, 339–348.

Hasanah, N., Harmawati, D., Riyana, M. & Usman, A. N. (2019). Improve naturalist intelligence of early childhood through gardening activities in group children a Merauke State Pembina Kindergarten. *IOP Conf. Ser.: Earth Environ. Sci.* 343, 1-7

- Hasanpour, Bagheri, M., & Heidari, F. G. (2018). The relationship between emotional intelligence and critical thinking skills in Iranian nursing students. *Medical Journal of the Islamic Republic of Iran (MJIRI)*, 2018, 1–5
- Hayes, M. A. (2009). Into the field: Naturalistic education and the future of conservation. *Conservation Biology*, 23(5), 1075-1079.
- Hofstein, A., Nahum T. L., & Shore R. (2001). Assessment of the learning environment of inquiry-type laboratories in high school chemistry. *Learning Environments Research*, 4(3), 193-207.
- Isdaryanti, R., Sukestiyarno, & Florentinus, W. (2018). Teachers' performance in science learning management integrated with character education. *Jurnal Pendidikan IPA Indonesia*, 7(1), 9–15.
- Kaczmarek, L. D., Baczkowski, B., Enko, J., Baran, B., & Theuns, P. (2014). Subjective well-being as a mediator for curiosity and depression. *Polish Psychological Bulletin*, 45(2), 200–204.
- Khasani, R., Ridho, S., & Subali, B. (2019). Identifikasi Kemampuan Berpikir Kritis Siswa SMP Pada Materi Hukum Newton. *Jurnal Penelitian Pendidikan IPA*, 5(2), 165.
- Latifa, B. R. A., Verawati, N. N. S. P., & Harjono, A. (2017). Pengaruh model learning cycle 5e (engage, explore, explain, elaboration, & evaluate) terhadap kemampuan berpikir kritis peserta didik kelas X MAN 1 Mataram. *Jurnal Pendidikan Fisika dan Teknologi*, 3(1), 61-67.
- Mak, W. S. (2014). Evaluation of a moral and character education group for primary school students. *Discovery-SS Student E-Journal*, 3, 142–164.
- Mapeala, R., & Siew, N. M. (2015). The development and validation of a test of science critical thinking for fifth graders. *SpringerPlus*, 4(1), 1–13.
- Miri, B., Ben-Chaim, D., & Zoller, U. (2007). Purposely teaching for the promotion of higher-order thinking skills: A case of critical thinking. *Research in Science Education*, 37(4), 353-369.
- Mouhtarim, S. E. (2018). Integrating critical thinking skills in reading courses at the university level: The case of faculty of letters and humanities, Beni-Mellal, Morocco. *Arab World English Journal*, 9(3), 331–344.
- Muliastuti, L. (2017). *Panduan Penilaian Penguatan Pendidikan Karakter*. Jakarta: Pusat Analisis dan Sinkronisasi Kebijakan, Sekjen Kemendikbud.
- Mutakinati, L., & Anwari, I. (2018). Analysis of students' critical thinking skill of middle school through STEM education project-based learning. *Jurnal Pendidikan IPA Indonesia*, 7(1), 54–65.
- Nasution, D., Harahap, P. S., & Harahap, M. (2018). Development instrument's learning of physics through scientific inquiry model based Batak culture to improve science process skill and student's curiosity. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 970(1).

- Oktaf, A., & Safak, U. (2012). Science and technology teacher candidates' problem solving skills. *Journal of Turkish Science Education*, 9(2), 82-94.
- Osman. (2010). Virtual tutoring: An online environment for scaffolding students' metacognitive problem solving expertise. *Journal of Turkish Science Education*, 7(4), 3-13
- Özgenel, M. (2018). Modeling the relationships between school administrators' creative and critical thinking dispositions with decision making styles and problem solving skills. *Educational Sciences: Theory & Practice*, 1, 673-700.
- Patel, C., Lei, Y., Liu, L., Vernica, R., Fan, J., Short, B., Liu, J., & Simske, S. J. (2017). Learning in the 21st century cyber-physical age. *APSIPA Transactions on Signal and Information Processing*, 6(12), 1-14.
- Prayogi, S., Yuanita, L. & Wasis. (2017). Critical-Inquiry-Based-Learning: Model of learning to promote critical thinking ability of pre-service teachers. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series 947*: 1-6, doi:10.1088/1742-6596/947/1/012013
- Prayogi, S., Yuanita, L., & Wasis. (2018). Critical inquiry based learning: A model of learning to promote critical thinking among prospective teachers of physic. *Journal of Turkish Science Education*, 15(1), 43-56.
- Prayogi, S., Muhali, Yuliyanti, S., Asy'ari, M., Azmi, I., & Verawati, N. N. S. P. (2019). The effect of presenting anomalous data on improving student's critical thinking ability. *International Journal of Emerging Technologies in Learning*, 14(6), 133-137.
- Qing, Z., Jing, G., & Yan. W. (2010). Promoting preservice teachers' critical thinking skills by inquiry-based chemical experiment. *Procedia Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 2, 4597-4603
- Rehmat, A. P., & Bailey, J. M. (2014). Technology integration in a science classroom: Preservice teachers' perceptions. *J Sci Educ Technol*, 23, 744-755.
- Ritter, S. M., & Mostert, N. (2016). Enhancement of creative thinking skills using a cognitive-based creativity training. *Journal of Cognitive Enhancement*, 1(3), 243-253.
- Rohmah, L. I. (2016). Peningkatan kecerdasan naturalis melalui bermain messy play terhadap anak usia 5 - 6 tahun. *Jurnal Pedagogi*, 5(1), 47-52.
- Roos, A. R. H. (2017). Naturalistic intelligence. International Montessori Schools and Child Development Centres Brussels, Belgium
- Schwab, K. (2016). *The Fourth Industrial Revolution*. Route de la Capite CH-1223 Cologny/Geneva Switzerland: World Economic Forum.
- Skobelev & Borovik, Y. (2017). On the way from industry 4.0 to industry 5.0. *International Scientific Journal "Industry 4.0," 311(6)*, 307-311
- Susilawati, S., Jamaluddin, J., & Bachtiar, I. (2018). Pengaruh model pembelajaran berbasis masalah (PBM) berbantuan multimedia terhadap kemampuan berpikir kritis

peserta didik kelas VII SMP Negeri 2 Mataram ditinjau dari kemampuan akademik. *Jurnal Pijar MIPA*, 12(2), 64–70.

Sutarto, Indrawati, J. Prihatin, P. A. D. (2018). Geometrical optics process image-based worksheets for enhancing students' higher-order thinking skills and self-regulated learning. *Jurnal Pendidikan IPA Indonesia*, 7(4), 376–382.

Tiruneh, D. T., De Cock, M., & Elen, J. (2018). Designing learning environments for critical thinking: Examining effective instructional approaches. *International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education*, 16(6), 1065–1089.

Tsai, C., & Chiang, Y. (2013). Research trends in problem-based learning (PBL) research in e-learning and online education environments: A review of publications in SSCI-indexed journals from 2004 to 2012. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 44(6), 185–191.

Verawati, N.N.S.P., Hikmawati & Prayogi, S. (2019a). Conceptual framework of reflective-inquiry learning model to promote critical thinking ability of preservice physics teachers. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* 1397, 1-10.

Verawati, N.N.S.P., Prayogi, S., Gummah, S., Muliadi, A. & Yusup, M.Y. (2019b). The effect of conflict-cognitive strategy in inquiry learning towards pre-service teachers' critical thinking ability. *Jurnal Pendidikan IPA Indonesia*, 8(4), 529-537.

Wahyudi, Verawati, N.N.S.P., Ayub, S., & Prayogi, S. (2018). Development of inquiry-creative-process learning model to promote critical thinking ability of physics prospective teachers. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* 1108, 1-6. doi: 10.1088/1742-6596/1108/1/012005

Wahyudi, Verawati, N. N. S. P., Ayub, S., & Prayogi, S. (2019a). The effect of scientific creativity in inquiry learning to promote critical thinking ability of prospective teachers. *International Journal of Emerging Technologies in Learning*, 14(14), 122-131.

Wahyudi, Verawati, N.N.S.P., Ayub, S., & Prayogi, S. (2019b). Effectiveness of Inquiry-Creative-Process Learning Model to Promote Critical Thinking Ability of Prospective Physics Teachers. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* 1417, 1-6.

Walter, C. and, & Walter, P. (2018). Is critical thinking a mediator variable of student performance in school? *Educational Research Quarterly*, 41(3), 3–24.

Weible, J. L., & Zimmerman, H. T. (2016). Science curiosity in learning environments: Developing an attitudinal scale for research in schools, homes, museums, and the community. *International Journal of Science Education*, 38(8), 1235–1255.

Witte, K. D., & Rogge, N. (2016). Problem-based learning in secondary education: Evaluation by an experiment. *Education Economics*, 24(1), 58–82

Yao, X., Yuan, S., Yang, W., Chen, Q., Wei, D., Hou, Y. Zhang, L. Qiu, J., & Yang, D. (2018). Emotional intelligence moderates the relationship between regional gray matter volume in the bilateral temporal pole and critical thinking disposition. *Brain Imaging and Behavior*, 12(3), 488–498.



Source details

International Journal of Instruction

Open Access ⓘ

Scopus coverage years: from 2013 to Present

Publisher: Gate Association for Teaching and Education

ISSN: 1694-609X E-ISSN: 1308-1470

Subject area: Social Sciences: Education

Source type: Journal

CiteScore 2020

2.7 ⓘ

SJR 2020

0.535 ⓘ

SNIP 2020

2.018 ⓘ

[View all documents >](#)

[Set document alert](#)

[Save to source list](#) [Source Homepage](#)

[CiteScore](#) [CiteScore rank & trend](#) [Scopus content coverage](#)

Improved CiteScore methodology

CiteScore 2020 counts the citations received in 2017-2020 to articles, reviews, conference papers, book chapters and data papers published in 2017-2020, and divides this by the number of publications published in 2017-2020. [Learn more >](#)

CiteScore 2020 ▾

$$2.7 = \frac{2,164 \text{ Citations } 2017 - 2020}{798 \text{ Documents } 2017 - 2020}$$

Calculated on 05 May, 2021

CiteScoreTracker 2021 ⓘ

$$2.6 = \frac{2,052 \text{ Citations to date}}{788 \text{ Documents to date}}$$

Last updated on 05 May, 2021 • Updated monthly

CiteScore rank 2020 ⓘ

Category	Rank	Percentile
Social Sciences		
Education	#315/1319	76th

[View CiteScore methodology >](#) [CiteScore FAQ >](#) [Add CiteScore to your site ↗](#)

About Scopus

- [What is Scopus](#)
- [Content coverage](#)
- [Scopus blog](#)
- [Scopus API](#)
- [Privacy matters](#)

Language

- [日本語に切り替える](#)
- [切换到简体中文](#)
- [切换到繁體中文](#)
- [Русский язык](#)

Customer Service

- [Help](#)
- [Contact us](#)



SJR

Scimago Journal & Country Rank

Enter Journal Title, ISSN or Publisher Name

[Home](#)[Journal Rankings](#)[Country Rankings](#)[Viz Tools](#)[Help](#)[About Us](#)

International Journal of Instruction

COUNTRY[Turkey](#)Universities and research
institutions in Turkey**SUBJECT AREA AND
CATEGORY**[Social Sciences
Education](#)**PUBLISHER**[Faculty of
Education,
Eskisehir
Osmangazi
University](#)Eskisehir
Osmangazi
University in
Scimago
Institutions
Rankings**H-INDEX****19****PUBLICATION TYPE**[Journals](#)**ISSN**13081470,
1694609X**COVERAGE**


2013-2020

INFORMATION[Homepage](#)[How to publish in
this journal](#)iji@ogu.edu.tr




Ad closed by Google

SCOPE

International Journal of Instruction is an internationally recognized journal in the field of education and is published four times a year (in January, April, July & October). The aim of this journal is to publish high quality studies in the areas of instruction, learning, teaching, curriculum development, learning environments, teacher education, educational technology, educational developments. Studies may relate to any age level - from infants to adults. IJI, being an international journal, our editorial advisory board members are from various countries around the world. The articles sent to the Journal are always reviewed by two members of the Editorial Advisory Board (double blind peer review), and in some cases, if necessary, by another member of the Board. Depending on the evaluation reports of the members of the Editorial Advisory Board, articles are published or not. Article evaluation process takes approximately three months. The authors are responsible for the errors, if any, in their published articles. The articles need to be not published elsewhere previously.

 Join the conversation about this journal






Ad closed by Google


  Quartiles


Ad closed by Google

FIND SIMILAR JOURNALS ?

<p>1 Cogent Education GBR</p> <p>44% similarity</p>	<p>2 Problems of Education in the 21st Century LTU</p> <p>43% similarity</p>	<p>3 European Journal of Educational Research NLD</p> <p>42% similarity</p>	<p>4 International Journal of Learning, Teaching and Educational Research MUS</p> <p>41% similarity</p>
---	--	---	---





International Journal of Instruction
 Education
 Q2 best quartile
 SJR 2020
 0.54
 powered by scimagojr.com

← Show this widget in your own website

Just copy the code below and paste within your html code:

```
<a href="https://www.scima
```

Ads by Google

Stop seeing this ad

Why this ad? ⓘ

Metrics based on Scopus® data as of April 2021

R **rasha salem** 2 months ago

please I posted my article since 20/3/2021 and tell now no responds from editors please connect me with any responsible editors ar how to connect with them

reply



Melanie Ortiz 2 months ago

SCImago Team

Dear Rasha,
thank you very much for your comment. We suggest you contact the editorial staff for further information, you can check the contact email address just above.
Best Regards, SCImago Team

Compose

Mail

- Inbox 772
- Starred
- Snoozed
- Sent

Chat



No conversations
[Start a chat](#)

Spaces



No spaces yet
[Create or find a space](#)

Meet

e-iji.net'ten Makale gönderildi External Inb



e-iji.net <info@e-iji.net>
to me, submit.iji

Submit Article

First name Saiful
 Last name Prayogi
 Title (Mrs/Ms/Dr etc) Dr
 Your email: saifulprayogi@ikipmataram.ac.id
 Scope of the Article: learning and teaching
 Article subject Problem-Based Learning with Character-Emf
 Add article (doc, docx) 20200219060639_iji_Suhirman.doc
 Confirm (1) 1
 Confirm (2) 1

IP: 180.242.154.214



Compose

Mail

Inbox 772

Starred

Snoozed

Sent

Chat



No conversations

[Start a chat](#)

Spaces



No spaces yet

[Create or find a space](#)

Meet

Your_submission External Inbox x



International Journal of Instruction <editor.eiji@gmail.com>

to suhirman, me, Muhammad

Dear author,

We received your article. Thank you very much for your interest

After a pre-assessment with careful consideration by the members of the editorial board, your manuscript was found not to be prepared for publication.

Meanwhile, the authors of the articles that are accepted for publication **us know, and then we will start article evaluation process.** Each author does not receive payment for their article, but is paid by all authors of an article all together. Each author does please confirm the receipt of this e-mail?

Note: Please do not send any e-mail to submit.iji@gmail.com

Sincerely yours,

Editorial

International Journal of Instruction

Note: International Journal of Instruction has a wide range of articles published. Therefore, we do not accept any rejections.

- Compose
- Mail
 - Inbox 772
 - Starred
 - Snoozed
 - Sent
- Chat
 - No conversations
 - Start a chat
- Spaces
 - No spaces yet
 - Create or find a space
- Meet


Navigation icons: back, forward, trash, mail, clock, refresh, print, share, search

Sincerely yours,
 Editorial
 International Journal of Instruction


Note: International Journal of Instruction has a wide range of abstracting/indexing services. However, the index services have the right of one-sided termination of the contracts and not to publish any of the articles. Therefore, we do not accept any responsibilities caused by indexing problems.


 International Journal of Instruction
<http://www.e-iji.net>
<http://www.gateacademy.ch>

Submit Article	
First name	Saiful
Last name	Prayogi
Title (Mrs/Ms/Dr etc)	Dr
Your email:	saifulprayogi@ikipmataram.ac.id
Scope of the Article:	learning and teaching
Article subject	Problem-Based Learning with Character-Emphasis and Naturalist Intelligence: Examining Students Critical Thinking and Curiosity
Add article (doc, docx)	20200219060639_iji_Suhirman.doc





 **Saiful Prayogi** <saifulprayogi@ikipmataram.ac.id>
 to International, suhirman, Muhammad ▾ Mar 9, 2020, 12:26 PM ☆ ↶ ⋮

Yes, I accept. Reply


 Gmail

 **Compose**

▼ Mail


-  **Inbox** 772
-  Starred
-  Snoozed
-  Sent

▼ Chat +




No conversations
[Start a chat](#)

▼ Spaces +



No spaces yet
[Create or find a space](#)

▶ Meet



    |    |  

Amendments Inbox x



iji@ogu.edu.tr

to suhirman, me, muhammadasyari ▾

Dear author

You have amendments from reviewers. Could you please amer e-mail (iji@ogu.edu.tr) as an attached file as soon as possible?

Sincerely yours,

Editorial

International Journal of Instruction

International Journal of Instruction

<http://www.e-iji.net>

-

5 Attachments





International Journal of Instruction Article Evaluation Form

Mr. /Mrs.

It is to acknowledge you that the Executive Committee of *International Journal of Instruction* has decided that the article mentioned below would be reviewed by you. Thank you very much for your contributions.

Asim ARI
Editor in Chief

Name of the article: Problem-Based Learning with Character-Emphasis and Naturalist Intelligence: Examining Students Critical Thinking and Curiosity

After reviewing the attached article, please read each item carefully and select the response that best reflects your opinion. To register your response, please **mark** or **type in** the appropriate block.

	Yes	Partially	No
Do you think the title is appropriate?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Does the abstract summarize the article clearly and effectively?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Are the objectives set clearly?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the issue stated clearly?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the literature review adequate?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the design of the research appropriate, and the exemplary, if any, suitable?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the methodology consistent with the practice?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Are the findings expressed clearly?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the presentation of the findings adequate and consistent?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Are the tables, if any, arranged well?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Are the conclusions and generalizations based on the findings?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Are the suggestions meaningful, valid, and based on the findings?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Are the references adequate?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Is the language clear and understandable?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is cohesion achieved throughout the article?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the work contributing to the field?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

- Evaluation:**
- The article can be published as it is.
 - The article can be published after some revision.
 - The article must undergo a major revision before it can be resubmitted to the journal.
 - The article cannot be published.

Would you like to see the revised article if you have suggested any revisions? Yes No

Please write your report either on this paper or on a spare paper.

REPORT

Section of the Manuscript	Comments and Notes
Title- Abstract-Summary	This is an interesting research.
Introduction and Literature Review	It present a clear and adequate introduction.
Research Methods	Researchers need to provide sampling, interventions details and orther information as noted in the attach report.
Research Findings	State hypothesis befor making the test- it should be better for reader understanding.

Discussion	Please provide the reason of similarity or differences according to the previous research or reserchers' opinion
Conclusion and Suggestions	
References and Citation	There were many errors on both in text citations and references. I have noted for easiler improvement. Following APA style were recommended.
Language	There were some language errors. Send to proof reader before sending the revised version was recommended.
Other issues	Please check the attached report for consideration including 0.05 or .05 and comma or dot.



International Journal of Instruction Article Evaluation Form

Mr. /Mrs.

It is to acknowledge you that the Executive Committee of *International Journal of Instruction* has decided that the article mentioned below would be reviewed by you. Thank you very much for your contributions.

Asim ARI
Editor in Chief

Name of the article: Problem-Based Learning with Character-Emphasis and Naturalist Intelligence: Examining Students Critical Thinking and Curiosity

After reviewing the attached article, please read each item carefully and select the response that best reflects your opinion. To register your response, please **mark** or **type in** the appropriate block.

	Yes	Partially	No
Do you think the title is appropriate?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Does the abstract summarize the article clearly and effectively?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Are the objectives set clearly?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the issue stated clearly?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the literature review adequate?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the design of the research appropriate, and the exemplary, if any, suitable?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the methodology consistent with the practice?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Are the findings expressed clearly?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the presentation of the findings adequate and consistent?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Are the tables, if any, arranged well?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Are the conclusions and generalizations based on the findings?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Are the suggestions meaningful, valid, and based on the findings?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Are the references adequate?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the language clear and understandable?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is cohesion achieved throughout the article?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the work contributing to the field?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

- Evaluation:**
- The article can be published as it is.
 - The article can be published after some revision.
 - The article must undergo a major revision before it can be resubmitted to the journal.
 - The article cannot be published.

Would you like to see the revised article if you have suggested any revisions? Yes No

Please write your report either on this paper or on a spare paper.

REPORT

Section of the Manuscript	Comments and Notes
Title- Abstract- Summary	
Introduction and Literature Review	
Research Methods	
Research Findings	

Discussion	
Conclusion and Suggestions	
References and Citation	
Language	
Other issues	



International Journal of Instruction Article Evaluation Form

Mr. /Mrs.

It is to acknowledge you that the Executive Committee of *International Journal of Instruction* has decided that the article mentioned below would be reviewed by you. Thank you very much for your contributions.

Asim ARI
Editor in Chief

Name of the article: Problem-Based Learning with Character-Emphasis and Naturalist Intelligence: Examining Students Critical Thinking and Curiosity

After reviewing the attached article, please read each item carefully and select the response that best reflects your opinion. To register your response, please **mark** or **type in** the appropriate block.

	Yes	Partially	No
Do you think the title is appropriate?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Does the abstract summarize the article clearly and effectively?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Are the objectives set clearly?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the issue stated clearly?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the literature review adequate?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the design of the research appropriate, and the exemplary, if any, suitable?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the methodology consistent with the practice?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Are the findings expressed clearly?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the presentation of the findings adequate and consistent?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Are the tables, if any, arranged well?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Are the conclusions and generalizations based on the findings?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Are the suggestions meaningful, valid, and based on the findings?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Are the references adequate?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the language clear and understandable?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is cohesion achieved throughout the article?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the work contributing to the field?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

- Evaluation:**
- The article can be published as it is.
 - The article can be published after some revision.
 - The article must undergo a major revision before it can be resubmitted to the journal.
 - The article cannot be published.

Would you like to see the revised article if you have suggested any revisions? Yes No

Please write your report either on this paper or on a spare paper.

REPORT

Section of the Manuscript	Comments and Notes
Title- Abstract-Summary	Well-written..
Introduction and Literature Review	As evident from your research title, kindly add more literature on naturalistic intelligence than on emotional intelligence.
Research Methods	Well-written section on research methodology...
Research Findings	Well-presented...

Discussion	Well-discussed study.
Conclusion and Suggestions	Simply add "Delimitation of the Study" and a concise recommendations section
References and Citation	Check whether your in-text citations were present under your References section, and vice versa so that there is no need for further revision.
Language	Choose between "naturalist" or "naturalistic" and use it throughout your paper for consistency
Other issues	None, except that an expert statistician (also a peer reviewer) should revisit the statistics used by the author of this study.

Problem-Based Learning with Character-Emphasis and Naturalist Intelligence: Examining Students Critical Thinking and Curiosity

This research aimed to examine the effects of problem-based learning with character emphasis and naturalist intelligence on the students' critical thinking skills and curiosity. This study was conducted at Islamic Senior High [\[School\]](#) in Mataram and it employed 3x2 treatment by level design. Three treatments; the problem-based learning with character emphasis (PBL-CE) (A1), the problem-based learning (PBL) (A2), and the regular learning (RL) (A3) were given to two groups; the students with high naturalist intelligence (B1) and low naturalist intelligence (B2). The data on the students' naturalist intelligence and critical thinking were collected through the test and the data on the students' curiosity was collected through self-assessment and observation sheets. The data were analyzed using MANOVA at 0.05 significance level. The results of the study showed that: (1) the PBL-CE affect the students critical thinking skills and curiosity, (2) the naturalist intelligence does not have a significant effect on the students critical thinking skills and students curiosity, and (3) the interaction between the PBL-CE and naturalist intelligence did not affect the students critical thinking skills and curiosity. PBL-CE can be applied as alternative learning to develop students' critical thinking and curiosity.

Keywords: Problem-based learning, character emphasis, naturalist intelligence, critical thinking, curiosity.

INTRODUCTION

Learning does not only focus on academic performance but also develops and increases the capacity of students as a whole (Mak, 2014). Education must be managed so that it can produce thinking resources capable of building a knowledge-based social and economic order in the 21st century (Elmuhtarim, 2018; Patel et al., 2017), industry 4.0 (Schwab, 2016), and society 5.0 ([\[Skobelev, Borovik, 2017\]](#)). Students must be trained to use the power of arguments, thinking skills, and morals or characters in education. Students' capacity including skills, work habits, and characters that are believed to be essential to achieve a successful life ([\[Anazifa, Djukri, 2017\]](#)). They will face rapid and arduous changes in science and technology ([\[Ritter, Mostert, 2016\]](#); [\[Mapeala, Siew, 2015\]](#); [\[Rehmat, Bailey, 2014\]](#)). In brief, critical thinking skills, characters, and curiosity are three pivotal capital for students to face the world changes which must be developed simultaneously in education.

Critical thinking skills are an important aspect of modern education (Mouhtarim, 2018; [\[Walter, Walter, 2018\]](#)), important competencies in the successful performance of students (Hasanpour et al., 2018), and the most important real-life skills ([\[Mutakinati, Anwari, 2018\]](#)). It is an essential ability that must be possessed by students in solving problems (Handoyo et al., 2019; Özgenel, 2018) and a process to make sound decisions (Özgenel, 2018) so that what we think is best about a truth we can do right. Critical thinking helps the student to define the cause of a change in a variable and the effect of one variable on other variables ([\[Duran, Dökme, 2016\]](#)). The essential things in critical thinking are practical, reflective, reasonable (Handoyo et al., 2019; [\[Mutakinati, Anwari,](#)

Commented [R1]: School

Commented [R2]: In-Text citations

The items on the reference list must be arranged according to APA Referencing. (Publication Manual of the American Psychological Association).

Commented [R3]: In-Text citations

The items on the reference list must be arranged according to APA Referencing. (Publication Manual of the American Psychological Association).

Commented [R4]: In-Text citations

The items on the reference list must be arranged according to APA Referencing. (Publication Manual of the American Psychological Association).

Commented [R5]: In-Text citations

The items on the reference list must be arranged according to APA Referencing. (Publication Manual of the American Psychological Association).

Commented [R6]: In-Text citations

The items on the reference list must be arranged according to APA Referencing. (Publication Manual of the American Psychological Association).

Commented [R7]: In-Text citations

The items on the reference list must be arranged according to APA Referencing. (Publication Manual of the American Psychological Association).

Commented [R8]: In-Text citations

The items on the reference list must be arranged according to APA Referencing. (Publication Manual of the American Psychological Association).

Commented [R9]: In-Text citations

The items on the reference list must be arranged according to APA Referencing (Publication Manual of the American Psychological Association).

(2018), beliefs, and actions. From this combination of five basic components, critical thinking is defined as reflective thinking that focuses on deciding what to believed to do.

In the learning of science, students' critical thinking can be seen from the ability of students to respond to every problem about everyday life. Critical students tend to be more active in trying to solve problems (Handoyo et al., 2019), self-corrective thinking (Mutakinati, Anwari, 2018), and can better recognize and regulate their emotions (Yao et al., 2018). They actively clarify a problem by asking, looking at a problem from a different side, digging up important information related to the problem to be solved, daring to express their opinions and ideas to criticize solutions that he thinks are rational, and able to draw conclusions from solving existing problems.

Curiosity is one of the educational aspects that must be developed in learning. Curiosity is defined as a willingness to explore something that is unknown, meet new things, and accept uncertainty (Arnone et al., 2011). It is a personality (Kaczmarek et al., 2014), an integral aspect of science learning (Weible, Zimmerman, 2016), and the importance that an individual should have as a requirement of learning (Gulsten et al., 2011). Students who have high curiosity are indicated by; enthusiastic to seek answers each question, attention to the observed object, enthusiastic on the science process, and put on every step of the activity (Nasution et al., 2018).

Prior studies indicate that the students' critical thinking skills are not satisfactory. Critical thinking skills are still low (Khasani et al., 2019). Previous studies (Latifa et al., 2017; Qing et al., 2010; Miri et al., 2007) reports that the students critical thinking skill is the lowest secondary school. The learning process that has been going on so far has not been able to improve critical thinking because cognitive aspects are only emphasized on results not on aspects of processes that involve critical thinking skills. In addition, the learning model applied by the teacher does not lead to the achievement of critical thinking skills. Practicing critical thinking requires a holistic approach using appropriate teaching modes that allow students to manipulate their cognitive processes to stimulate their critical thinking skills (Prayogi et al., 2018; Prayogi et al., 2019; Wahyudi et al., 2019). The students curiosity in learning is also far from expectation. Ciptasari et al (2015) found that the students' curiosity is in a low category. Students' activity such as questioning or reading several relevant sources did not significantly increase in learning (Nasution et al., 2018). Therefore, it is necessary to make a serious effort by creating appropriate learning strategies for the continuous development of the students' critical thinking skills and the students' curiosity.

Learning strategy is one of the external factors which affect the students' critical thinking skills and curiosity. Besides that, there are also internal factors, one of which is naturalist intelligence. Essentially, naturalist intelligence is a part of multiple intelligence possessed by humans. It involves sensitivity to problems or natural phenomena and the ability to distinguish between living and non-living things or beings. According to Roos (2017), students with high naturalist intelligence have a strong affinity for nature. Strong affinity is indicated by great interest in learning the topics related to nature and its phenomena.

Commented [R10]: In-Text citations

The items on the reference list must be arranged according to APA Referencing. (Publication Manual of the American Psychological Association).

Commented [R11]: In-Text citations

The items on the reference list must be arranged according to APA Referencing. (Publication Manual of the American Psychological Association).

Commented [R12]: In-Text citations

The items on the reference list must be arranged according to APA Referencing. (Publication Manual of the American Psychological Association).

Commented [R13]: report

Commented [R14]: intelligences

To match the format of its nominal group, this noun should be in the plural form.

Author surnames go here

3

Classroom instruction is one of the efforts to develop the students' critical thinking skills and students' curiosity. Ideally, it ought to be designed to improve the students' academic performance as well as increase the students' curiosity. The learning models should be enriched with character education to help schools develop students' morality (Dinkha, 2008). The instructional design which embeds character is important to make sure that the learning is also focused on character building, not a mere achievement of academic results. Designing and intervening in instruction systematically for creating a learning environment needs to be carried out and its effectiveness needs to be investigated in developing the students' thinking skills (Tiruneh et al., 2018; Delaney et al., 2017).

In the current research, the intervention in learning is the addition of character content to the syntax of problem-based learning which is later known as problem-based learning with character emphasis (PBL-CE). PBL-CE is a kind of PBL strategy designed by sharpening stages of the learning activities in order to strengthen the students' character and diversifying the syntax of PBL in terms of meaning in order to establish the students' character. Thus, the stages of the learning in PBL-CE are enriched with activities that encourage the students to the practice of forming characters consciously or unconsciously, without ignoring the meaning in the syntax of PBL itself.

Existing research applying PBL integrated with multimedia, and its significantly influences the students' critical thinking ability (Susilawati et al., 2018). However, practicing critical thinking with multimedia including virtual laboratories still does not expose learners to real-world situations, because the process of training critical thinking will be better when there is conduction between the topic being studied with the real-world context (Hofstein et al., 2001), so the virtual laboratory (virtual fields) cannot replace real laboratories (Cassady et al., 2008). Complex, contextual, and structural problems provide the students with an opportunity to develop their ability to think analytically, evaluatively, and reflectively and develop their creativity in exploring various information, develop various possible solutions, and create various sources to solve the problems faced (Tsai, Chiang, 2013). PBL increases the students' self-confidence, creates a supportive environment which leads to teamwork, enhances interpersonal communication and critical thinking skills, and build self-awareness (Moody et al., 2018; Aldarmahi, 2016). According to Aldarmahi (2016), PBL is useful for students in constructing knowledge, developing reasoning processes, improving independent learning skills, and increasing intrinsic learning motivation. This research seeks to find out the effect of PBL-CE and naturalist intelligence on the students' critical thinking skills and curiosity.

Problem of Research

Facing the 21st century, education in Indonesia must be oriented to mathematics and science accompanied by social and human sciences (BSNP, 2010). Human resources needed are those who have the ability to think critically and solve problems (Eichmann et al., 2019; Osman, 2010; Oktay, Safak, 2012) and the ability to create and renew (Ertmer et al., 2014). The fact that occurs in Indonesian secondary schools, critical thinking skills has not become a serious concern in learning. The teachers' do not

Commented [R15]: In-Text citations

The items on the reference list must be arranged according to APA Referencing. (Publication Manual of the American Psychological Association).

Commented [R16]: In-Text citations

The items on the reference list must be arranged according to APA Referencing. (Publication Manual of the American Psychological Association).

consciously plan and carry out learning which only emphasizes low-level thinking skills.

Education is not merely a function of transferring knowledge, but also as a driver for the development of noble values which are the basis for the development of good morals (Callina et al., 2018; Isdaryanti et al., 2018; Muliastuti, 2017). Good morals include curiosity. Therefore, schools must encourage students to be able to understand good moral values, have moral feelings, and finally have a strong commitment to carry out what they know and feel in moral action. In fact the cultivation of noble values, such as curiosity is still not running optimally. The science teacher considers that the inculcation of character is mostly done by the teachers who teach the religious subject. In addition, an assessment of students curiosity aspects is carried out by the teacher in order to complete the teaching administration when supervising learning by the school principal.

Constructivism learning activities include observing phenomena, collecting data, formulating and testing hypotheses, through collaboration with others. This is in accordance with the nature of science which includes the scientific attitude of science, scientific processes, and scientific products. The scientific attitude of science is related to curiosity, humility, openness, honesty, positive models of failure, and responsibility. The science process is related to thinking skills, which is the process carried out by scientists through scientific methods. Science products include scientific concepts, principles, and theories. But the reality is that the teacher of secondary schools in Indonesia is still dominant in implementing regular learning and the learning process is more dominated by the teacher. In fact, the regular learning model has not been able to develop **students' critical thinking** skills and has not been able to accustom students' attitudes of curiosity.

Research Focus

This research focused on the effect of the PBL-CE on the students' critical thinking skills and curiosity, the effect of the naturalist intelligence on the students' critical thinking skills and curiosity, and the effect of the interaction between the PBL-CE and naturalist intelligence on the students critical thinking skills and curiosity.

METHODS

This was a quasi-experimental study conducted at secondary schools in Mataram – Indonesia, using treatment by level 3 x 2 design. Three kinds of treatments namely the PBL-CE (A1), the PBL (A2), and the RL (A3), were given to both the students with high naturalist intelligence (B1) and the students with low naturalist intelligence (B2) during the learning.

The PBL-CE group is given PBL which is enriched by teacher activities that encourage the strengthening of student character. PBL groups are given learning with PBL strategies only. The RL group received learning as planned by the teacher. The lesson was carried out on a biology course for 10 sessions on the topic of the ecosystem and environmental change by the same teacher in three groups.

Commented [R17]: students' critical thinking

Author surnames go here

5

Participants/Group

The research subjects (participants) involved three randomly selected groups from two secondary schools. Participants involved in the PBL-CE (A1) group were 56 students, PBL (A2) group were 58 students, and the RL group (A3) were 56 students. The grouping of the students into B1 and B2 was based on the rank of their naturalist intelligence test scores. Group B1 covered 50% of the students achieving high naturalist intelligence test score and group B2 included 50% of the students achieving low naturalist intelligence test scores.

Instrument and Procedures

This study measures two variables that are students' critical thinking skills and students' curiosity. Students' critical thinking skills are measured by essay test instruments, and students' curiosity is measured by self-assessment instruments. In this study also developed instruments to measure the natural intelligence, this is used to distinguish participants with high naturalist intelligence and low naturalist intelligence. Therefore, each variable developed its own instrument. The stages of instrument development, namely; presentation of conceptual definitions of variables, operational definitions of variables, instrument lines, preparation of instruments, determination of content validity, and testing of instruments to determine internal consistency and instrument reliability.

Determination of the content validity using the Lawshe method. The value of the content validity is indicated by the content validity ratio (CVR) after being validated by six experts. The instrument test was conducted on 40 students who were not research subjects. Based on the test results, the critical thinking skills test instrument consisted of 7 essay items having internal consistency ranging from 0,64 to 0,91 and the instrument reliability of 0,95. The 7 items students curiosity self-assessment instrument had internal consistency ranging from 0,40 to 0,78 and the instrument reliability of 0,88. The naturalist intelligence test instrument consisted of 29 items having internal consistency ranging from 0,32 to 0,63 and the instrument reliability of 0,92.

Data Analysis

The data on the students' critical thinking skills and curiosity scores were analyzed using descriptive and inferential statistics. The descriptive statistics were used to get a level of students' critical thinking skills and students' curiosity. The inferential statistics Manova was used to test the research hypothesis at the 0.05 significance level.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Critical Thinking Skills

The effect of the treatment of the PBL-CE and naturalist intelligence on critical thinking skills is seen from normalized gain scores (NGs). The comparison of NGs of the students thinking skills in the three groups is presented in Table 1. The average NGs in the PBL-CE group is higher than the PBL and RL groups. The PBL and RL groups have the same category of critical thinking skills, namely low, but the BPL-CE group gets into the moderate category. Based on the data above, it is descriptively known that

the critical thinking skills of the students taught with PBL-CE are better than the PBL and RL groups. Table 1 also reveals the comparison between NGs of the students' critical thinking skills with the students' high (B1) and low (B2) naturalist intelligence in group A1, A2, and A3. The average NGs of the students in the A1 group are higher than those in the A2 group in the three treatment groups. The results indicate that the students' critical thinking skills with high naturalist intelligence are better than those with low naturalist intelligence. They also indicate that PBL-CE is able to encourage many students to get a very high and high category in comparison to the teaching and learning using RL. The distribution of the students' abilities in the critical thinking skills aspect based on NGs is presented in Figure 1.

Table 1
Students critical thinking skills based on NGs in the three groups

Groups	Naturalist Intelligence	Mean	Std. Deviation	N
(A1) PBL-CE	(B1) High	0,4229	0,18294	28
	(B2) Low	0,4079	0,18685	28
	Total A1	0,4154 (category: Moderate)	0,18337	56
(A2) PBL	(B1) High	0,3514	0,19697	29
	(B2) Low	0,2493	0,20461	29
	Total A2	0,3003 (category: Low)	0,20561	58
(A3) RL	(B1) High	0,3061	0,29494	28
	(B2) Low	0,2757	0,24586	28
	Total A3	0,2909 (category: Low)	0,26947	56

Commented [R18]: Category

The first letter of a sentence should be in upper case if multiple sentences are part of the same parentheses or if the parentheses contains only one sentence with a separator (period, interrogative character, etc.).

Figure 1 shows that the students in the PBL-CE group had a greater frequency for the moderate category than those in the PBL and the PR groups. This implies that learning using PBL-CE has a better effect on the students' critical thinking skills.




Figure 1

Comparison of the students' frequency based on NGs in each category of the students' critical thinking skills in the three groups.

Students Curiosity

Measurement of the students' curiosity was carried out after the treatment had been completed. The results of data analysis on the students' curiosity using descriptive statistics are presented in Table 2. It shows that there are differences in the average scores and categories of the students' curiosity in the three groups. The average score of the students' curiosity in the PBL-CE group is higher than those in the PBL and the RL groups. The PBL-CE and the PBL groups have the same category pertaining to curiosity, namely good, but the RL group gets into the lower category, namely fair. Based on the data, it can be descriptively stated that the students' curiosity taught with PBL-CE is better than the PBL and RL groups.

Table 2

Students curiosity in the three groups

Groups	Naturalist Intelligence	Mean	Std. Deviation	N
(A1) PBL-CE	(B1) High	3,0843	0,26599	28
	(B2) Low	3,0596	0,27175	28
	Total A1	3,0320 (Category: Good)	0,26789	56
(A2) PBM	(B1) High	3,0879	0,24972	29
	(B2) Low	3,0269	0,38813	29
	Total A2	3,0574 (Category: Good)	0,32493	58
(A3) RL	(B1) High	2,9254	0,40578	28
	(B2) Low	2,8532	0,27356	28
	Total A3	2,8893 (Category: Fair)	0,34481	56

Table 2 also shows the comparison of the students' curiosity scores with the students with high (B1) and low (B2) naturalist intelligence in A1, A2, and A3 groups. The average score of the students' curiosity for the A1 group was higher than the A2 group in the three groups. The results indicate that the students' curiosity with high naturalist intelligence is better than those with low naturalist intelligence. The PBL-CE is able to encourage many students to get into the very good and the good category in comparison to the learning using the RL. The distribution of the students based on the caring score category is presented in Figure 2.

It is known from figure 2 that the students in the PBL-CE group have a greater frequency for a good category than those in the PBL and PR groups. This indicates that learning with PBL-CE had a better effect on the students' curiosity.



Figure 2
Comparison of the distribution of the students based on the curiosity score category in the three groups.

Hypothesis Testing

Hypothesis testing of the Manova using SPSS 16.0 was applied to see the main and simple effect at the 0.05 significance level. The results of the analysis are presented in Table 3.

Table 3
The results of multivariate tests

Effect	F	Sig.
Intercept	7,600	0,000
Groups	4,822	0,001
Naturalist	1,093	0,338
Groups * Naturalist	0,621	0,648

In Table 3, it can be stated that (1) PBL-CE have a significant effect on the students critical thinking skills and curiosity, (2) naturalist intelligence have no significant effect on the students critical thinking skills and curiosity, and (3) The interaction between PBL-CE and naturalist intelligence have no significant effect on the students critical thinking skills and curiosity.

The next analysis is to determine the effect of the PBL-CE variables and naturalist intelligence on each variable of the students' critical thinking and the students' curiosity. The results of the analysis are shown in Table 4. Table 4 shows that there were significant differences in the average NGs of students' critical thinking skills and the students' curiosity scores between the PBL-CE, the PBL, and the RL groups. Thus, learning using PBL-CE affects students' critical thinking skills and curiosity.

Table 4

Tests of between-subject effects

Source	Dependent Var.	Sum of Square	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Groups	^a CT skills	0,540	2	0,270	5,479	0,005
	^b Curiosity	0,928	2	0,464	4,658	0,011
Naturalist	^a CT skills	0,103	1	0,103	2,081	0,151
	^b Curiosity	0,029	1	0,029	0,287	0,593
Groups * Naturalist	^a CT skills	0,062	2	0,031	0,627	0,535
	^b Curiosity	0,140	2	0,070	0,704	0,496
Error	^a CT skills	8,086	164	0,049		
	^b Curiosity	16,335	164	0,100		
Total	^a CT skills	27,884	170			
	^b Curiosity	1540,959	170			
Corrected Total	^a CT skills	8,793	169			
	^b Curiosity	17,432	169			

CT = Critical thinking

The post hoc test was conducted to ascertain the group having the best effect toward the variables of the students. The results show that the differences in the average scores of the students critical thinking skills and the students curiosity. The students taught with the PBL-CE obtained the critical thinking average score which is significantly different from the PBL and RL group. PBL-CE treatment is the best treatment to improve students' critical thinking skills. Table 5 also shows that the students taught with the PBL-CE obtained higher curiosity average score and it is not significantly different from the PBL group, but they are significantly different from the RL group. However, PBL-CE treatment is the best treatment to improve students' curiosity. The results of the analysis are presented in Table 5.

Table 5
The results of the LSD test

Dependent Variable	(I) Groups	(J) Groups	Mean Diff. (I-J)	Std. Error	Sig.
Critical thinking Skills	1	2	0,1150*	0,04160	0,006
		3	0,1245*	0,04196	0,003
	2	1	-0,1150*	0,04160	0,006
		3	0,0095	0,04160	0,821
	3	1	-0,1245*	0,04196	0,003
		2	-0,0095	0,04160	0,821
Curiosity	1	2	-0,0254	0,05913	0,667
		3	0,1427*	0,05964	0,018
	2	1	0,0254	0,05913	0,667
		3	0,1681*	0,05913	0,005
	3	1	-0,1427*	0,05964	0,018
		2	0,0254	0,05913	0,667

	2	-0,1681*	0,05913	0,005
--	---	----------	---------	-------

Group 1 = PBL-CE, 2 = PBL, 3 = RL

*The mean difference is significant at the 0.05 level

The current research produces important findings regarding the effect of PBL-CE and naturalist intelligence on the students' critical thinking skills and curiosity. It showed that there is an effect of PBL-CE on the students' critical thinking skills and curiosity. The PBL-CE treatment is the best treatment to improve students' curiosity than those the PBL and the RL treatments.

During the lesson using the PBL-CE, characters were purposely designed through the teacher's action at each stage in the learning syntax, including caring character. In several stages of the learning activities, there were always activities of the teacher to generate and strengthen the students' character and remind them to do something related to certain characteristics such as curiosity about the environmental and social problems. In learning using PBL-CE, the students were faced with authentic problems in the environment surrounding them. The students get used to thinking critically when they are confronted with the ones which they often witness every day. The environmental problems the students dealt with were in the form of images and news of electronic media. The images and information from media are very appropriate for students to develop their thinking skills (Sutarto, Indrawati, & Prihatin, 2018). When PBL-CE was carried out, the students were encouraged to arouse their curiosity about the problems which existed in their environment by learning the problems given.

The results of the study also showed that there are no effect of naturalist intelligence and the interaction between PBL-CE and naturalist intelligence on the students' critical thinking skills and the students' curiosity. The PBL-CE seemed not to have a significant effect of activating the students' critical thinking skills and curiosity as it was paired with the students' naturalist intelligence. The PBL-CE and PBL did not only support the critical thinking skills and curiosity of the students with high naturalist intelligence, but also those with low naturalist intelligence. This condition was affected by PBL-CE and PBL which required both individuals and groups to participate actively in the activity of problem analysis, convey ideas, find the right information for solving the problem, build hypothesis, think about how the problem-solving works, and set up logic about the relationship between one fact with another. The students with high and low naturalist intelligence can respond well to the learning activities carried out using PBL-CE, PBL, and RL. According to Witte and Rogge (2016), the students in the PBL is sometimes not better than those in the traditional class in terms of knowledge acquisition or problem-solving skills.

One of the new findings in this study is that the problem-based learning with character emphasis treatment is able to encourage many students to get the higher categories of critical thinking skills and curiosity than those problem-based learning and regular learning treatments. The existing research only reveals the influence of PBL on students' critical thinking. The learning using PBL-CE gives the students a challenge in order that they can interact and solve the environmental problem given. Students ask closed questions and open questions about the environmental problems presented by the

Commented [R19]: are

The verb be must agree in number and person with its subject the students.

Author surnames go here

11

teacher. According to Almulla (2018) students who frequently ask open questions have better critical thinking skills. The completion of a problem requires analytical and critical thinking skills, analysis of possible solutions, evaluation of the problems and available solutions, and ability to design something as problem solving alternatives. These abilities need to be continually strengthened in the learning using PBL-CE, although the students taking part in it having high or low naturalist intelligence. It is different from the learning process using the regular learning which tends to place the students as the learning object. This has caused a decline in the ability of the students to analyze, evaluate, and create, and weaken the students' character in the learning. According to Aldarmahi (2016), in the PBL, the students are capable of integrating basic science with life problems in comparison to those in the conventional system.

CONCLUSION

PBL-CE has a significant effect on the students' critical thinking skills and students' curiosity. It supports the students to get higher categories of critical thinking skills and curiosity. It is the best treatment to improve students' critical thinking skills and students' curiosity. Naturalist intelligence does not have a significant effect on the students' critical thinking skills and students' curiosity. The interaction between PBL-CE and naturalist intelligence does not affect the students' critical thinking skills and students' curiosity. The students with high and low naturalist intelligence give the same response to the PBL-CE, the PBL, and the RL. The character can be implemented explicitly, in detail, and operational in PBL. PBL syntax can be enriched with activities that encourage students' critical thinking skills and express students' curiosity during learning. The teachers are required to be creative in developing learning resources needed in the learning process, such as student worksheets, tools and materials needed in the process of solving, problem, and assessment instruments for critical thinking skills and student curiosity that are valid and reliable.

REFERENCES

- Aldarmahi, A. (2016). The impact of problem based learning versus conventional education on students in the aspect of clinical reasoning and problem solving. *Education in Medicine Journal*, 8(3), 1–10.
- Almulla, M. (2018). Investigating teachers' perceptions of their own practices to improve students' critical thinking in secondary schools in Saudi Arabia. *International Journal of Cognitive Research in Science, Engineering and Education (IJCRSEE)*, 6(3), 15–27.
- Anazifa, R. & Djukri. (2017). Project-based learning and problem-based learning: Are they effective to improve students' thinking skills. *Jurnal Pendidikan IPA Indonesia*, 6(2), 346–355.
- Arnone, M. P., Small, R. V, Chauncey, S. A., & Mckenna, H. P. (2011). Curiosity, interest and engagement in technology-pervasive learning environments: A new research agenda Marilyn. *Education Tech Research Dev*, 181–198. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11423-011-9190-9>

- BSNP. (2010). *Paradigma Pendidikan Nasional Abad XXI. Versi 1.0*. Jakarta. [in Indonesia]
- Callina, K. S., Burkhard, B., Schaefer, H. S., Powers, J., Murray, E. D., Kobylski, G., Ryan, D. M., Kelly, D., Matthews, M. D., & Lerner, R. M. (2018). Character in context: Character structure among United States Military Academy cadets. *Journal of Moral Education, 48*(4), 1–26.
- Cassady, J. C., Kozłowski, A., & Kornmann, M. (2008). Electronic field trips as interactive learning events: promoting student learning at a distance. *Journal of Interactive Learning Research, 19*(3), 439–454.
- Ciptasari, D., Nuswawati, M., & Sumarni, W. (2015). Pembelajaran zat adiktif dan psikotropika berpendekatan contextual teaching and learning. *Unnes Science Education Journal, 4*(1), 756–762.
- Delaney, Y., Pattinson, B., McCarthy, J., & Beecham, S. (2017). Transitioning from traditional to problem-based learning in management education: The case of a frontline manager skills development programme. *Innovations in Education and Teaching International, 54*(3), 214–222.
- Dinkha, J. (2008). Effects of character education on the self-esteem of intellectually able and less able elementary students in Kuwait. *International Journal of Special Education, 5*(4), 47–59.
- Duran, M., & Dökme, I. (2016). The effect of the inquiry-based learning approach on student's critical-thinking skills. *Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education, 12*(12), 2887–2908.
- Eichmann, B., Goldhammer, F., Greiff, S., Pucite, L., & Naumann, J. (2019). The role of planning in complex problem solving. *Computers and Education, 128*, 1–12.
- Ertmer, P. A., Schlosser, S., Clase, K., & Adedokun, O. (2014). The grand challenge: Helping teachers learn/teach cutting-edge science via a PBL approach. *Interdisciplinary Journal of Problem Based Learning, 8*(1), 2–20.
- Gulten, D. C., Yaman, Y., Deringol, Y., & Ozsari, I. (2011). The relationship between curiosity level and computer self efficacy beliefs of mathematics, science, social sciences and elementary teachers candidates. *The Turkish Online Journal of Educational Technology, 10*(4), 11–13
- Handoyo, S. S., Iriani, T., & Septiandini, E. (2019). Study of the analysis on the characteristics of learning style of the students of the vocational education of building construction study program, Faculty of Engineering, Jakarta State University. *3rd UNJ International Conference on Technical and Vocational Education and Training, 339–348*.
- Hasanpour, Bagheri, M., & Heidari, F. G. (2018). The relationship between emotional intelligence and critical thinking skills in Iranian nursing students. *Medical Journal of the Islamic Republic of Iran (MJIRI), 2018*, 1–5

Author surnames go here

13

Hofstein, A., Nahum T. L., & Shore R. (2001). Assessment of the learning environment of inquiry-type laboratories in high school chemistry. *Learning Environments Research*, 4(3), 193-207.

Isdaryanti, R., Sukestiyarno, & Florentinus, W. (2018). Teachers' performance in science learning management integrated with character education. *Jurnal Pendidikan IPA Indonesia*, 7(1), 9-15.

Kaczmarek, L. D., Baczkowski, B., Enko, J., Baran, B., & Theuns, P. (2014). Subjective well-being as a mediator for curiosity and depression. *Polish Psychological Bulletin*, 45(2), 200-204.

Karen, M., Marlene, M., Rebecca, B., O, S. R., & Miriam, S. (2018). Providing pediatric palliative care education using problem-based learning. *Journal of Palliative Medicine*, 21(1), 22-28.

Khasani, R., Ridho, S., & Subali, B. (2019). Identifikasi Kemampuan Berpikir Kritis Siswa SMP Pada Materi Hukum Newton. *Jurnal Penelitian Pendidikan IPA*, 5(2), 165.

Latifa, B. R. A., Verawati, N. N. S. P., & Harjono, A. (2017). Pengaruh model learning cycle 5e (engage, explore, explain, elaboration, & evaluate) terhadap kemampuan berpikir kritis peserta didik kelas X MAN 1 Mataram. *Jurnal Pendidikan Fisika dan Teknologi*, 3(1), 61-67.

Mak, W. S. (2014). Evaluation of a moral and character education group for primary school students. *Discovery-SS Student E-Journal*, 3, 142-164.

Mapeala, R., & Siew, N. M. (2015). The development and validation of a test of science critical thinking for fifth graders. *SpringerPlus*, 4(1), 1-13.

Miri, B., Ben-Chaim, D., & Zoller, U. (2007). Purposely teaching for the promotion of higher-order thinking skills: A case of critical thinking. *Research in Science Education*, 37(4), 353-369.

Mouhtarim, S. E. (2018). Integrating critical thinking skills in reading courses at the university level: The case of faculty of letters and humanities, Beni-Mellal, Morocco. *Arab World English Journal*, 9(3), 331-344.

Muliastuti, L. (2017). *Panduan Penilaian Penguatan Pendidikan Karakter*. Jakarta: Pusat Analisis dan Sinkronisasi Kebijakan, Sekjen Kemendikbud.

Mutakinati, L., & Anwari, I. (2018). Analysis of students' critical thinking skill of middle school through STEM education project-based learning. *Jurnal Pendidikan IPA Indonesia*, 7(1), 54-65.

Nasution, D., Harahap, P. S., & Harahap, M. (2018). Development instrument's learning of physics through scientific inquiry model based Batak culture to improve science process skill and student's curiosity. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 970(1).

- Oktay, A., & Safak, U. (2012). Science and technology teacher candidates' problem solving skills. *Journal of Turkish Science Education*, 9(2), 82-94.
- Osman. (2010). Virtual tutoring: An online environment for scaffolding students' metacognitive problem solving expertise. *Journal of Turkish Science Education*, 7(4), 3-13
- Özgenel, M. (2018). Modeling the relationships between school administrators' creative and critical thinking dispositions with decision making styles and problem solving skills. *Educational Sciences: Theory & Practice*, 1, 673-700.
- Patel, C., Lei, Y., Liu, L., Vernica, R., Fan, J., Short, B., Liu, J., & Simske, S. J. (2017). Learning in the 21st century cyber-physical age. *APSIPA Transactions on Signal and Information Processing*, 6(12), 1-14.
- Prayogi, S., Yuanita, L., & Wasis. (2018). Critical inquiry based learning: A model of learning to promote critical thinking among prospective teachers of physic. *Journal of Turkish Science Education*, 15(1), 43-56
- Prayogi, S., Muhali, Yuliyanti, S., Asy'ari, M., Azmi, I., & Verawati, N. N. S. P. (2019). The effect of presenting anomalous data on improving student's critical thinking ability. *International Journal of Emerging Technologies in Learning*, 14(6), 133-137
- Qing, Z., Jing, G., & Yan. W. (2010). Promoting preservice teachers' critical thinking skills by inquiry-based chemical experiment. *Procedia Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 2, 4597-4603
- Rehmat, A. P., & Bailey, J. M. (2014). Technology integration in a science classroom: Preservice teachers' perceptions. *J Sci Educ Technol*, 23, 744-755.
- Ritter, S. M., & Mostert, N. (2016). Enhancement of creative thinking skills using a cognitive-based creativity training. *Journal of Cognitive Enhancement*, 1(3), 243-253.
- Roos, A. R. H. (2017). Naturalistic intelligence. International Montessori Schools and Child Development Centres Brussels, Belgium
- Schwab, K. (2016). *The Fourth Industrial Revolution*. Route de la Capite CH-1223 Cologny/Geneva Switzerland: World Economic Forum.
- Skobelev & Borovik, Y. (2017). On the way from industry 4.0 to industry 5.0. *International Scientific Journal "Industry 4.0."* 311(6), 307-311
- Susilawati, S., Jamaluddin, J., & Bachtar, I. (2018). Pengaruh model pembelajaran berbasis masalah (PBM) berbantuan multimedia terhadap kemampuan berpikir kritis peserta didik kelas VII SMP Negeri 2 Mataram ditinjau dari kemampuan akademik. *Jurnal Pijar MIPA*, 12(2), 64-70.
- Sutarto, Indrawati, J. Prihatin, P. A. D. (2018). Geometrical optics process image-based worksheets for enhancing students' higher-order thinking skills and self-regulated learning. *Jurnal Pendidikan IPA Indonesia*, 7(4), 376-382.

Author surnames go here

15

Tiruneh, D. T., De Cock, M., & Elen, J. (2018). Designing learning environments for critical thinking: Examining effective instructional approaches. *International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education*, 16(6), 1065–1089.

Tsai, C., & Chiang, Y. (2013). Research trends in problem-based learning (PBL) research in e-learning and online education environments: A review of publications in SSCI-indexed journals from 2004 to 2012. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 44(6), 185–191.

Wahyudi, Verawati, N. N. S. P., Ayub, S., & Prayogi, S. (2019). The effect of scientific creativity in inquiry learning to promote critical thinking ability of prospective teachers. *International Journal of Emerging Technologies in Learning*, 14(14), 122-131

Walter, C. and, & Walter, P. (2018). Is critical thinking a mediator variable of student performance in school? *Educational Research Quarterly*, 41(3), 3–24

Weible, J. L., & Zimmerman, H. T. (2016). Science curiosity in learning environments: Developing an attitudinal scale for research in schools, homes, museums, and the community. *International Journal of Science Education*, 38(8), 1235–1255.

Witte, K. D., & Rogge, N. (2016). Problem-based learning in secondary education: Evaluation by an experiment. *Education Economics*, 24(1), 58–82

Yao, X., Yuan, S., Yang, W., Chen, Q., Wei, D., Hou, Y. Zhang, L. Qiu, J., & Yang, D. (2018). Emotional intelligence moderates the relationship between regional gray matter volume in the bilateral temporal pole and critical thinking disposition. *Brain Imaging and Behavior*, 12(3), 488–498.

Compose

- Mail
 - Inbox 772
 - Starred
- Chat +
 - No conversations
- Spaces +
 - No spaces yet
- Meet

10 of 28



Saiful Prayogi <saifulprayogi@ikipmataram.ac.id>

May 18, 2020, 11:05 AM

to iji

Hello. Here we send the revision result of our article, we have also sent the same file via e-mail suhirmanmawal@gmail.com. Thanks.

Sincerely yours,
Dr. Saiful Prayogi - Indonesia



Problem-Based Learning with Character-Emphasis and Naturalist Intelligence: Examining Students Critical Thinking and Curiosity

This research aimed to examine the effects of problem-based learning with character emphasis and naturalist intelligence on the students' critical thinking skills and curiosity. This study was conducted at Islamic Senior High School in Mataram and it employed 3x2 treatment by level design. Three treatments; the problem-based learning with character emphasis (PBL-CE) (A1), the problem-based learning (PBL) (A2), and the regular learning (RL) (A3) were given to two groups; the students with high naturalist intelligence (B1) and low naturalist intelligence (B2). The data on the students' naturalist intelligence and critical thinking were collected through the test and the data on the students' curiosity was collected through self-assessment and observation sheets. The data were analyzed using MANOVA at 0,05 significance level. The results of the study showed that: (1) the PBL-CE affect the students critical thinking skills and curiosity, (2) the naturalist intelligence does not have a significant effect on the students critical thinking skills and students curiosity, and (3) the interaction between the PBL-CE and naturalist intelligence did not affect the students critical thinking skills and curiosity. PBL-CE can be applied as alternative learning to develop students' critical thinking and curiosity.

Keywords: Problem-based learning, character emphasis, naturalist intelligence, critical thinking, curiosity.

INTRODUCTION

Learning does not only focus on academic performance but also develops and increases the capacity of students as a whole (Mak, 2014). Education must be managed so that it can produce thinking resources capable of building a knowledge-based social and economic order in the 21st century (Elmuhtarim, 2018; Patel et al., 2017), industry 4.0 (Schwab, 2016), and society 5.0 (Skobelev & Borovik, 2017). Students must be trained to use the power of arguments, thinking skills, and morals or characters in education. Students' capacity including skills, work habits, and characters that are believed to be essential to achieve a successful life (Anazifa & Djukri, 2017). They will face rapid and arduous changes in science and technology (Ritter & Mostert, 2016; Mapeala & Siew, 2015; Rehmat & Bailey, 2014). In brief, critical thinking skills, characters, and curiosity are three pivotal capital for students to face the world changes which must be developed simultaneously in education.

Critical thinking skills are an important aspect of modern education (Mouhtarim, 2018; Walter & Walter, 2018), important competencies in the successful performance of students (Verawati et al., 2019a, 2019b; Hasanpour et al., 2018; Prayogi et al., 2017), and the most important real-life skills (Mutakinati & Anwari, 2018). It is an essential ability that must be possessed by students in solving problems (Handoyo et al., 2019; Özgenel, 2018) and a process to make sound decisions (Özgenel, 2018) so that what we think is best about a truth we can do right. Critical thinking helps the student to define the cause of a change in a variable and the effect of one variable on other variables (Duran & Dökme, 2016). The essential things in critical thinking are practical,

reflective, reasonable (Handoyo et al., 2019; Mutakinati & Anwari, 2018), beliefs, and actions. From this combination of five basic components, critical thinking is defined as reflective thinking that focuses on deciding what to believed to do.

In the learning of science, students' critical thinking can be seen from the ability of students to respond to every problem about everyday life. Critical students tend to be more active in trying to solve problems (Handoyo et al., 2019), self-corrective thinking (Mutakinati & Anwari, 2018), and can better recognize and regulate their emotions (Yao et al., 2018). They actively clarify a problem by asking, looking at a problem from a different side, digging up important information related to the problem to be solved, daring to express their opinions and ideas to criticize solutions that he thinks are rational, and able to draw conclusions from solving existing problems.

Curiosity is one of the educational aspects that must be developed in learning. Curiosity is defined as a willingness to explore something that is unknown, meet new things, and accept uncertainty (Arnone et al., 2011). It is a personality (Kaczmarek et al., 2014), an integral aspect of science learning (Weible & Zimmerman, 2016), and the importance that an individual should have as a requirement of learning (Gulten et al., 2011). Students who have high curiosity are indicated by; enthusiastic to seek answers each question, attention to the observed object, enthusiastic on the science process, and put on every step of the activity (Nasution et al., 2018).

Prior studies indicate that the students' critical thinking skills are not satisfactory. Critical thinking skills are still low (Khasani et al., 2019). Previous studies (Latifa et al., 2017; Qing et al., 2010; Miri et al., 2007) report that the students critical thinking skill is the lowest secondary school. The learning process that has been going on so far has not been able to improve critical thinking because cognitive aspects are only emphasized on results not on aspects of processes that involve critical thinking skills. In addition, the learning model applied by the teacher does not lead to the achievement of critical thinking skills. Practicing critical thinking requires a holistic approach using appropriate teaching modes that allow students to manipulate their cognitive processes to stimulate their critical thinking skills (Prayogi et al., 2018; Prayogi et al., 2019; Wahyudi et al., 2018, 2019a, 2019b). The students curiosity in learning is also far from expectation. Ciptasari et al (2015) found that the students' curiosity is in a low category. Students' activity such as questioning or reading several relevant sources did not significantly increase in learning (Nasution et al., 2018). Therefore, it is necessary to make a serious effort by creating appropriate learning strategies for the continuous development of the students' critical thinking skills and the students' curiosity.

Learning strategy is one of the external factors which affect the students' critical thinking skills and curiosity. Besides that, there are also internal factors, one of which is naturalist intelligence. Essentially, naturalist intelligence is a part of multiple intelligences possessed by humans. It involves sensitivity to problems or natural phenomena and the ability to distinguish between living and non-living things or beings. According to Roos (2017), students with high naturalist intelligence have a strong affinity for nature. Strong affinity is indicated by great interest in learning the topics related to nature and its phenomena.

Classroom instruction is one of the efforts to develop the students' critical thinking skills and students' curiosity. Ideally, it ought to be designed to improve the students' academic performance as well as increase the students' curiosity. The learning models should be enriched with character education to help schools develop students' morality (Dinkha, 2008). The instructional design which embeds character is important to make sure that the learning is also focused on character building, not a mere achievement of academic results. Designing and intervening in instruction systematically for creating a learning environment needs to be carried out and its effectiveness needs to be investigated in developing the students' thinking skills (Tiruneh et al., 2018; Delaney et al., 2017).

In the current research, the intervention in learning is the addition of character content to the syntax of problem-based learning which is later known as problem-based learning with character emphasis (PBL-CE). PBL-CE is a kind of PBL strategy designed by sharpening stages of the learning activities in order to strengthen the students' character and diversifying the syntax of PBL in terms of meaning in order to establish the students' character. Thus, the stages of the learning in PBL-CE are enriched with activities that encourage the students to the practice of forming characters consciously or unconsciously, without ignoring the meaning in the syntax of PBL itself.

Existing research applying PBL integrated with multimedia, and its significantly influences the students' critical thinking ability (Susilawati et al., 2018). However, practicing critical thinking with multimedia including virtual laboratories still does not expose learners to real-world situations, because the process of training critical thinking will be better when there is conduction between the topic being studied with the real-world context (Hofstein et al., 2001), so the virtual laboratory (virtual fields) cannot replace real laboratories (Cassady et al., 2008). Complex, contextual, and structural problems provide the students with an opportunity to develop their ability to think analytically, evaluatively, and reflectively and develop their creativity in exploring various information, develop various possible solutions, and create various sources to solve the problems faced (Tsai & Chiang, 2013). PBL increases the students' self-confidence, creates a supportive environment which leads to teamwork, enhances interpersonal communication and critical thinking skills, and build self-awareness (Moody et al., 2018; Aldarmahi, 2016). According to Aldarmahi (2016), PBL is useful for students in constructing knowledge, developing reasoning processes, improving independent learning skills, and increasing intrinsic learning motivation. This research seeks to find out the effect of PBL-CE and naturalist intelligence on the students' critical thinking skills and curiosity.

Problem of Research

Facing the 21st century, education in Indonesia must be oriented to mathematics and science accompanied by social and human sciences (BSNP, 2010). Human resources needed are those who have the ability to think critically and solve problems (Eichmann et al., 2019; Osman, 2010; Oktay & Safak, 2012) and the ability to create and renew (Ertmer et al., 2014). The fact that occurs in Indonesian secondary schools, critical thinking skills has not become a serious concern in learning. The teachers' do not

consciously plan and carry out learning which only emphasizes low-level thinking skills.

Education is not merely a function of transferring knowledge, but also as a driver for the development of noble values which are the basis for the development of good morals (Callina et al., 2018; Isdaryanti et al., 2018; Muliastuti, 2017). Good morals include curiosity. Therefore, schools must encourage students to be able to understand good moral values, have moral feelings, and finally have a strong commitment to carry out what they know and feel in moral action. In fact the cultivation of noble values, such as curiosity is still not running optimally. The science teacher considers that the inculcation of character is mostly done by the teachers who teach the religious subject. In addition, an assessment of students curiosity aspects is carried out by the teacher in order to complete the teaching administration when supervising learning by the school principal.

Constructivism learning activities include observing phenomena, collecting data, formulating and testing hypotheses, through collaboration with others. This is in accordance with the nature of science which includes the scientific attitude of science, scientific processes, and scientific products. The scientific attitude of science is related to curiosity, humility, openness, honesty, positive models of failure, and responsibility. The science process is related to thinking skills, which is the process carried out by scientists through scientific methods. Science products include scientific concepts, principles, and theories. But the reality is that the teacher of secondary schools in Indonesia is still dominant in implementing regular learning and the learning process is more dominated by the teacher. In fact, the regular learning model has not been able to develop students' critical thinking skills and has not been able to accustom students' attitudes of curiosity.

Research Focus

This research focused on the effect of the PBL-CE on the students' critical thinking skills and curiosity, the effect of the naturalist intelligence on the students' critical thinking skills and curiosity, and the effect of the interaction between the PBL-CE and naturalist intelligence on the students critical thinking skills and curiosity.

METHODS

This was a quasi-experimental study conducted at secondary schools in Mataram – Indonesia, using treatment by level 3 x 2 design. Three kinds of treatments namely the PBL-CE (A1), the PBL (A2), and the RL (A3), were given to both the students with high naturalist intelligence (B1) and the students with low naturalist intelligence (B2) during the learning.

The PBL-CE group is given PBL which is enriched by teacher activities that encourage the strengthening of student character. PBL groups are given learning with PBL strategies only. The RL group received learning as planned by the teacher. The lesson was carried out on a biology course for 10 sessions on the topic of the ecosystem and environmental change by the same teacher in three groups.

Participants/Group

The research subjects (participants) involved three randomly selected groups from two secondary schools. Participants involved in the PBL-CE (A1) group were 56 students, PBL (A2) group were 58 students, and the RL group (A3) were 56 students. The grouping of the students into B1 and B2 was based on the rank of their naturalist intelligence test scores. Group B1 covered 50% of the students achieving high naturalist intelligence test score and group B2 included 50% of the students achieving low naturalist intelligence test scores.

Instrument and Procedures

This study measures two variables that are students' critical thinking skills and students' curiosity. Critical thinking skills are measured by essay test instruments, and students' curiosity is measured by self-assessment instruments. In this study also developed instruments to measure the natural intelligence, this is used to distinguish participants with high naturalist intelligence and low naturalist intelligence. Therefore, each variable developed its own instrument. The stages of instrument development, namely; presentation of conceptual definitions of variables, operational definitions of variables, instrument lines, preparation of instruments, determination of content validity, and testing of instruments to determine internal consistency and instrument reliability.

Determination of the content validity using the Lawshe method. The value of the content validity is indicated by the content validity ratio (CVR) after being validated by six experts. The instrument test was conducted on 40 students who were not research subjects. Based on the test results, the critical thinking skills test instrument consisted of 7 essay items having internal consistency ranging from 0,64 to 0,91 and the instrument reliability of 0,95. The 7 items students curiosity self-assessment instrument had internal consistency ranging from 0,40 to 0,78 and the instrument reliability of 0,88. The naturalist intelligence test instrument consisted of 29 items having internal consistency ranging from 0,32 to 0,63 and the instrument reliability of 0,92.

Data Analysis

The data on the students' critical thinking skills and curiosity scores were analyzed using descriptive and inferential statistics. The descriptive statistics were used to get a level of students' critical thinking skills and students' curiosity. The inferential statistics Manova was used to test the research hypothesis at the 0,05 significance level.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Critical Thinking Skills

The effect of the treatment of the PBL-CE and naturalist intelligence on critical thinking skills is seen from normalized gain scores (NGs). The comparison of NGs of the students thinking skills in the three groups is presented in Table 1. The average NGs in the PBL-CE group is higher than the PBL and RL groups. The PBL and RL groups have the same category of critical thinking skills, namely low, but the PBL-CE group gets into the moderate category. Based on the data above, it is descriptively known that the critical thinking skills of the students taught with PBL-CE are better than the PBL

and RL groups. Table 1 also reveals the comparison between NGs of the students' critical thinking skills with the students' high (B1) and low (B2) naturalist intelligence in group A1, A2, and A3. The average NGs of the students in the A1 group are higher than those in the A2 group in the three treatment groups. The results indicate that the students' critical thinking skills with high naturalist intelligence are better than those with low naturalist intelligence. They also indicate that PBL-CE is able to encourage many students to get a very high and high category in comparison to the teaching and learning using RL. The distribution of the students' abilities in the critical thinking skills aspect based on NGs is presented in Figure 1.

Table 1

Students critical thinking skills based on NGs in the three groups

Groups	Naturalist Intelligence	Mean	Std. Deviation	N
(A1) PBL-CE	(B1) High	0,4229	0,18294	28
	(B2) Low	0,4079	0,18685	28
	Total A1	0,4154 (Category: Moderate)	0,18337	56
(A2) PBL	(B1) High	0,3514	0,19697	29
	(B2) Low	0,2493	0,20461	29
	Total A2	0,3003 (Category: Low)	0,20561	58
(A3) RL	(B1) High	0,3061	0,29494	28
	(B2) Low	0,2757	0,24586	28
	Total A3	0,2909 (Category: Low)	0,26947	56

Figure 1 shows that the students in the PBL-CE group had a greater frequency for the moderate category than those in the PBL and the PR groups. This implies that learning using PBL-CE has a better effect on the students' critical thinking skills.




Figure 1

Comparison of the students' frequency based on NGs in each category of the students' critical thinking skills in the three groups.

Students Curiosity

Measurement of the students' curiosity was carried out after the treatment had been completed. The results of data analysis on the students' curiosity using descriptive statistics are presented in Table 2. It shows that there are differences in the average scores and categories of the students' curiosity in the three groups. The average score of the students' curiosity in the PBL-CE group is higher than those in the PBL and the RL groups. The PBL-CE and the PBL groups have the same category pertaining to curiosity, namely good, but the RL group gets into the lower category, namely fair. Based on the data, it can be descriptively stated that the students' curiosity taught with PBL-CE is better than the PBL and RL groups.

Table 2
Students curiosity in the three groups

Groups	Naturalist Intelligence	Mean	Std. Deviation	N
(A1) PBL-CE	(B1) High	3,0843	0,26599	28
	(B2) Low	3,0596	0,27175	28
	Total A1	3,0320 (Category: Good)	0,26789	56
(A2) PBM	(B1) High	3,0879	0,24972	29
	(B2) Low	3,0269	0,38813	29
	Total A2	3,0574 (Category: Good)	0,32493	58
(A3) RL	(B1) High	2,9254	0,40578	28
	(B2) Low	2,8532	0,27356	28
	Total A3	2,8893 (Category: Fair)	0,34481	56

Table 2 also shows the comparison of the students' curiosity scores with the students with high (B1) and low (B2) naturalist intelligence in A1, A2, and A3 groups. The average score of the students' curiosity for the A1 group was higher than the A2 group in the three groups. The results indicate that the students' curiosity with high naturalist intelligence is better than those with low naturalist intelligence. The PBL-CE is able to encourage many students to get into the very good and the good category in comparison to the learning using the RL. The distribution of the students based on the caring score category is presented in Figure 2.

It is known from figure 2 that the students in the PBL-CE group have a greater frequency for a good category than those in the PBL and PR groups. This indicates that learning with PBL-CE had a better effect on the students' curiosity.




Figure 2

Comparison of the distribution of the students based on the curiosity score category in the three groups.

Hypothesis Testing

Hypothesis testing of the Manova using SPSS 16.0 was applied to see the main and simple effect at the 0,05 significance level. The results of the analysis are presented in Table 3.

Table 3

The results of multivariate tests

Effect	F	Sig.
Intercept	7,600	0,000
Groups	4,822	0,001
Naturalist	1,093	0,338
Groups * Naturalist	0,621	0,648

In Table 3, it can be stated that (1) PBL-CE have a significant effect on the students critical thinking skills and curiosity, (2) naturalist intelligence have no significant effect on the students critical thinking skills and curiosity, and (3) The interaction between PBL-CE and naturalist intelligence have no significant effect on the students critical thinking skills and curiosity.

The next analysis is to determine the effect of the PBL-CE variables and naturalist intelligence on each variable of the students' critical thinking and the students' curiosity. The results of the analysis are shown in Table 4. Table 4 shows that there were significant differences in the average NGs of students' critical thinking skills and the students' curiosity scores between the PBL-CE, the PBL, and the RL groups. Thus, learning using PBL-CE affects students' critical thinking skills and curiosity.

Table 4

Tests of between-subject effects

Source	Dependent Var.	Sum of Square	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Groups	^a CT skills	0,540	2	0,270	5,479	0,005
	^b Curiosity	0,928	2	0,464	4,658	0,011
Naturalist	^a CT skills	0,103	1	0,103	2,081	0,151
	^b Curiosity	0,029	1	0,029	0,287	0,593
Groups Naturalist	* ^a CT skills	0,062	2	0,031	0,627	0,535
	^b Curiosity	0,140	2	0,070	0,704	0,496
Error	^a CT skills	8,086	164	0,049		
	^b Curiosity	16,335	164	0,100		
Total	^a CT skills	27,884	170			
	^b Curiosity	1540,959	170			
Corrected Total	^a CT skills	8,793	169			
	^b Curiosity	17,432	169			

CT = Critical thinking

The post hoc test was conducted to ascertain the group having the best effect toward the variables of the students. The results show that the differences in the average scores of the students critical thinking skills and the students curiosity. The students taught with the PBL-CE obtained the critical thinking average score which is significantly different from the PBL and RL group. PBL-CE treatment is the best treatment to improve students' critical thinking skills. Table 5 also shows that the students taught with the PBL-CE obtained higher curiosity average score and it is not significantly different from the PBL group, but they are significantly different from the RL group. However, PBL-CE treatment is the best treatment to improve students' curiosity. The results of the analysis are presented in Table 5.

Table 5
The results of the LSD test

Dependent Variable	(I) Groups	(J) Groups	Mean Diff. (I-J)	Std. Error	Sig.
Critical thinking Skills	1	2	0,1150*	0,04160	0,006
		3	0,1245*	0,04196	0,003
	2	1	-0,1150*	0,04160	0,006
		3	0,0095	0,04160	0,821
	3	1	-0,1245*	0,04196	0,003
		2	-0,0095	0,04160	0,821
Curiosity	1	2	-0,0254	0,05913	0,667
		3	0,1427*	0,05964	0,018

2	1	0,0254	0,05913	0,667
	3	0,1681*	0,05913	0,005
3	1	-0,1427*	0,05964	0,018
	2	-0,1681*	0,05913	0,005

Group 1 = PBL-CE, 2 = PBL, 3 = RL

*The mean difference is significant at the 0,05 level

The current research produces important findings regarding the effect of PBL-CE and naturalist intelligence on the students' critical thinking skills and curiosity. It showed that there is an effect of PBL-CE on the students' critical thinking skills and curiosity. The PBL-CE treatment is the best treatment to improve students' curiosity than those the PBL and the RL treatments.

During the lesson using the PBL-CE, characters were purposely designed through the teacher's action at each stage in the learning syntax, including caring character. In several stages of the learning activities, there were always activities of the teacher to generate and strengthen the students' character and remind them to do something related to certain characteristics such as curiosity about the environmental and social problems. In learning using PBL-CE, the students were faced with authentic problems in the environment surrounding them. The students get used to thinking critically when they are confronted with the ones which they often witness every day. The environmental problems the students dealt with were in the form of images and news of electronic media. The images and information from media are very appropriate for students to develop their thinking skills (Sutarto, Indrawati, & Prihatin, 2018). When PBL-CE was carried out, the students were encouraged to arouse their curiosity about the problems which existed in their environment by learning the problems given.

The results of the study also showed that there are no effect of naturalist intelligence and the interaction between PBL-CE and naturalist intelligence on the students' critical thinking skills and the students' curiosity. The PBL-CE seemed not to have a significant effect of activating the students' critical thinking skills and curiosity as it was paired with the students' naturalist intelligence. The PBL-CE and PBL did not only support the critical thinking skills and curiosity of the students with high naturalist intelligence, but also those with low naturalist intelligence. This condition was affected by PBL-CE and PBL which required both individuals and groups to participate actively in the activity of problem analysis, convey ideas, find the right information for solving the problem, build hypothesis, think about how the problem-solving works, and set up logic about the relationship between one fact with another. The students with high and low naturalist intelligence can respond well to the learning activities carried out using PBL-CE, PBL, and RL. According to Witte and Rogge (2016), the students in the PBL are sometimes not better than those in the traditional class in terms of knowledge acquisition or problem-solving skills.

One of the new findings in this study is that the problem-based learning with character emphasis treatment is able to encourage many students to get the higher categories of critical thinking skills and curiosity than those problem-based learning and regular learning treatments. The existing research only reveals the influence of PBL on

students' critical thinking. The learning using PBL-CE gives the students a challenge in order that they can interact and solve the environmental problem given. Students ask closed questions and open questions about the environmental problems presented by the teacher. According to Almulla (2018) students who frequently ask open questions have better critical thinking skills. The completion of a problem requires analytical and critical thinking skills, analysis of possible solutions, evaluation of the problems and available solutions, and ability to design something as problem solving alternatives. These abilities need to be continually strengthened in the learning using PBL-CE, although the students taking part in it having high or low naturalist intelligence. It is different from the learning process using the regular learning which tends to place the students as the learning object. This has caused a decline in the ability of the students to analyze, evaluate, and create, and weaken the students' character in the learning. According to Aldarmahi (2016), in the PBL, the students are capable of integrating basic science with life problems in comparison to those in the conventional system.

CONCLUSION

PBL-CE has a significant effect on the students' critical thinking skills and students' curiosity. It supports the students to get higher categories of critical thinking skills and curiosity. It is the best treatment to improve students' critical thinking skills and students' curiosity. Naturalist intelligence does not have a significant effect on the students' critical thinking skills and students' curiosity. The interaction between PBL-CE and naturalist intelligence does not affect the students' critical thinking skills and students' curiosity. The students with high and low naturalist intelligence give the same response to the PBL-CE, the PBL, and the RL. The character can be implemented explicitly, in detail, and operational in PBL. PBL syntax can be enriched with activities that encourage students' critical thinking skills and express students' curiosity during learning. The teachers are required to be creative in developing learning resources needed in the learning process, such as student worksheets, tools and materials needed in the process of solving, problem, and assessment instruments for critical thinking skills and student curiosity that are valid and reliable.

REFERENCES

- Aldarmahi, A. (2016). The impact of problem based learning versus conventional education on students in the aspect of clinical reasoning and problem solving. *Education in Medicine Journal*, 8(3), 1–10.
- Almulla, M. (2018). Investigating teachers' perceptions of their own practices to improve students' critical thinking in secondary schools in Saudi Arabia. *International Journal of Cognitive Research in Science, Engineering and Education (IJCRSEE)*, 6(3), 15–27.
- Anazifa, R. & Djukri. (2017). Project-based learning and problem- based learning: Are they effective to improve students' thinking skills. *Jurnal Pendidikan IPA Indonesia*, 6(2), 346–355.

Arnone, M. P., Small, R. V., Chauncey, S. A., & Mckenna, H. P. (2011). Curiosity, interest and engagement in technology-pervasive learning environments: A new research agenda Marilyn. *Education Tech Research Dev*, 181–198. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11423-011-9190-9>

BSNP. (2010). *Paradigma Pendidikan Nasional Abad XXI. Versi 1.0*. Jakarta. [in Indonesia]

Callina, K. S., Burkhard, B., Schaefer, H. S., Powers, J., Murray, E. D., Kobylski, G., Ryan, D. M., Kelly, D., Matthews, M. D., & Lerner, R. M. (2018). Character in context: Character structure among United States Military Academy cadets. *Journal of Moral Education*, 48(4), 1–26.

Cassady, J. C., Kozlowski, A., & Kornmann, M. (2008). Electronic field trips as interactive learning events: promoting student learning at a distance. *Journal of Interactive Learning Research*, 19(3), 439-454.

Ciptasari, D., Nuswowati, M., & Sumarni, W. (2015). Pembelajaran zat adiktif dan psikotropika berpendekatan contextual teaching and learning. *Unnes Science Education Journal*, 4(1), 756–762.

Delaney, Y., Pattinson, B., Mccarthy, J., & Beecham, S. (2017). Transitioning from traditional to problem-based learning in management education: The case of a frontline manager skills development programme. *Innovations in Education and Teaching International*, 54(3), 214–222.

Dinkha, J. (2008). Effects of character education on the self-esteem of intellectually able and less able elementary students in Kuwait. *International Journal of Special Education*, 5(4), 47–59.

Duran, M., & Dökme, I. (2016). The effect of the inquiry-based learning approach on student's critical-thinking skills. *Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education*, 12(12), 2887–2908.

Eichmann, B., Goldhammer, F., Greiff, S., Pucite, L., & Naumann, J. (2019). The role of planning in complex problem solving. *Computers and Education*, 128, 1–12.

Ertmer, P. A., Schlosser, S., Clase, K., & Adedokun, O. (2014). The grand challenge: Helping teachers learn/teach cutting-edge science via a PBL approach. *Interdisciplinary Journal of Problem Based Learning*, 8(1), 2–20.

Gulten, D. C., Yaman, Y., Deringol, Y., & Ozsari, I. (2011). The relationship between curiosity level and computer self efficacy beliefs of mathematics, science, social sciences and elementary teachers candidates. *The Turkish Online Journal of Educational Technology*, 10(4), 11–13

Handoyo, S. S., Iriani, T., & Septiandini, E. (2019). Study of the analysis on the characteristics of learning style of the students of the vocational education of building construction study program, Faculty of Engineering, Jakarta State University. *3rd UNJ International Conference on Technical and Vocational Education and Training*, 339–348.

Author surnames go here

13

Hasanpour, Bagheri, M., & Heidari, F. G. (2018). The relationship between emotional intelligence and critical thinking skills in Iranian nursing students. *Medical Journal of the Islamic Republic of Iran (MJIRI)*, 2018, 1–5

Hofstein, A., Nahum T. L., & Shore R. (2001). Assessment of the learning environment of inquiry-type laboratories in high school chemistry. *Learning Environments Research*, 4(3), 193-207.

Isdaryanti, R., Sukestiyarno, & Florentinus, W. (2018). Teachers' performance in science learning management integrated with character education. *Jurnal Pendidikan IPA Indonesia*, 7(1), 9–15.

Kaczmarek, L. D., Baczkowski, B., Enko, J., Baran, B., & Theuns, P. (2014). Subjective well-being as a mediator for curiosity and depression. *Polish Psychological Bulletin*, 45(2), 200–204.

Karen, M., Marlene, M., Rebecca, B., O, S. R., & Miriam, S. (2018). Providing pediatric palliative care education using problem-based learning. *Journal of Palliative Medicine*, 21(1), 22–28.

Khasani, R., Ridho, S., & Subali, B. (2019). Identifikasi Kemampuan Berpikir Kritis Siswa SMP Pada Materi Hukum Newton. *Jurnal Penelitian Pendidikan IPA*, 5(2), 165.

Latifa, B. R. A., Verawati, N. N. S. P., & Harjono, A. (2017). Pengaruh model learning cycle 5e (engage, explore, explain, elaboration, & evaluate) terhadap kemampuan berpikir kritis peserta didik kelas X MAN 1 Mataram. *Jurnal Pendidikan Fisika dan Teknologi*, 3(1), 61-67.

Mak, W. S. (2014). Evaluation of a moral and character education group for primary school students. *Discovery-SS Student E-Journal*, 3, 142–164.

Mapeala, R., & Siew, N. M. (2015). The development and validation of a test of science critical thinking for fifth graders. *SpringerPlus*, 4(1), 1–13.

Miri, B., Ben-Chaim, D., & Zoller, U. (2007). Purposely teaching for the promotion of higher-order thinking skills: A case of critical thinking. *Research in Science Education*, 37(4), 353-369.

Mouhtarim, S. E. (2018). Integrating critical thinking skills in reading courses at the university level: The case of faculty of letters and humanities, Beni-Mellal, Morocco. *Arab World English Journal*, 9(3), 331–344.

Muliastuti, L. (2017). *Panduan Penilaian Penguatan Pendidikan Karakter*. Jakarta: Pusat Analisis dan Sinkronisasi Kebijakan, Sekjen Kemendikbud.

Mutakinati, L., & Anwari, I. (2018). Analysis of students' critical thinking skill of middle school through STEM education project-based learning. *Jurnal Pendidikan IPA Indonesia*, 7(1), 54–65.

Nasution, D., Harahap, P. S., & Harahap, M. (2018). Development instrument's learning of physics through scientific inquiry model based Batak culture to improve

- science process skill and student's curiosity. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 970(1).
- Öktay, A., & Safak, U. (2012). Science and technology teacher candidates' problem solving skills. *Journal of Turkish Science Education*, 9(2), 82-94.
- Osman. (2010). Virtual tutoring: An online environment for scaffolding students' metacognitive problem solving expertise. *Journal of Turkish Science Education*, 7(4), 3-13
- Özgenel, M. (2018). Modeling the relationships between school administrators' creative and critical thinking dispositions with decision making styles and problem solving skills. *Educational Sciences: Theory & Practice*, 1, 673-700.
- Patel, C., Lei, Y., Liu, L., Vernica, R., Fan, J., Short, B., Liu, J., & Simske, S. J. (2017). Learning in the 21st century cyber-physical age. *APSIPA Transactions on Signal and Information Processing*, 6(12), 1-14.
- Prayogi, S., Yuanita, L. & Wasis. (2017). Critical-Inquiry-Based-Learning: Model of learning to promote critical thinking ability of pre-service teachers. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series 947*: 1-6, doi:10.1088/1742-6596/947/1/012013
- Prayogi, S., Yuanita, L., & Wasis. (2018). Critical inquiry based learning: A model of learning to promote critical thinking among prospective teachers of physic. *Journal of Turkish Science Education*, 15(1), 43-56.
- Prayogi, S., Muhali, Yuliyanti, S., Asy'ari, M., Azmi, I., & Verawati, N. N. S. P. (2019). The effect of presenting anomalous data on improving student's critical thinking ability. *International Journal of Emerging Technologies in Learning*, 14(6), 133-137.
- Qing, Z., Jing, G., & Yan. W. (2010). Promoting preservice teachers' critical thinking skills by inquiry-based chemical experiment. *Procedia Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 2, 4597-4603
- Rehmat, A. P., & Bailey, J. M. (2014). Technology integration in a science classroom: Preservice teachers' perceptions. *J Sci Educ Technol*, 23, 744-755.
- Ritter, S. M., & Mostert, N. (2016). Enhancement of creative thinking skills using a cognitive-based creativity training. *Journal of Cognitive Enhancement*, 1(3), 243-253.
- Roos, A. R. H. (2017). Naturalistic intelligence. International Montessori Schools and Child Development Centres Brussels, Belgium
- Schwab, K. (2016). *The Fourth Industrial Revolution*. Route de la Capite CH-1223 Cologny/Geneva Switzerland: World Economic Forum.
- Skobelev & Borovik, Y. (2017). On the way from industry 4.0 to industry 5.0. *International Scientific Journal "Industry 4.0,"* 311(6), 307-311
- Susilawati, S., Jamaluddin, J., & Bachtiar, I. (2018). Pengaruh model pembelajaran berbasis masalah (PBM) berbantuan multimedia terhadap kemampuan berpikir kritis

peserta didik kelas VII SMP Negeri 2 Mataram ditinjau dari kemampuan akademik. *Jurnal Pijar MIPA*, 12(2), 64–70.

Sutarto, Indrawati, J. Prihatin, P. A. D. (2018). Geometrical optics process image-based worksheets for enhancing students' higher-order thinking skills and self-regulated learning. *Jurnal Pendidikan IPA Indonesia*, 7(4), 376–382.

Tiruneh, D. T., De Cock, M., & Elen, J. (2018). Designing learning environments for critical thinking: Examining effective instructional approaches. *International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education*, 16(6), 1065–1089.

Tsai, C., & Chiang, Y. (2013). Research trends in problem-based learning (PBL) research in e-learning and online education environments: A review of publications in SSCI-indexed journals from 2004 to 2012. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 44(6), 185–191.

Verawati, N.N.S.P., Hikmawati & Prayogi, S. (2019a). Conceptual framework of reflective-inquiry learning model to promote critical thinking ability of preservice physics teachers. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* 1397, 1-10.

Verawati, N.N.S.P., Prayogi, S., Gummah, S., Muliadi, A. & Yusup, M.Y. (2019b). The effect of conflict-cognitive strategy in inquiry learning towards pre-service teachers' critical thinking ability. *Jurnal Pendidikan IPA Indonesia*, 8(4), 529-537.

Wahyudi, Verawati, N.N.S.P., Ayub, S., & Prayogi, S. (2018). Development of inquiry-creative-process learning model to promote critical thinking ability of physics prospective teachers. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* 1108, 1-6. doi: 10.1088/1742-6596/1108/1/012005

Wahyudi, Verawati, N. N. S. P., Ayub, S., & Prayogi, S. (2019a). The effect of scientific creativity in inquiry learning to promote critical thinking ability of prospective teachers. *International Journal of Emerging Technologies in Learning*, 14(14), 122-131.

Wahyudi, Verawati, N.N.S.P., Ayub, S., & Prayogi, S. (2019b). Effectiveness of Inquiry-Creative-Process Learning Model to Promote Critical Thinking Ability of Prospective Physics Teachers. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* 1417, 1-6.


Walter, C. and, & Walter, P. (2018). Is critical thinking a mediator variable of student performance in school? *Educational Research Quarterly*, 41(3), 3–24.

Weible, J. L., & Zimmerman, H. T. (2016). Science curiosity in learning environments: Developing an attitudinal scale for research in schools, homes, museums, and the community. *International Journal of Science Education*, 38(8), 1235–1255.





Witte, K. D., & Rogge, N. (2016). Problem-based learning in secondary education: Evaluation by an experiment. *Education Economics*, 24(1), 58–82

Yao, X., Yuan, S., Yang, W., Chen, Q., Wei, D., Hou, Y. Zhang, L. Qiu, J., & Yang, D. (2018). Emotional intelligence moderates the relationship between regional gray matter

volume in the bilateral temporal pole and critical thinking disposition. *Brain Imaging and Behavior*, 12(3), 488–498.

 **Compose**

▼ Mail

-  **Inbox** 772
-  Starred
-  Snoozed
-  Sent

▼ Chat +



No conversations
[Start a chat](#)

▼ Spaces +



No spaces yet
[Create or find a space](#)

▶ Meet

Re: article 040320 Inbox x



iji@ogu.edu.tr
to Suhirman, suhirman, me, muhammadasyari ▾

Dear author

We received your revised article and sent it to reviewers. Thank

Sincerely yours,

Editorial

International Journal of Instruction

From: "Suhirman Mawal" <suhirmanmawal@gmail.com>
To: "iji" <iji@ogu.edu.tr>
Sent: Thursday, May 14, 2020 11:51:33 PM
Subject: article 040320



Saiful Prayogi <saifulprayogi@ikipmataram.ac.id>
to iji, Suhirman, suhirman, muhammadasyari ▾

You are welcome.



Compose



Mail

- Inbox** 772
- Starred
- Snoozed
- Sent

Chat



No conversations
Start a chat

Spaces



No spaces yet
Create or find a space

Meet

minor amendments Inbox x



iji@ogu.edu.tr

to suhirman, me, muhammadasyari, suhirmanmawal



Dear author

You have minor amendments from a reviewer. Could you pleas revisions done **via e-mail (iji@ogu.edu.tr)** as an attached file as

Sincerely yours,
Editorial
International Journal of Instruction

International Journal of Instruction
<http://www.e-iji.net>

3 Attachments





International Journal of Instruction Article Evaluation Form

Mr. /Mrs.

It is to acknowledge you that the Executive Committee of *International Journal of Instruction* has decided that the article mentioned below would be reviewed by you. Thank you very much for your contributions.

Asim ARI
Editor in Chief

Name of the article: Problem-Based Learning with Character-Emphasis and Naturalist Intelligence: Examining Students Critical Thinking and Curiosity

After reviewing the attached article, please read each item carefully and select the response that best reflects your opinion. To register your response, please **mark** or **type in** the appropriate block.

	Yes	Partially	No
Do you think the title is appropriate?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Does the abstract summarize the article clearly and effectively?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Are the objectives set clearly?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the issue stated clearly?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the literature review adequate?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the design of the research appropriate, and the exemplary, if any, suitable?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the methodology consistent with the practice?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Are the findings expressed clearly?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the presentation of the findings adequate and consistent?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Are the tables, if any, arranged well?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Are the conclusions and generalizations based on the findings?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Are the suggestions meaningful, valid, and based on the findings?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Are the references adequate?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the language clear and understandable?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is cohesion achieved throughout the article?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Is the work contributing to the field?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

- Evaluation:**
- The article can be published as it is.
 - The article can be published after some revision.
 - The article must undergo a major revision before it can be resubmitted to the journal.
 - The article cannot be published.


Would you like to see the revised article if you have suggested any revisions? Yes No

Please write your report either on this paper or on a spare paper.





REPORT

Section of the Manuscript	Comments and Notes
Title- Abstract-Summary	Well-written title and abstract.
Introduction and Literature Review	This is my previous comment: 'As evident from your research title, kindly add more literature on naturalistic intelligence than on emotional intelligence.' There is/are no such entry/-ies in your revised submission. Please compare my comments under Sections: "Conclusions and Suggestions" and "References and Citation" of this current report.
Research Methods	Well-written section on research methodology...

Research Findings	Well-presented research findings.
Discussion	Well-discussed study.
Conclusion and Suggestions	In my previous comment, I advised you to simply add a "Delimitation of the Study" and a laconic "Recommendations" section. On your part as the research author, I've not seen in your revised paper such and such. You should provided a response for not complying so that I've something to re-consider. For my part as your peer reviewer, your revised paper says it all.
References and Citation	After I rechecked the entirety of your paper, I've the same comment - and I quote myself: 'your in-text citations must be present under your References section, and vice versa.' Kindly see Karen, M., et al. (2018) in your reference section; it is not appearing in the body of your research article. Please revisit the whole of your in-text citations and references and then re-edit/revise accordingly...
Language	You've chosen "naturalist" and consistently utilized it throughout your paper; however, since your only and primary reference on "naturalistic" intelligence is that of Roos (2017), you should have chosen that term instead. Please re-apply consistency...
Other issues	I trust that an expert statistician (also a peer reviewer) have seen/reviewed the statistics used by the author of this study.

 **Compose**

Mail

-  **Inbox** 772
-  Starred
-  Snoozed
-  Sent

Chat



No conversations
[Start a chat](#)

Spaces



No spaces yet
[Create or find a space](#)

Meet



Re: Article 040320_revised: Acceptan



International Journal of Instruction <editor.eiji@gmail.com>
to me, suhirman, Muhammad, suhirmanmawal

Dear author

“ [Article 040320](#) ”

This article has been completed the reviewing process and

We wish you all the best.

Editorial

International Journal of Instruction

International Journal of Instruction

<http://www.e-iji.net>

<http://www.gateacademy.ch>

From: "saifulprayogi" <saifulprayogi@ikipmataram.ac.id>

To: "iji" <iji@ogu.edu.tr>

Sent: Tuesday, July 28, 2020 4:05:40 AM

Subject: Re: Article 040320_revised

Dear Editorial **IJI**

We would like to confirm the progress of our article entitled "Prob



International Journal of Instruction

Letter of Acceptance

Date: November 11, 2020

Ref. No.: IJI-20-1447

Subject: “Problem-Based Learning with Character-Emphasis and Naturalist Intelligence: Examining Students Critical Thinking and Curiosity”

Suhirman

Universitas Islam Negeri Mataram, Indonesia, suhirman@uinmataram.ac.id

Saiful Prayogi

Universitas Pendidikan Mandalika, Indonesia, saifulprayogi@ikipmataram.ac.id

Muhammad Asy'ari

Universitas Pendidikan Mandalika, Indonesia, muhammadasyari@ikipmataram.ac.id

This article has completed the reviewing process and has been accepted for publication. Your manuscript is tentatively scheduled for publication in 2021, Volume 14, Number 2.

Yours Faithfully,

Prof. Asim Ari

Editor in Chief

p-ISSN: 1694-609X


e-ISSN: 1308-1470

<http://www.e-iji.net> & <http://www.gateacademy.ch>

E-mail: editor.eiji@gmail.com





IJI is indexed in ESCI, SCOPUS and ERIC



 **Compose**

← 📄 ⚠️ 🗑️ | 📧 🕒 ↻ | 📄 🗑️

▼ Mail

-  **Inbox** 772
-  Starred
-  Snoozed
-  Sent

▼ Chat +



No conversations
[Start a chat](#)

▼ Spaces +



No spaces yet
[Create or find a space](#)

▶ Meet

(no subject) Inbox x



International Journal of Instruction <editor.eiji@gmail.com>
to suhirman, me, Muhammad, suhirmanmawal ▾

Dear author,

We published your article as OnlineFirst. You can see you

We will publish as home pages on April 01, 2021.

Note: No changes can be made to the article after 48 hours.

Sincerely yours

International Journal of Instruction

International Journal of Instruction

<http://www.e-iji.net>

<http://www.gateacademy.ch>

[Thanks a lot.](#)

[Thank you for the information.](#)

↩ Reply

↩↩ Reply all

➡ Forward