



Prisma Sains : Jurnal Pengkajian Ilmu dan Pembelajaran MIPA IKIP Mataram

ISSN 2338-4530 (Print) ISSN 2540-7899 (Online) | website: ojs.ikipmataram.ac.id/index.php/prismasains/index

Accredited Sinta 3 by Ministry of Research, Technology and Higher Education (Ristekdikti) of the Republic of Indonesia, Decree No. 10/E/KTP/2019

prismasains.pkpsm@gmail.com
 @prisma.sains.9
 Pemuda 59A Mataram
 +6287864524853

[HOME](#)
[ABOUT](#)
[LOGIN](#)
[REGISTER](#)
[SEARCH](#)
[CURRENT](#)
[ARCHIVES](#)
[ANNOUNCEMENTS](#)
[FORTHCOMING ARTICLES](#)
[SUBMISSION STATISTICS](#)

ARTICLE TEMPLATE



TOOLS



MANAGED BY



Science and Mathematics Education Studies Center of IKIP Mataram

USER

Username

Password

Remember me

NOTIFICATIONS

- [View](#)
- [Subscribe](#)

KEYWORDS

Authentic assessment Barntense Culture. Critical thinking ability Double-loop problem-solving model Filtering, Gravity anomaly, Timelapse Formulae-Share-Listen-Create (FSLC), Mathematical Understanding Ability Gender HOTS Kemampuan Berpikir Kritis Learning model Linear Program Mathematical Ability Mathematical Communication Ability Mathematical Problem Solving Mobile Learning Model Inkuiri Module Open-Ended Problems Quick Math Small Group Discussion, Hasil Belajar, Keaktifan Mahasiswa Strategi konflik kognitif

[OPEN JOURNAL SYSTEMS](#)

ORCID ID



<https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4395-5221>

3,496 Pageviews
Nov. 29th - Dec. 29th

[Home](#) > [Vol 8, No 2](#)

Prisma Sains : Jurnal Pengkajian Ilmu dan Pembelajaran Matematika dan IPA IKIP Mataram

Journal title **Prisma Sains: Jurnal Pengkajian Ilmu dan Pembelajaran Matematika dan IPA IKIP Mataram**

English title **Prisma Sains: Journal of Science Study and Learning in Mathematics and Natural Science of IKIP Mataram**

Initials **J-PS**

Abbreviation **J. Prisma. Sains. Pembelajaran. Matematika. IPA**

Frequency **2 issues per year (june and december)**


DOI **Prefix 10.33394 by Crossref**

ISSN **2540-7899 (Print) | 2338-4530 (Online)**

Editor-in-chief **Muhammad Asy'ari**

Publisher **Universitas Pendidikan Mandalika (UNDIKMA)**

Indexed **Sinta | [Google Scholar](#) | [DOAJ](#)**



This journal has been **ACCREDITED** by **National Journal Accreditation (ARJUNA)** Managed by **Ministry of Research, Technology, and Higher Education, Republic Indonesia** with **Third Grade (Peringkat 3, Sinta 3)** since year **2017 to 2021**.

Prisma Sains: Jurnal Pengkajian Ilmu dan Pembelajaran Matematika dan IPA IKIP Mataram (Prisma Sains: Journal of Science Study and Learning in Mathematics and Natural Science of IKIP Mataram) [p-ISSN **2338-4530** | e-ISSN **2540-7899**] was first published in June 2013 by **Faculty of Mathematics and Science Education IKIP Mataram** and managed by **Science and Mathematics Education Studies Center (PKPSM) IKIP Mataram**. This journal publishes a scientific paper on the results of the study and review of the literature in the sphere of science and teaching in the fields of Mathematics, Physics, Biology and Chemistry.

On October 10, 2019, the merger of **IKIP Mataram** and **University of West Nusa Tenggara** into **Mandalika University of Education (UNDIKMA)** caused a change in the name of the publisher of this journal which was originally **Faculty of Mathematics and Science Education IKIP Mataram** into **Faculty of Science, Engineering, and Applied Science UNDIKMA**.

Please read this guideline carefully. Every manuscript sent to the editorial office of the journal ought to follow the writing guidelines. If the manuscript does not meet with the author guidelines or if the manuscript written in a different format, the article will **BE REJECTED** before further review. Only submitted manuscripts that meet the journal's format will be processed further

Announcements

J-PS Collaboration

Prisma Sains: Jurnal Pengkajian Ilmu dan Pembelajaran Matematika dan IPA IKIP Mataram now in collaboration with The Association of International Business and Professional Management (AIBPM).



the
HORIZON
PUBLISHER & EDITORIAL SERVICE

Posted: 2020-08-11

[More Announcements...](#)

JOURNAL ACCREDITATION



ADDITIONAL MENU

FOCUS AND SCOPE

PUBLICATION ETHICS

EDITORIAL TEAM

REVIEWER

SCREENING FOR PLAGIARISM

OPEN ACCESS POLICY

AUTHOR GUIDELINES

ONLINE SUBMISSION

AUTHOR FEES

CONTACT JOURNAL

ABSTRACTING/INDEXING

JOURNAL HISTORY

SCOPUS CITATION ANALYSIS

JOURNAL STATS

Visitors

ID 20,822	EG 3
US 1,478	VN 3
MY 97	MA 3
IN 95	UA 2
SG 39	PK 2
TR 38	TL 2
IE 37	PT 2
GB 32	AE 2
AU 22	PE 2
FR 19	UM 2
NL 19	DK 1
IR 17	RO 1
CN 16	SA 1
HK 16	MM 1
DE 15	KZ 1
RU 15	HU 1
PH 13	YE 1
CA 9	MT 1
ZA 8	ZW 1
TW 8	AT 1
BE 7	JM 1
CL 7	TZ 1
SE 7	SY 1
JP 6	OM 1
TH 6	GR 1
NO 5	CH 1
KR 5	MX 1
BR 5	HR 1
NG 5	EE 1
ES 4	BN 1
CZ 4	LT 1
IT 3	PL 1
JO 3	KH 1

Pageviews: 77,450
Flags Collected: 66



Hitabr.com
Pages: 63888
Online: 1
Vis. today: 20



Prisma Sains : Jurnal Pengkajian Ilmu dan Pembelajaran MIPA IKIP Mataram

ISSN 2338-4530 (Print) ISSN 2540-7899 (Online) | website: ojs.ikipmataram.ac.id/index.php/prismasains/index

Accredited Sinta 3 by Ministry of Research, Technology and Higher Education (Ristekdikti) of the Republic of Indonesia, Decree No. 10/E/KTP/2019

prismasains.pkpsm@gmail.com
 [@prisma.sains.9](https://www.facebook.com/prisma.sains.9)
 Pemuda 59A Mataram
 +6287864524853

[HOME](#)
[ABOUT](#)
[LOGIN](#)
[REGISTER](#)
[SEARCH](#)
[CURRENT](#)
[ARCHIVES](#)
[ANNOUNCEMENTS](#)
[FORTHCOMING ARTICLES](#)
[SUBMISSION STATISTICS](#)

ARTICLE TEMPLATE



TOOLS



MANAGED BY



Science and Mathematics Education Studies Center of IKIP Mataram

USER

Username

Password

Remember me

NOTIFICATIONS

- [View](#)
- [Subscribe](#)

KEYWORDS

Authentic assessment, Barntenses Culture, Critical thinking ability, Double-loop problem-solving model, Filtering, Gravity anomaly, Timelapse, Formulate-Share-Listen-Create (FSLC), Mathematical Understanding Ability Gender, MOTS, Kemampuan Berpikir Kritis, Learning model, Linear Program, Mathematical Ability, Mathematical Communication Ability, Mathematical Problem Solving, Mobile Learning, Model inkuiri, Module, Open-Ended Problems, Quick Math, Small Group Discussion, Hasil Belajar, Keaktifan Mahasiswa, Strategi konflik kognitif

[OPEN JOURNAL SYSTEMS](#)

ORCID ID



<https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4395-5221>

3,500 Pageviews
Nov. 29th - Dec. 29th



[Home](#) > [About the Journal](#) > [Editorial Team](#)

Editorial Team

EDITOR IN CHIEF

Muhammad *Asy'ari*, (Scopus ID: 55872560900); Department of Physics Education, IKIP Mataram, Indonesia

EDITORIAL BOARD

- Anip Dwi Saputro, (Scopus ID: 57204111450); Department of Science Education, University of Muhammadiyah Ponorogo, Indonesia
- Emmy Yuanita, (Scopus ID: 55991365700); Department of Chemistry, Mataram University, Indonesia
- Muhali Muhali, (Scopus ID: 57208129457); Department of Chemistry Education, IKIP Mataram, Indonesia
- Baiq Rika Ayu Febrilia, (Scopus ID: 57204472876); Department of Mathematics Education, IKIP Mataram, Indonesia
- Akhmad Sukri, (Scopus ID: 57207963915); Department of Biology Education, IKIP Mataram, Indonesia
- Herdiyana Fitriani, (Scopus ID: 56038559800); Department of Biology Education, IKIP Mataram, Indonesia
- Much Fuad Saifuddin, Department of Biology Education, Ahmad Dahlan University, Yogyakarta, Indonesia

MANAGING EDITOR

Hunaepi Hunaepi, Department of Biology Education, IKIP Mataram, Indonesia

Taufik Samsuri, Department of Biology Education, IKIP Mataram, Indonesia

This journal has been indexed in:



J-PS (Prisma Sains: Jurnal Pengkajian Ilmu dan Pembelajaran Matematika dan IPA IKIP Mataram) p-ISSN (print) 2338-4530, e-ISSN (online) 2540-7899 is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

00079003

[View My Stats](#)

[Valid Atom 1.0]

JOURNAL ACCREDITATION



ADDITIONAL MENU

FOCUS AND SCOPE

PUBLICATION ETHICS

EDITORIAL TEAM

REVIEWER

SCREENING FOR PLAGIARISM

OPEN ACCESS POLICY

AUTHOR GUIDELINES

ONLINE SUBMISSION

AUTHOR FEES

CONTACT JOURNAL

ABSTRACTING/INDEXING

JOURNAL HISTORY

SCOPUS CITATION ANALYSIS

JOURNAL STATS

Visitors

ID 20,822	EG 3
US 1,478	VN 3
MY 97	MA 3
IN 95	UA 2
SG 39	PK 2
TR 38	TL 2
IE 37	PT 2
GB 32	AE 2
AU 22	PE 2
FR 19	UM 2
NL 19	DK 1
IR 17	RO 1
CN 16	SA 1
HK 16	MM 1
DE 15	KZ 1
RU 15	HU 1
PH 13	YE 1
CA 9	MT 1
ZA 8	ZW 1
TW 8	AT 1
BE 7	JM 1
CL 7	TZ 1
SE 7	SV 1
JP 6	OM 1
TH 6	GR 1
NO 5	CH 1
KR 5	MX 1
BR 5	HR 1
NG 5	EE 1
ES 4	BN 1
CZ 4	LT 1
IT 3	PL 1
JO 3	KH 1

Pageviews: 77,450
Flags Collected: 66



HiTat.com

Vis. today 20

Visits 20 090

Pag. today 49

LANGUAGE

Select Language

English



Prisma Sains : Jurnal Pengkajian Ilmu dan Pembelajaran MIPA IKIP Mataram

ISSN 2338-4530 (Print) ISSN 2540-7899 (Online) | website: ojs.ikipmataram.ac.id/index.php/prismasains/index

Accredited Sinta 3 by Ministry of Research, Technology and Higher Education (Ristekdikti) of the Republic of Indonesia, Decree No. 10/E/KTP/2019

prismasains.pkpsm@gmail.com
 [@prisma.sains.9](https://www.facebook.com/prisma.sains.9)
 Pemuda 59A Mataram
 +6287864524853

[HOME](#)
[ABOUT](#)
[LOGIN](#)
[REGISTER](#)
[SEARCH](#)
[CURRENT](#)
[ARCHIVES](#)
[ANNOUNCEMENTS](#)
[FORTHCOMING ARTICLES](#)
[SUBMISSION STATISTICS](#)

ARTICLE TEMPLATE



TOOLS



MANAGED BY



Science and Mathematics Education Studies Center of IKIP Mataram

USER

Username

Password

Remember me

NOTIFICATIONS

- [View](#)
- [Subscribe](#)

KEYWORDS

Authentic assessment, Barntnese Culture, Critical thinking ability, Double-loop problem-solving model, Filtering, Gravity anomaly, Timelapse Formulae-Share-Listen-Create (FSLC), Mathematical Understanding Ability Gender, MOTS, Kemampuan Berpikir Kritis, Learning model, Linear Program, Mathematical Ability, Mathematical Communication Ability, Mathematical Problem Solving, Mobile Learning Model, Inkuiri Module, Open-Ended Problems, Quick Math, Small Group Discussion, Hasil Belajar, Keaktifan Mahasiswa, Strategi konflik kognitif

[OPEN JOURNAL SYSTEMS](#)

ORCID ID



<https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4395-5221>

3,502 Pageviews
Nov. 29th - Dec. 29th



[Home](#) > [About the Journal](#) > [People](#)

People

REVIEWER

Muhammad Nur Hudha, (Scopus ID: 57197824717); Department of Physics Education, Universitas Kanjuruhan Malang, Indonesia

Maizirwan Mel, (Scopus ID: 23668032700); Biology Department, International Islamic University, Malaysia

Anvarjon Ahmedov, (Scopus ID: 26322965400); Mathematics Department, Universiti Malaysia Pahang, Malaysia

Agus Geter Edy Sutjipto, (Scopus ID: 23101376200); Physics Department, Universiti Malaysia Pahang, Malaysia

Anwaruddin Hisyam, (Scopus ID: 55502562300); Chemistry Department, Universiti Malaysia Pahang, Malaysia

Hans-Dieter Barke, (Scopus ID: 8061598100); Chemistry Department, Universitat Hannover, Germany

Maria Joselevich, (Scopus ID: 36868164800); The Centro de Política Educativa, La Universidad Nacional Arturo Jauretche, Argentina

Muslimin Ibrahim, (Scopus ID: 56956235200); Department of Biology Education, State University of Surabaya, Indonesia

Nurdin Arsyad, (Scopus ID: 57194795674); Department of Mathematics Education, State University of Makassar, Indonesia

Siti Zubaidah, (Scopus ID: 57193818701); Biology Department, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, Malang State University, Indonesia

Wasis Wasis, (Scopus ID: 57195518718); Department of Science Education, State University of Surabaya, Indonesia

Husamah Husamah, (Scopus ID: 57195803428); Department of Biology Education, University of Muhammadiyah Malang, East Java, Indonesia

Susriyati Mahanal, (Scopus ID: 57193096117); Biology Department, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, Malang State University, Indonesia

Rahmah Johar, (Scopus ID: 57193153403); Department of Mathematics Education, University of Siah Kuala, Indonesia

Binar Kurnia Prahani, (Scopus ID: 57200992479); Department of Physics Education, State University of Surabaya, Indonesia

Ruqiah Ganda Putri Panjaitan, (Scopus ID: 55656556500); Department of Biology Education, Tanjungpura University, Pontianak, Indonesia

Baiq Fatmawati, (Scopus ID: 57200103296); Department of Biology Education, Hamzanwadi University, Indonesia

Dewi Dewantara, (Scopus ID: 56051829300); Department of Physics Education, University of Lambung Mangkurat, Banjarmasin

Swasti Maharani, (Scopus ID: 57201352923); Department of Mathematics Education, University of PGRI Madiun, Indonesia

This journal has been indexed in:



J-PS (Prisma Sains: Jurnal Pengkajian Ilmu dan Pembelajaran Matematika dan IPA IKIP Mataram) p-ISSN (print) 2338-4530, e-ISSN (online) 2540-7899 is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

00079005

[View My Stats](#)

[Valid Atom 1.0]

JOURNAL ACCREDITATION



ADDITIONAL MENU

FOCUS AND SCOPE

PUBLICATION ETHICS

EDITORIAL TEAM

REVIEWER

SCREENING FOR PLAGIARISM

OPEN ACCESS POLICY

AUTHOR GUIDELINES

ONLINE SUBMISSION

AUTHOR FEES

CONTACT JOURNAL

ABSTRACTING/INDEXING

JOURNAL HISTORY

SCOPUS CITATION ANALYSIS

JOURNAL STATS

Visitors

ID	20,822	EG	3
US	1,478	VN	3
MY	97	MA	3
IN	95	UA	2
SG	39	PK	2
TR	38	TL	2
IE	37	PT	2
GB	32	AE	2
AU	22	PE	2
FR	19	UM	2
NL	19	DK	1
IR	17	RO	1
CN	16	SA	1
HK	16	MM	1
DE	15	KZ	1
RU	15	HU	1
PH	13	YE	1
CA	9	MT	1
ZA	8	ZW	1
TW	8	AT	1
BE	7	JM	1
CL	7	TZ	1
SE	7	SY	1
JP	6	OM	1
TH	6	GR	1
NO	5	CH	1
KR	5	MX	1
BR	5	HR	1
NG	5	EE	1
ES	4	BN	1
CZ	4	LT	1
IT	3	PL	1
JO	3	KH	1

Pageviews: 77,450
Flags Collected: 66



HiTatR.com	
Pages	63,894
Online	1
Vis. today	20

LANGUAGE

Select Language

English



Prisma Sains : Jurnal Pengkajian Ilmu dan Pembelajaran MIPA IKIP Mataram

ISSN 2338-4530 (Print) ISSN 2540-7899 (Online) | website: ojs.ikipmataram.ac.id/index.php/prismasains/index

Accredited Sinta 3 by Ministry of Research, Technology and Higher Education (Ristekdikti) of the Republic of Indonesia, Decree No. 10/E/KTP/2019

prismasains.pkpsm@gmail.com
 @prisma.sains.9
 Pemuda 59A Mataram
 +6287864524853

- [HOME](#)
- [ABOUT](#)
- [LOGIN](#)
- [REGISTER](#)
- [SEARCH](#)
- [CURRENT](#)
- [ARCHIVES](#)
- [ANNOUNCEMENTS](#)

ARTICLE TEMPLATE



TOOLS



USER

Username

Password

Remember me

CURRENT ISSUE

ATOM	1.0
RSS	2.0
RSS	1.0

ORCID ID



<https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4395-5221>

4,869 Pageviews
Apr. 07th - May. 07th

FONT SIZE



KEYWORDS

Blended Learning Critical thinking ability Kemampuan Berpikir Kritis Learning model Mathematical Problem Solving performance evaluation, health service, public health centers, Covid-19 pandemic Phet, media, lab work, learning, pandemic Validity analysis, directed speech acts, form, function, pragmatic studies anxiety level carrying out authentic assessment concept mastery critical thinking difficulty entrepreneurship education, subjective norm, self efficacy guided inquiry, animated videos, mastery of concepts imagery exercises Inquiry le duo tic, petanque shooting planning progressive muscle relaxation

INFORMATION

- ▶ For Readers
- ▶ For Authors
- ▶ For Librarians

[Home](#) > [Archives](#) > **Vol 8, No 1**

Vol 8, No 1

June 2020

Table of Contents

Research Articles

- | | |
|---|----------------------|
| <p>The Effect of National Examination and Curriculum on Students' Performance in Solving TIMSS Model Mathematics Problems</p> <p>DOI : 10.33394/j-ps.v8i1.1991 Read : 352 times Downloads: 15 times</p> <p><i>Anwaril Hamidy, J. Jailani, Fathur Rahman</i></p> | <p>PDF
1-11</p> |
| <p>The Effect of PBL Model and Learning Styles on Mathematical Problem-Solving and Self Esteem Abilities</p> <p>DOI : 10.33394/j-ps.v8i1.2683 Read : 294 times Downloads: 15 times</p> <p><i>Wangsit Rigusti, Heni Pujiastuti, Anwar Mutaqin</i></p> | <p>PDF
12-24</p> |
| <p>The Combination of Small Group Discussion and ARCS (MODis-ARCS Strategy) to Improve Students' Verbal Communication Skill And Learning Outcomes</p> <p>DOI : 10.33394/j-ps.v8i1.2478 Read : 416 times Downloads: 16 times</p> <p><i>Ika Nurani Dewi, S. Safnowandi</i></p> | <p>PDF
25-36</p> |
| <p>The Effect of Guided Inquiry Learning Model to the Metacognitive Ability of Primary School Students</p> <p>DOI : 10.33394/j-ps.v8i1.2615 Read : 529 times Downloads: 15 times</p> <p><i>Intan Dwi Hastuti, Yuni Mariyati, S. Sutarto, Chairun Nasirin</i></p> | <p>PDF
37-45</p> |
| <p>Development of the Test Instrument for Measuring Students' Critical Thinking Abilities on Fluid Material</p> <p>DOI : 10.33394/j-ps.v8i1.2487 Read : 375 times Downloads: 16 times</p> <p><i>Ni Nyoman Sri Putu Verawati, Saiful Prayogi, Muhammad Yusup, Hafsa Taha</i></p> | <p>PDF
46-56</p> |
| <p>Environmental Literacy of Islamic Boarding School Students: Study in MA Bilingual-Sidoarjo, East Java, Indonesia</p> <p>DOI : 10.33394/j-ps.v8i1.2766 Read : 604 times Downloads: 20 times</p> <p><i>H. Husamah, Fuad Jaya Miharja, Dewi Arif Hidayati</i></p> | <p>PDF
57-68</p> |

J-PS (Prisma Sains: Jurnal Pengkajian Ilmu dan Pembelajaran Matematika dan IPA IKIP Mataram) p-ISSN (print) 2338-4530, e-ISSN (online) 2540-7899 is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

00134263

[View My Stats](#)

JOURNAL ACCREDITATION



ADDITIONAL MENU

- [FOCUS AND SCOPE](#)
- [PUBLICATION ETHICS](#)
- [EDITORIAL TEAM](#)
- [REVIEWER](#)
- [SCREENING FOR PLAGIARISM](#)
- [OPEN ACCESS POLICY](#)
- [AUTHOR GUIDELINES](#)
- [ONLINE SUBMISSION](#)
- [AUTHOR FEES](#)
- [CONTACT JOURNAL](#)
- [ABSTRACTING/INDEXING](#)
- [JOURNAL HISTORY](#)
- [SCOPUS CITATION ANALYSIS](#)

LANGUAGE

Select Language

English

JOURNAL STATS

Visitors

ID 36,976	TL 5
US 2,274	BN 4
MY 263	HU 4
PH 255	UA 4
IN 189	KH 4
GB 146	LK 4
TR 145	NP 3
AU 92	GT 3
IE 75	XK 3
CN 71	MU 3
SG 68	QA 3
TH 56	AR 3
PK 54	SK 3
CA 51	ZW 2
FR 44	SI 2
NL 42	HR 2
DE 40	EE 2
BR 37	MZ 2
ZA 37	PR 2
RU 36	BG 2
TW 36	TZ 2
IR 33	RW 2
HK 31	PA 2
JP 26	UY 2
SA 25	SO 2
ES 22	MM 2
VN 22	BD 2
KR 16	JM 2
RO 16	KZ 2
EG 15	SY 2
PT 14	YE 2
IT 14	UM 2
PE 14	ZM 1
LT 12	BS 1
MX 12	MV 1
JO 12	LS 1
CO 12	JE 1
FI 11	TT 1
NG 11	ET 1
LB 10	TN 1
AT 10	KY 1
MA 10	NI 1
CL 10	CI 1
SE 10	PY 1
AE 9	BO 1
CZ 9	SV 1



Development of the Test Instrument for Measuring Students' Critical Thinking skills on Fluid Material

¹Ni Nyoman Sri Putu Verawati, ^{2*}Saiful Prayogi, ²Muhammad Yusril Yusup, ³Hafsah Taha

¹Physics Education Department, Universitas Mataram. Jl. Majapahit No. 62, Mataram, 83125, Indonesia

²Physics Education Department, Universitas Pendidikan Mandalika. Jl. Pemuda No. 59A, Mataram, 83126, Indonesia

³Faculty of Sciences and Mathematics, Sultan Idris Education University. Proton City, 35900, Malaysia

*Corresponding Author e-mail: saifulprayogi@ikipmataram.ac.id

Received: April 2020; Revised: June 2020; Published: June 2020

Abstract

This study aimed to develop a test instrument to measure students' critical thinking skills on fluid material. Characteristics of the instrument and its validity estimation are also described. This research and development study employed five stages of research, namely information collecting (literature review and preparation of the subject matter), planning (defining and formulating objectives), developing preliminary form of the test instrument, preliminary field testing (expert validation), and main product revision (in accordance with the recommendations in the preliminary field testing). The content and construct validity were estimated by expert validation. Results of the instrument validation showed average scores for each component (content validity index of 4.63 and construct validity of 4.75), both of which are in the *very valid* category. The final result of the instrument validation is 4.69 (very valid if: $V_a > 4.21$), with 98.7% reliability. Description of the study result is presented further in this article.

Keywords: Test instrument, Critical thinking skills, Fluid material

How to Cite: Verawati, N., N., S., P., Prayogi, S., Yusup, M., Y., & Taha, H. (2020). Development of the Test Instrument for Measuring Students' Critical Thinking Abilities on Fluid Material. *Prisma Sains: Jurnal Pengkajian Ilmu dan Pembelajaran Matematika dan IPA IKIP Mataram*, 8(1), 46-56. doi:<https://doi.org/10.33394/j-ps.v8i1.2487>



<https://doi.org/10.33394/j-ps.v8i1.2487>

Copyright© 2020, Verawati et al

This is an open-access article under the [CC-BY License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).



INTRODUCTION

One measure of progress for a nation is the quality of education and one of the important aspects of quality education, namely students who can compete globally and are able to solve problems in daily life (Megawati et al., 2020). In terms of global competitiveness, the quality of Indonesian education seems to be still low. The results of the Program for International Student Assessment (PISA) study conducted by the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) in 2015, Indonesia ranked 62 out of 70 countries. Indicators of PISA assessment included the ability of students to solve problems and higher-order thinking skills (OECD, 2017). The results of the 2015 Trends in International Mathematics and Science Study (TIMSS) study showed that Indonesia ranked 46 out of 51 countries in science achievements (Mullis et al., 2015). In addition, studies other than PISA and TIMSS have long reported that in order to face the challenges and developments of the modern age, it is necessary not just conceptual knowledge, but the skill to apply knowledge and various thinking skills called 21st Century Skills (Partnership for 21st Century Skills, 2002). One of the skills contained in 21st Century skills, namely critical thinking (Prayogi et al., 2018). Critical thinking is basically a

detailed description of several characteristics which include the process of interpretation, analysis, evaluation, inference, explanation and self-regulation (Facione, 2011). One famous contributor in the tradition of critical thinking is Robert Ennis. Ennis (1996) provide the definition about the concept of critical thinking, namely critical thinking as sensible and reflective thinking that focuses on deciding what to believe or do.

Improving the quality of education delivery is marked by curriculum reform. For example, Finland is a developed country in the field of education that has long placed critical thinking as one of the goals of learning in its curriculum content (Horn & Veermans, 2019). In Indonesia, curriculum content which is oriented towards the development of a variety of thinking skills, especially critical thinking skills, has begun to be noticed with the implementation of the Curriculum-2013 (K-13) (Prayogi et al., 2019). In several other developing countries critical thinking is one of the high-level skills most often discussed and linked to educational goals, because it is believed to play a central role in logical thinking, problem solving, an in decision making (Butler, 2012). Various factors can contribute to achieving learning goals towards increasing critical thinking skills, one of which is the ability of teachers to carry out and utilize the critical thinking assessment process itself, because it can stimulate students to develop critical thinking skills (Herpiana & Rosidin, 2018).

The learning objectives towards training students' critical thinking are contained in K-13 in Indonesia. However, learning in reality there are still many that are oriented solely on efforts to develop and test students' memory so that students' thinking abilities are reduced and simply understood as the ability to remember (Herpiana & Rosidin, 2018), whereas they should be oriented towards achieving thinking and solving skills the problem is in accordance with the contents of the 2013 Curriculum. The impact is an evaluation tool used to measure aspects of ordinary cognitive abilities. In other cases, it was also found that although the learning process aimed at training students' critical thinking, in the assessment process the teacher did not use the instrument of critical thinking to measure learning outcomes according to the learning process intended (Sudrajat et al., 2018). Whereas learning assessment is one of the elements in learning used to determine the extent of the achievement of student competencies and the effectiveness of the learning process undertaken to achieve learning objectives (William, 2013). Assessment is an inseparable part of the learning process. Learning assessment is important so that students know what they have learned or show what they have not learned (Jabbarifar, 2009).

Test instruments to measure critical thinking skills have been developed by experts and researchers before, including; California Critical Thinking Skill Test (Facione, 1990), California Critical Thinking Disposition Inventory (Facione & Facione, 1992), Watson-Glaser Critical Thinking Appraisal (Watson & Glaser, 1980), Ennis-Weir Critical Thinking Essay Test (Ennis & Weir, 1985), Cornell Critical Thinking Test (Ennis, Millman, & Tomko, 1985), Halper Critical Thinking Assessment Manual (Halpern, 2010), and many others. However, to date the multivariate nature of the definitions offered for critical thinking, the assessment of critical thinking, their level of generality or specificity, and their practical impact on broader academic achievement is still being debated (Liu et al., 2014). According to Lai (2011), these instruments vary greatly in purpose and format, and critical thinking assessments tend to be general in nature. Norris (1989) has long argued that the facts about the level of uniqueness in critical thinking have not been resolved because of the many theories in different views, making measurement and evaluation of critical thinking difficult. First, the type of a person's conclusions is still unclear to the extent that researchers cannot agree whether critical thinking is a general or special subject. Second, it is difficult to assess the transfer of critical thinking with other subjects, because transfer to other contexts may be different from the specificity of knowledge in critical thinking. Thus, despite the fact that previous researchers or experts have identified critical thinking skills or abilities and dispositions that differ from one another, it turns out to describe separate effects and the use of difficult judgments in practice (Prayogi, 2019). Therefore, the measurement of critical thinking in a more specific context needs to be

done and the instrument used as an important measurement tool to be developed, in this study is the instrument for measuring critical thinking skills in fluid material. The instrument for measuring critical thinking skills developed was tested for its validity (content and construct), and its reliability.

The purpose of this study, namely: a) describe the characteristics of test instruments for the measurement of students' critical thinking skills on fluid material, and b) describe the validity and reliability of instruments measuring students' critical thinking skills on fluid material. The test instrument was developed based on critical thinking indicators that have been used by researchers previously, namely in the aspects of analysis, inference, evaluation, and decision making (Prayogi et al., 2017; Prayogi et al., 2018; Prayogi et al., 2019; Prayogi, 2019; Wahyudi et al., 2019; Verawati et al., 2019). The results of this study can be a reference in the development of test instruments to measure critical thinking skills.

METHOD

This research and development (R & D) study aimed to develop an instrument to measure students' critical thinking skills. According to Borg and Gall (1983), a R & D study involves 10 stages of research, that are research and information collecting, planning, develop preliminary form of product, preliminary field testing, main product revision, main field testing, operational product revision, operational field testing, final product revisions, and dissemination. In accordance with the needs of this research, adaptation and modification are carried out into 5 stages of research, namely: 1) information collecting, includes literature review and preparation of the subject matter; 2) planning, includes defining and formulating objectives; 3) develop preliminary form of instrumen test product; 4) preliminary field testing (expert validation); and 5) main product revision in accordance with the recommendations in the preliminary field testing. The research phase is summarized in Figure 1.




Figure 1. The research stage is the development of the test instrument

Information collecting includes reviewing the literature, preliminary observations, and determination of the subject matter, this is done to determine the learning needs associated with the plan to develop instruments for measuring students' critical thinking abilities. The instrument development planning includes defining and formulating objectives. Next, the researcher designed the draft of test instrument in Bahasa Indonesia to measure students' critical thinking skills and then validated it. Validation contains two elements of validity, namely content validity and construct validity. The instrument developed was validated by 2 validators, with the validator criteria experts in the field of physics and at least had conducted studies on

critical thinking. Suggestions and input from the validator in the next will be followed up to improve the instruments developed. The validity of the instrument by experts shows the quality of the instrument in terms of content and construct validity. Content validity refers to the extent to which the test measures the domain of content to be measured. There are three aspects of content validity: domain definition (operational definition of the content domain), domain representation (a test match between content and cognitive specifications), and domain relevance (relevance of test items to content domains) (Sireci, 1998). While the construct validity refers to the extent to which the operationalization of the construct is defined by a theory (Cronbach & Meehl, 1955). Reliability tests were also conducted and calculated using the equation of percentage of agreement by Emmer and Millett in Borich (1994), the instrument is said to be reliable if it has a match percentage of $\geq 75\%$. If the match percentage is high, then the instrument is said to be reliable. Reliability shows the level of consistency of the instrument based on observers' judgment in this context is the validator.

$$\text{Percentage of Agreement} = 100 \left(1 - \frac{A-B}{A+B} \right)$$

annotation:

A = The frequency of the aspects observed by the observer by giving a high frequency

B = The frequency of the aspects observed by other observers by giving a low frequency

The data of validation result were analyzed descriptively qualitatively, that is, by averaging the scores obtained from the validators. Validity assessment uses a scale of 5 (highest score 5, lowest score 1). Scores obtained from expert judgment are then converted into qualitative data and categorized as in Table 1.

Table 1. Conversion of quantitative data into categories (Prayogi, 2019)

Interval Score	Category
$X > X_i + 1,8 Sbi$	very good
$X_i + 0,6 Sbi < X \leq X_i + 1,8 Sbi$	good
$X_i - 0,6 Sbi < X \leq X_i + 0,6 Sbi$	enough
$X_i - 1,8 Sbi < X \leq X_i - 0,6 Sbi$	less
$X \leq X_i - 1,8 Sbi$	not good

annotation: X (empirical score), X_i (mean ideal), Sbi (ideal deviation standard)

The level of validity (V_a) is determined by calculating the average score of indicators and aspects for each expert, by adapting the interval of values in Table 1, then the level of instrument validity as in Table 2.

Table 2. Level of instrumen validity (Prayogi, 2019)

Interval Score	Category
$V_a > 4,21$	very valid
$3,40 < V_a \leq 4,21$	valid
$2,60 < V_a \leq 3,40$	enough valid
$1,79 < V_a \leq 2,60$	less valid
$V_a \leq 1,79$	not valid

The instrument for measuring critical thinking skills is said to have a good degree of validity, if the minimum level of validity achieved is valid. If the achievement level of validity is under valid, a revision is necessary.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Characteristics of test instruments for the measurement of critical thinking skills

Characteristics of test instruments for the measurement of critical thinking skills in this study are based on theoretical studies from experts who develop high-level thinking instruments. In general, the preparation of assessment instruments generally involves three

things, namely: a) clearly determining what will be assessed; b) arrange test assignments or questions; and c) determine the criteria for mastery of the thing being assessed. In this study the assessed context, test questions, and mastery criteria were judged to focus on critical thinking skills. Furthermore, according to Brookhart (2010), in the preparation of high-level thinking assessment instruments, there are three things that need to be considered, namely: a) using stimulus; b) use a new context; and c) distinguish between the level of difficulty and complexity of the thought process. Test instruments to measure high-level thinking according to Scully (2017) can be arranged by taking into account the following matters: a) manipulation of target verbs in accordance with the aspects measured, b) item flipping, meaning items simple questions can be modified (reversed) for example by presenting specific examples in the problem bar, and asking respondents to identify the rules or concepts underlying them, c) the use of high quality distractors, and d) tapping multiple neurons, meaning presenting several items content where the instrument can be a stimulus that allows the interconnection between knowledge. Elaboration of existing theories, the determination of the characteristics of the critical thinking skills test instrument in this study refers to the following things.

- a. The suitability of the content domain to be measured and assessed. This research has determined the critical thinking skill as an aspect to be measured in fluid material, namely on the indicators of analysis, inference, evaluation, and decision making. Operationally the skill to think critically on the aspect of analyzing is the process of actually identifying the relationship between statements, concepts, and descriptions of a situation or phenomenon, and describing reasons as a form of representation to express opinions and beliefs. Referencing is the process of concluding information correctly using logical reasoning from data, statements, principles, concepts, or other forms of representation. Evaluating is the process of evaluating the credibility of a statement, description, experience, situation, or opinion, as well as describing the reasons for the evaluation. Making a decision is the process of choosing choices or actions between a set of alternatives based on criteria or strategy.
- b. Item compatibility with critical thinking indicators. 14 questions were developed for fluid matter to accommodate the critical thinking indicators that are used as a reference for the preparation of items. One example problem to measure the critical thinking skill on the indicator analysis as in Figure 2.
- c. Give a stimulus in which the problem presented is closely related to the real-world context. On the subject of fluid matter, for example, in rivers, objects are often found in a floating position on the water, partially submerged, floating in water, and even submerged entirely in the riverbed. This case is then elaborated into the idea of preparing critical thinking skills items that measure analytical skills in the sub-subject matter of density, where students are asked to analyze the state of objects immersed in water with different conditions based on their density and determine the position of each object immersed. This problem requires the critical thinking skill in this context of analysis, because it is not possible for students to determine the position of each object if it cannot analyze the state of an object based on its density. The item construction of the critical thinking skill instrument in the aspect of analysis as in Figure 2.

Berikut ditampilkan lima densitas atau massa jenis benda yang dicelupkan ke dalam bak berisi air (perhatikan gambar di samping!)

- a. $0,85 \text{ g/cm}^3$
- b. $0,95 \text{ g/cm}^3$
- c. $1,05 \text{ g/cm}^3$
- d. $1,15 \text{ g/cm}^3$
- e. $1,25 \text{ g/cm}^3$

Massa jenis air adalah $1,0 \text{ g/cm}^3$. Diagram pada gambar menunjukkan enam kemungkinan posisi kelima benda jika dimasukkan ke dalam bak berisi air tersebut. Analisislah keadaan benda dengan memilih posisi dari 1-6 untuk kelima benda, berikan alasanmu!




Figure 2. The example of test instrumen in analysis aspect

The validity and reliability of the test instruments measure critical thinking skills

The critical thinking skills test instrument that has been compiled is tested for its validity and reliability. Validity test includes content validity and construct validity. Content validity according to Sireci (1998), i.e. "...refers to the degree to which a test measures the content domain it purports to measure". There are three aspects of content validity: domain definition (operational definition of content domain), domain representation (how well a test matches its content and cognitive specification), and domain relevance (relevance of each test item to the content domain). Content validity refers to the extent to which the test measures the domain of content to be measured. While the construct validity according to Cronbach and Meehl (1955), i.e. "...refers to the extent to which operationalizations of a construct are defined by a theory," its means that the construct validity refers to the extent to which the operationalization of the construct is defined by a theory. Reliability shows the level of consistency of the instrument based on observers' judgment in this context is the validator. Reliability is calculated using the percentage of agreement equation. The validation sheets are developed as Figure 3, Figure 4, Figure 5, and Figure 6 (examples for analysis indicators). Figure 3 explains the critical thinking skills test instrument, Figure 4 and Figure 5 explain aspects of content validity, and Figure 6 explain of construct validity.

1. Here are shown the density of five objects dipped in a tub of water (see the figure on the side!)

- a. $0,85 \text{ g/cm}^3$
- b. $0,95 \text{ g/cm}^3$
- c. $1,05 \text{ g/cm}^3$
- d. $1,15 \text{ g/cm}^3$
- e. $1,25 \text{ g/cm}^3$



The density of water is 1.0 g/cm^3 . The diagram in the figure shows the six possible positions of the five objects if inserted into the tub of water. Analyze the state of objects by selecting positions from 1-6 for the five objects, give your reasons!

2. According to research data, atmospheric pressure is proportional to $100,000 \text{ Pa}$, this means that the weight of the earth's atmosphere is proportional to $100,000 \text{ N}$ per meter- square on earth and the pressure is proportional to the weight of a minibus. Our body is surrounded by this pressure every day. Why is our body not destroyed by this pressure?

3. The large cross section of a hydraulic pump has a surface area of 50 times the small cross section of a hydraulic pump. A stocky man wants to provide enough force to lift an object placed on a small cross section. If the mass of the object is 10 kg , according to your analysis can the man give a strong force to lift the object at a small cross section?



4. The mass of an aluminum beam is 25.0 gr . (a) Analyze how much is the volume? (b) how much the tension of the rope that holds the beam when the beam is fully submerged in water? The density of aluminum is $2700. \text{ kg/m}^3$.




Figure 3. Critical thinking skills test instrument for analysis aspect

The aspects to be assessed: Content validity (domain definition)

The test instrument presents statements, data, phenomena, facts, or laws that enable students to conduct an analysis (the process of actually identifying the relationship between statements, concepts, and descriptions of a situation or phenomenon, and describing reasons as a form of representation to express opinions and beliefs).

Item test number 1					Item test number 2				
<i>not valid</i>				<i>very valid</i>	<i>not valid</i>				<i>very valid</i>
1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>

Item test number 3					Item test number 4				
<i>not valid</i>				<i>very valid</i>	<i>not valid</i>				<i>very valid</i>
1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>

Figure 4. The validation sheet in the aspect of content validity (domain definition)

The aspects to be assessed: Content validity (domain relevance and representation)

The test instruments that was developed are relevant and represent the content of the analysis.

Item test number 1					Item test number 2				
<i>not valid</i>				<i>very valid</i>	<i>not valid</i>				<i>very valid</i>
1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>

Item test number 3					Item test number 4				
<i>not valid</i>				<i>very valid</i>	<i>not valid</i>				<i>very valid</i>
1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>

Figure 5. The validation sheet in the aspect of content validity (domain relevance and representation)

The aspects to be assessed: Construct validity

The test instrument that was developed factually contains the correctness of the concept in terms of theory.

Item test number 1					Item test number 2				
<i>not valid</i>				<i>very valid</i>	<i>not valid</i>				<i>very valid</i>
1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>

Item test number 3					Item test number 4				
<i>not valid</i>				<i>very valid</i>	<i>not valid</i>				<i>very valid</i>
1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>

Figure 6. The validation sheet in the aspect of construct validity

The results of the validity and reliability tests of the instrumen that was developed are presented in Table 3.

Table 3. The results of the validity and reliability tests of the instrumen

Indicator	Items	Content Validity				Mean	Construct Validity		Mean
		DD		DRR			V ₁	V ₂	
		V ₁	V ₂	V ₁	V ₂				
Analysis	1	4	2	4	5	3.75	5	5	5
	2	4	4	5	5	4.5	5	5	5

Indicator	Items	Content Validity				Mean	Construct Validity		Mean
		DD		DRR			V ₁	V ₂	
		V ₁	V ₂	V ₁	V ₂				
	3	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	
	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	
	mean					4.56		5	
Inference	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	
	6	5	5	5	5	5	5	4.5	
	7	4	5	4	5	4.5	4	4.5	
	8	4	5	4	5	4.5	4	4.5	
	mean					4.75		4.63	
Evaluation	9	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	
	10	3	5	3	5	4	3	4	
	11	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	
	12	3	5	4	4	4	4	4.5	
	mean					4.5		4.63	
Decision making	13	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	
	14	4	5	4	5	4.5	4	4.5	
	mean					4.75		4.75	
	mean score					4.75		4.75	
	mean score of each item validity					4.63		4.75	
	mean score of validity (Va)					4.69 (very valid)			
	Percentage of agreement					98,7% (reliable)			

annotation: DD (domain definition), DRR (domain representation and relevance), V (validator)

In recent years, there has been increased recognition of the role of measuring instruments in the assessment process in education. That is, in addition to providing evaluative information about a student, assessment can and must also function as a mechanism to assist learning to achieve desired learning goals (Wiliam, 2013). As specific objectives are established in learning, specific instruments are developed for the intended purpose. For example, learning objectives towards learning outcomes of critical thinking skills, the measurement and assessment instruments must also be oriented towards critical thinking skills. In compiling an instrument, it is not enough just to determine the topic or material to be assessed, but it is also necessary to determine more specifically what thought processes will be assessed for certain materials. Criteria for measuring critical thinking instruments have been established in this study, namely the suitability of the content domain to be measured and assessed in critical thinking, the suitability of the item items with indicators of critical thinking, and the instrument items can provide a stimulus in which the questions presented are closely related to the real world context. Critical thinking skills criteria are set in this research, namely on aspects of analysis, inference, evaluation, and making decisions. Furthermore, the instrument item construction format is in the form of essay questions, this is in accordance with the suggestion from Brookhart (2010) that the measurement of high-level thinking including critical thinking can use two item item formats, namely essay questions or performance assessment. Explanation in students' answers can provide more valid information about their critical thinking abilities, so that the evaluator in this case the teacher can give an interpretation of the level of critical thinking students.

Accommodating the criteria for the preparation of instruments for measuring critical thinking skills as explained earlier, the instrument for thinking critical thinking skills has been

developed and compiled in the form of essay questions. A total of 14 test items were developed to accommodate the four indicators of critical thinking set in this study. The instruments compiled were then validated (content and construct) by two validators. Validation results show the average score for each component, namely the content validity of 4.63 and construct validity of 4.75. The average score which is the final result of the instrument validation is 4.69 categorized as very valid (very valid if: $V_a > 4.21$), a percentage of agreement rating of the validators is 98.7% with reliable criteria.

The average score of content validity on aspects of analysis, inference, evaluation, and making decisions in a row is 4.56, 4.75, 4.5, and 4.75, all with very valid criteria. These results indicate that the instrument is able to measure the content domain to be measured, in this context is the content of critical thinking. A more specific meaning is that the test instruments developed are in accordance with the operational definitions of the aspects of critical thinking that are measured as well as, are relevant and represent questions of critical thinking. The average construct validity score on aspects of analysis, inference, evaluation, and making decisions in a row of 5, 4.63, 4.63, and 4.75, all with very valid criteria. That is, the operationalization of the instrument item developed construct is based on theory. The developed instrument has also been reliable, this is indicated by the percentage of compatibility by two validators of 98.7%. In accordance with the objectives to be achieved, the instrument has been developed in measuring the critical thinking skill and has been declared valid and reliable.

CONCLUSION

The instrument for measuring critical thinking skills was developed with criteria; a) the suitability of the content domain to be measured and assessed in critical thinking, b) the suitability of the item items with the critical thinking indicator, and c) the instrument items can provide a stimulus where the questions presented are closely related to the real world context. The measurement instruments of critical thinking skills developed have been valid in content and construct, as well as reliable based on the validator's instrument rating. Therefore, it can be used to measure students' critical thinking skills on fluid material.

RECOMMENDATION

The instruments developed need to be empirically tested in class in high school students and their empirical validity is calculated, the results will be a strong support for the results of the content and construct validity tests that have been obtained previously.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

REFERENCES

- Borg, W. R., & Gall, M. D. (1983). *Educational research: An introduction (4th ed)*. White Plains, NY: Longman Inc.
- Borich, G. D. (1994). *Observation skills for effective teaching*. Columbus, OH: Merrill
- Brookhart, S. M. (2010). *How to assess higher-order thinking in your classroom*. Virginia: ASCD Publication.
- Butler, H. A. (2012). Halpern critical thinking assessment predicts real-world outcomes of critical thinking. *Applied Cognitive Psychology*, 25(5), 721-729.
- Cronbach, L. J., & Meehl, P. E. (1955). Construct validity in psychological tests. *Psychological Bulletin*, 52(4), 281-302.
- Ennis, R. H., & Weir, E. (1985). *The Ennis -Weir Critical Thinking Essay Test*. Pacific Grove, CA: Midwest Publications.

- Ennis, R. H., Millman, J., & Tomko, T. N. (1985). *Cornell Critical Thinking Tests*. Pacific Grove, CA: Midwest Publications.
- Facione, P. A. (1990). *The California Critical Thinking Skills Test-college level. Technical report #2. Factors predictive of CT skills*. Millbrae, CA: California Academic Press.
- Facione, P. A., & Facione, N. C. (1992). *The California Critical Thinking Dispositions Inventory*. Millbrae, CA: California Academic Press.
- Facione, P. (2011). *Critical thinking. What it is and why its counts*. Millbrae, CA: The California Academic Press.
- Halpern, D. F. (2010). *Halpern Critical Thinking Assessment manual*. Vienna, Austria: Schuhfried GmbH.
- Herpiana, R. & Rosidin, U. (2018). Development of instrument for assessing students' critical and creative thinking ability. *J. Phys.: Conf. Ser.* 948, 1-7.
- Horn, S. & Veermans, K. (2019). Critical thinking efficacy and transfer skills defend against 'fake news' at an international school in Finland. *Journal of Research in International Education*, 18(1), 1-19.
- Jabbarifar, T. (2009). The importance of classroom assessment and evaluation in educational system. In *Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference of Teaching and Learning* (pp. 1–9). Malaysia: INTI University College.
- Lai, E. (2011). *Critical thinking: A literatur review*. Pearson Research Reports. Retrieved from <http://images.pearsonassessments.com/CriticalThinkingReviewFINAL.pdf>
- Liu, O. L., Frankel, L., & Roohr, K.C. (2014). Assessing critical thinking in higher education: Current state and directions for next-generation assessment. *ETS Research Report*, 14(10), 1-23.
- Megawati, Wardani, A. K., & Hartatiana. (2020). Kemampuan berpikir tingkat tinggi siswa SMP dalam menyelesaikan soal matematika model PISA. *Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*, 14(1): 15-24.
- Mullis, I. V. S., Martin, M. O., Foy, P., & Hooper, M. (2015). *TIMSS 2015 international results*. Boston: TIMSS & PIRLS International Study Center.
- Norris, S. (1989). Can we test validly for critical thinking? *Educational Researcher*, 18(9), 21-26.
- Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. (2017). *PISA 2015 results: Collaborative problem solving* (Volume V). Paris: OECD Publishing.
- Partnership for 21st Century Skills. (2002). *Learning for the 21st century: A report and mile guide for 21st century skills*. Tucson, AZ: Author.
- Prayogi, S., Yuanita, L. & Wasis. (2017). Critical-Inquiry-Based-Learning: Model of learning to promote critical thinking ability of pre-service teachers. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* 947, 1-6, doi:10.1088/1742-6596/947/1/012013
- Prayogi, S., Yuanita, L. & Wasis. (2018). Critical-Inquiry-Based-Learning: A model of learning to promote critical thinking among prospective teachers of physic. *Journal of Turkish Science Education*, 15(1), 43-56.
- Prayogi, S., Muhali, Yuliyanti, S., Asy'ari, M., Azmi, I. & Verawati, N. N. S. P. (2019). The effect of presenting anomalous data on improving student's critical thinking ability. *International Journal of Emerging Technologies in Learning*, 14(6), 133-137.
- Prayogi, S. (2019). Pengembangan model pembelajaran Critical-Inquiry-Based-Learning untuk meningkatkan kemampuan berpikir kritis mahasiswa. *Disertasi*. Surabaya: Universitas Negeri Surabaya.
- Scully, D. (2017). Constructing multiple-choice items to measure higher-order thinking. *Practical Assessment, Research & Evaluation*, 22(4), 1-13.
- Sireci, S. G. (1998). Gathering and analyzing content validity. *Educational Assessment* 5(4), 299-321.
- Sudrajat, A.K., Saptasari, M. & Tenzer, A. (2018). Developing formative assessment instruments to measure students' critical thinking ability in human circulatory system

- material for 11th grade students of UM High School Laboratorium. *Jurnal Penelitian Pendidikan*, 18(3), 243-251.
- Verawati, N. N. S. P., Prayogi, S., Gummah, S., Muliadi, A. & Yusup, M. Y. (2019). The effect of conflict-cognitive strategy in inquiry learning towards pre-service teachers' critical thinking ability. *Jurnal Pendidikan IPA Indonesia*, 8(4), 529-537
- Wahyudi, Verawati, N. N. S. P., Ayub, S., & Prayogi, S. (2019). The effect of scientific creativity in inquiry learning to promote critical thinking ability of prospective teachers. *International Journal of Emerging Technologies in Learning*, 14(14), 122-131.
- Watson, G., & Glaser, E. M. (1980). *Watson-Glaser Critical Thinking Appraisal, forms A and B manual*. San Antonio, TX: The Psychological Corporation.
- Wiliam, D. (2013). Assessment: The bridge between teaching and learning. *Voices from the Middle*, 21(2), 15-20.



Prisma Sains : Jurnal Pengkajian Ilmu dan Pembelajaran MIPA IKIP Mataram

ISSN 2338-4530 (Print) ISSN 2540-7899 (Online) | website: ojs.ikipmataram.ac.id/index.php/prismasains/index

Accredited Sinta 3 by Ministry of Research, Technology and Higher Education (Ristekdikti) of the Republic of Indonesia, Decree No. 10/E/KTP/2019

prismasains.pkpsm@gmail.com @prisma.sains.9 Pemuda 59A Mataram +6287864524853

[HOME](#)
[ABOUT](#)
[USER HOME](#)
[SEARCH](#)
[CURRENT](#)
[ARCHIVES](#)
[ANNOUNCEMENTS](#)

ARTICLE TEMPLATE



TOOLS



USER

You are logged in as... **yogi**

- [▶ My Journals](#)
- [▶ My Profile](#)
- [▶ Log Out](#)

EDITOR

Submissions

- [▶ Unassigned \(11\)](#)
- [▶ In Review \(18\)](#)
- [▶ In Editing \(0\)](#)
- [▶ Archives](#)

Issues

- [▶ Create Issue](#)
- [▶ Notify Users](#)
- [▶ Future Issues](#)
- [▶ Back Issues](#)

KEYWORDS

Augmented Reality, Interactive Media, Electron Configuration, Niels Bohr BPIS: Services: Outpatient Blended Learning Covid-19 pandemic Critical thinking ability Development Formative Assessment, Physics, Problem Solving Ability Gender Integrated Twin Tower (ITT) Kemampuan Berpikir Kritis Learn to Talk (LTT) Learning Outcomes Learning model Mathematical Problem Solving PBL Satisfaction Level Validity analysis, directed speech acts, form, function, pragmatic studies concept mastery critical thinking skills learning media

[Home](#) > [User](#) > [Editor](#) > [Submissions](#) > #2487 > [Summary](#)

#2487 Summary

SUMMARY REVIEW EDITING HISTORY REFERENCES

Submission

Authors	Ni Nyoman Sri Putu Verawati, Saiful Prayogi, Muhammad Yusril Yusup, Hafsa Taha		
Title	Development of the Test Instrument for Measuring Students' Critical Thinking Abilities on Fluid Material		
Original file	2487-5898-1-SM.DOCX	2020-04-02	
Supp. files	2487-5900-1-SP.DOCX	2020-04-03	EDIT DELETE ADD A SUPPLEMENTARY FILE
Submitter	Ni Nyoman Sri Putu Verawati		
Date submitted	2020-04-02		
Section	Research Articles	Change to	<input type="text" value="Research Articles"/> <input type="button" value="Record"/>
Abstract Views	523		

Editors

	REVIEW	EDITING	REQUEST	ACTION
Editor			2020-04-10	DELETE
Muhammad Asy'ari	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		

[ADD SECTION EDITOR](#) | [ADD EDITOR](#) | [ADD SELF](#)

Status

Status	Published	Vol 8, No 1: June 2020	REJECT AND ARCHIVE SUBMISSION
Initiated	2020-07-13		
Last modified	2020-08-03		

Submission Metadata

[EDIT METADATA](#)

Authors

Name	Ni Nyoman Sri Putu Verawati
URL	https://scholar.google.co.id/citations?user=N5amy0AAAAJ&hl=id
Affiliation	(Scopus ID: 57193126887); Physics Education Department, Universitas Mataram. Jl. Majapahit No. 62, Mataram, 83125
Country	Indonesia
Bio Statement	Scopus ID: 57193126887
Principal contact for editorial correspondence.	
Name	Saiful Prayogi
Affiliation	Physics Education Department, Universitas Pendidikan Mandalika. Jl. Pemuda No. 59A, Mataram, 83126
Country	Indonesia
Bio Statement	—
Name	Muhammad Yusril Yusup
Affiliation	Physics Education Department, Universitas Pendidikan Mandalika. Jl. Pemuda No. 59A, Mataram, 83126
Country	Indonesia
Bio Statement	—
Name	Hafsah Taha
Affiliation	Faculty of Sciences and Mathematics, Sultan Idris Education University. Proton City, 35900
Country	Malaysia
Bio Statement	—

Title and Abstract

Title	Development of the Test Instrument for Measuring Students' Critical Thinking Abilities on Fluid Material
Abstract	This study aimed to develop a test instrument to measure students' critical thinking skills on fluid material. Characteristics of the instrument and its validity estimation are also described. This research and development study employed five stages of research, namely information collecting (literature review and preparation of the subject matter), planning (defining and formulating objectives), developing preliminary form of the test instrument, preliminary field testing (expert validation), and main product revision (in accordance with the recommendations in the preliminary field testing). The content and construct validity were estimated by expert validation. Results of the instrument validation showed average scores for each component (content validity index of 4.63 and construct validity of 4.75), both of which are in the <i>very valid</i> category. The final result of the instrument validation is 4.69 (very valid if: Va > 4.21), with 98.7% reliability. Description of the study result is presented further in this article.

Indexing

JOURNAL ACCREDITATION



ADDITIONAL MENU

FOCUS AND SCOPE

PUBLICATION ETHICS

EDITORIAL TEAM

REVIEWER

SCREENING FOR PLAGIARISM

OPEN ACCESS POLICY

AUTHOR GUIDELINES

ONLINE SUBMISSION

AUTHOR FEES

CONTACT JOURNAL

ABSTRACTING/INDEXING

JOURNAL HISTORY

SCOPUS CITATION ANALYSIS

LANGUAGE

Select Language

[Free counters!](#)

Keywords test Instrument, Critical Thinking Skills, Fluid Material
Language en

Supporting Agencies

Agencies —

OpenAIRE Specific Metadata

ProjectID —

References

- References
- Borg, W. R., & Gall, M. D. (1983). *Educational research: An introduction* (4th ed). White Plains, NY: Longman Inc.
- Borich, G. D. (1994). *Observation skills for effective teaching*. Columbus, OH: Merrill
- Brookhart, S. M. (2010). *How to assess higher-order thinking in your classroom*. Virginia: ASCD Publication.
- Butler, H. A. (2012). Halpern critical thinking assessment predicts real-world outcomes of critical thinking. *Applied Cognitive Psychology*, 25(5), 721-729.
- Cronbach, L. J., & Meehl, P. E. (1955). Construct validity in psychological tests. *Psychological Bulletin*, 52(4), 281-302.
- Ennis, R. H., & Weir, E. (1985). *The Ennis -Weir Critical Thinking Essay Test*. Pacific Grove, CA: Midwest Publications.
- Ennis, R. H., Millman, J., & Tomko, T. N. (1985). *Cornell Critical Thinking Tests*. Pacific Grove, CA: Midwest Publications.
- Facione, P. A. (1990). *The California Critical Thinking Skills Test-college level. Technical report #2. Factors predictive of CT skills*. Millbrae, CA: California Academic Press.
- Facione, P. A., & Facione, N. C. (1992). *The California Critical Thinking Dispositions Inventory*. Millbrae, CA: California Academic Press.
- Facione, P. (2011). *Critical thinking. What it is and why its counts*. Millbrae, CA: The California Academic Press.
- Halpern, D. F. (2010). *Halpern Critical Thinking Assessment manual*. Vienna, Austria: Schuhfried GmbH.
- Herpiana, R. & Rosidin, U. (2018). Development of instrument for assessing students' critical and creative thinking ability. *J. Phys.: Conf. Ser.* 948, 1-7.
- Horn, S. & Veermans, K. (2019). Critical thinking efficacy and transfer skills defend against 'fake news' at an international school in Finland. *Journal of Research in International Education*, 18(1), 1-19.
- Jabbarifar, T. (2009). The importance of classroom assessment and evaluation in educational system. In *Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference of Teaching and Learning* (pp. 1-9). Malaysia: INTI University College.
- Lai, E. (2011). *Critical thinking: A literatur review*. Pearson Research Reports. Retrieved from http://images.pearsonassessments.com/CriticalThinking_ReviewFINAL.pdf
- Liu, O. L., Frankel, L., & Roohr, K.C. (2014). Assessing critical thinking in higher education: Current state and directions for next-generation assessment. *ETS Research Report*, 14(10), 1-23.
- Megawati, Wardani, A. K., & Hartatiana. (2020). Kemampuan berpikir tingkat tinggi siswa SMP dalam menyelesaikan soal matematika model PISA. *Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*, 14(1): 15-24.
- Mullis, I. V. S., Martin, M. O., Foy, P., & Hooper, M. (2015). *TIMSS 2015 international results*. Boston: TIMSS & PIRLS International Study Center.
- Norris, S. (1989). Can we test validly for critical thinking? *Educational Researcher*, 18(9), 21-26.
- Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. (2017). *PISA 2015 results: Collaborative problem solving (Volume V)*. Paris: OECD Publishing.
- Partnership for 21st Century Skills. (2002). *Learning for the 21st century: A report and mile guide for 21st century skills*. Tucson, AZ: Author.
- Prayogi, S., Yuanita, L. & Wasis. (2017). Critical-Inquiry-Based-Learning: Model of learning to promote critical thinking ability of pre-service teachers. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* 947, 1-6, doi:10.1088/1742-6596/947/1/012013
- Prayogi, S., Yuanita, L. & Wasis. (2018). Critical-Inquiry-Based-Learning: A model of learning to promote critical thinking among prospective teachers of physic. *Journal of Turkish Science Education*, 15(1), 43-56.
- Prayogi, S., Muhali, Yuliyanti, S., Asy'ari, M., Azmi, I. & Verawati, N. N. S. P. (2019). The effect of presenting anomalous data on improving student's critical thinking ability. *International Journal of Emerging Technologies in Learning*, 14(6), 133-137.
- Prayogi, S. (2019). Pengembangan model pembelajaran Critical-Inquiry-Based-Learning untuk meningkatkan kemampuan berpikir kritis mahasiswa. *Disertasi*. Surabaya: Universitas Negeri Surabaya.
- Scully, D. (2017). Constructing multiple-choice items to measure higher-order thinking. *Practical Assessment, Research & Evaluation*, 22(4), 1-13.
- Sireci, S. G. (1998). Gathering and analyzing content validity. *Educational Assessment* 5(4), 299-321.
- Sudrajat, A.K., Saptasari, M. & Tenzer, A. (2018). Developing formative assessment instruments to measure students' critical thinking ability in human circulatory system material for 11th grade students of UM High School Laboratorium. *Jurnal Penelitian Pendidikan*, 18(3), 243-251.
- Verawati, N. N. S. P., Prayogi, S., Gummah, S., Muliadi, A. & Yusup, M. Y. (2019). The effect of conflict-cognitive strategy in inquiry learning towards pre-service teachers' critical thinking ability. *Jurnal Pendidikan IPA Indonesia*, 8(4), 529-537
- Wahyudi, Verawati, N. N. S. P., Ayub, S., & Prayogi, S. (2019). The effect of scientific creativity in inquiry learning to promote critical thinking ability of prospective teachers. *International Journal of Emerging Technologies in Learning*, 14(14), 122-131.
- Watson, G., & Glaser, E. M. (1980). *Watson-Glaser Critical Thinking Appraisal, forms A and B manual*. San Antonio, TX: The Psychological Corporation.
- Wiliam, D. (2013). *Assessment: The bridge between teaching and learning. Voices from the Middle*, 21(2), 15-20.



J-PS (Prisma Sains: Jurnal Pengkajian Ilmu dan Pembelajaran Matematika dan IPA IKIP Mataram) p-ISSN (print) 2338-4530, e-ISSN (online) 2540-7899 is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[View My Stats](#)



Prisma Sains : Jurnal Pengkajian Ilmu dan Pembelajaran MIPA IKIP Mataram

ISSN 2338-4530 (Print) ISSN 2540-7899 (Online) | website: ojs.ikipmataram.ac.id/index.php/prismasains/index

Accredited Sinta 3 by Ministry of Research, Technology and Higher Education (Ristekdikti) of the Republic of Indonesia, Decree No. 10/E/KTP/2019

 prismasains.pkpsm@gmail.com
  @prisma.sains.9
  Pemuda 59A Mataram
  +6287864524853

[HOME](#)
[ABOUT](#)
[USER HOME](#)
[SEARCH](#)
[CURRENT](#)
[ARCHIVES](#)
[ANNOUNCEMENTS](#)

ARTICLE TEMPLATE



TOOLS



USER

You are logged in as... **yogi**

- [▶ My Journals](#)
- [▶ My Profile](#)
- [▶ Log Out](#)

EDITOR

Submissions

- [▶ Unassigned \(11\)](#)
- [▶ In Review \(18\)](#)
- [▶ In Editing \(0\)](#)
- [▶ Archives](#)

Issues

- [▶ Create Issue](#)
- [▶ Notify Users](#)
- [▶ Future Issues](#)
- [▶ Back Issues](#)

KEYWORDS

Augmented Reality, Interactive Media, Electron Configuration, Niels Bohr BPJS: Services: Outpatient Blended Learning Covid-19 pandemic Critical thinking ability Development Formative Assessment, Physics, Problem Solving Ability Gender Integrated Twin Tower (ITT) Kemampuan Berpikir Kritis Learn to Talk (LTT) Learning Outcomes Learning model Mathematical Problem Solving PBL Satisfaction Level Validity analysis, directed speech acts, form, function, pragmatic studies concept mastery critical thinking skills learning media

[Home](#) > [User](#) > [Editor](#) > [Submissions](#) > #2487 > [Review](#)

#2487 Review

SUMMARY **REVIEW** EDITING HISTORY REFERENCES

Submission

Authors Ni Nyoman Sri Putu Verawati, Saiful Prayogi, Muhammad Yusril Yusup, Hafsa Taha

Title Development of the Test Instrument for Measuring Students' Critical Thinking Abilities on Fluid Material

Section Research Articles

Editor Muhammad As'ari

Review Version [2487-5899-3-RV.DOCX](#) 2020-07-10

Upload a revised Review Version No file selected.

Supp. files [2487-5900-1-SP.DOCX](#) 2020-04-03 Present file to reviewers

Peer Review

Round1

[SELECT REVIEWER](#) [VIEW REGRETS, CANCELS, PREVIOUS ROUNDS](#)

Reviewer A	Husamah Husamah			
Review Form	Review Form			
	REQUEST	UNDERWAY	DUE	ACKNOWLEDGE
	2020-04-10	2020-07-10	2020-04-24	<input type="button" value=""/>
Recommendation	Revisions Required 2020-07-10			
Uploaded files	2487-6979-1-RV.DOCX 2020-07-10 Let author view file <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input type="button" value="Record"/>			

Editor Decision

Select decision

Decision Revisions Required 2020-07-13 | Accept Submission 2020-07-13

Notify Author Editor/Author Email Record No Comments

Before sending a submission to Copyediting, use Notify Author link to inform author of decision and select the version to be sent.

Review Version [2487-5899-3-RV.DOCX](#) 2020-07-10

Author Version [2487-7003-1-ED.DOCX](#) 2020-07-13

[2487-7003-2-ED.DOCX](#) 2020-07-13

Editor Version [2487-6010-1-ED.DOCX](#) 2020-04-10 [DELETE](#)

No file selected.



J-PS (Prisma Sains: Jurnal Pengkajian Ilmu dan Pembelajaran Matematika dan IPA IKIP Mataram) p-ISSN (print) 2338-4530, e-ISSN (online) 2540-7899 is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[View My Stats](#)

JOURNAL ACCREDITATION



ADDITIONAL MENU

FOCUS AND SCOPE

PUBLICATION ETHICS

EDITORIAL TEAM

REVIEWER

SCREENING FOR PLAGIARISM

OPEN ACCESS POLICY

AUTHOR GUIDELINES

ONLINE SUBMISSION

AUTHOR FEES

CONTACT JOURNAL

ABSTRACTING/INDEXING

JOURNAL HISTORY

SCOPUS CITATION ANALYSIS

LANGUAGE

Select Language

[Free counters!](#)



Development of the Test Instrument for Measuring Students' Critical Thinking skills on Fluid Material

Abstract

This study aims to develop a test instrument to measure students' critical thinking skills on fluid material. Characteristics of the instrument and its validity estimation are also described. This research and development study employed five stages of research, namely information collecting (literature review and preparation of the subject matter), planning (defining and formulating objectives), developing preliminary form of the test instrument, preliminary field testing (expert validation), and main product revision (in accordance with the recommendations in the preliminary field testing). The content and construct validity were estimated by expert validation. Results of the instrument validation showed average scores for each component (content validity index of 4.63 and construct validity of 4.75), both of which are in the *very valid* category. The final result of the instrument validation is 4.69 (very valid if: $V_a > 4.21$), with 98.7% reliability. Description of the results of the study is presented further in this article.

Keywords: Test instrument, critical thinking skills.

How to Cite: First author., Second author., & Third author. (20xx). The title. *Prisma Sains: Jurnal Pengkajian Ilmu dan Pembelajaran Matematika dan IPA IKIP Mataram*, vol(no), xx-yy. doi:<https://doi.org/10.33394/j-ps.vvxiyy>

Commented [B1]: make it in past tense

Commented [B2]: 3-5 words or phrase and alphabetically



<https://doi.org/10.33394/j-ps.vvxiyy>

Copyright© 2019, First author et al
This is an open-access article under the [CC-BY License](#).



INTRODUCTION

One measure of progress for a nation is the quality of education and one of the important aspects of quality education, namely students who can compete globally and are able to solve problems in daily life (Megawati et al., 2020). In terms of global competitiveness, the quality of Indonesian education seems to be still low. The results of the Program for International Student Assessment (PISA) study conducted by the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) in 2015, Indonesia ranked 62 out of 70 countries. Indicators of PISA assessment included the ability of students to solve problems and higher-order thinking skills (OECD, 2017). The results of the 2015 Trends in International Mathematics and Science Study (TIMSS) study showed that Indonesia ranked 46 out of 51 countries in science achievements (Mullis et al., 2015). In addition, studies other than PISA and TIMSS have long reported that in order to face the challenges and developments of the modern age, it is necessary not just conceptual knowledge, but the skill to apply knowledge and various thinking skills called 21st Century Skills (Partnership for 21st Century Skills, 2002). One of the skills contained in 21st Century skills, namely critical thinking (Prayogi et al., 2018). Critical thinking is basically a detailed description of several characteristics which include the process of interpretation, analysis, evaluation, inference, explanation and self-regulation (Facione, 2011). One famous contributor in the tradition of critical thinking is Robert Ennis. Ennis (1996) provides the same definition as Hassard (2005) about the concept of critical thinking, namely critical thinking as sensible and reflective thinking that focuses on deciding what to believe or do.

Improving the quality of education delivery is marked by curriculum reform. For example, Finland is a developed country in the field of education that has long placed critical thinking as one of the goals of learning in its curriculum content (Horn & Veermans, 2019). In Indonesia, curriculum content which is oriented towards the development of a variety of thinking skills, especially critical thinking skills, has begun to be noticed with the implementation of the Curriculum-2013 (K-13) (Prayogi et al., 2019). In several other

developing countries critical thinking is one of the high-level skills most often discussed and linked to educational goals, because it is believed to play a central role in logical thinking, decision making, and problem solving (Butler, 2012). Various factors can contribute to achieving learning goals towards increasing critical thinking skills, one of which is the ability of teachers to carry out and utilize the critical thinking assessment process itself, because it can stimulate students to develop critical thinking skills (Herpiana & Rosidin, 2018).

The learning objectives towards training students' critical thinking are contained in K-13 in Indonesia. However, learning in reality there are still many that are oriented solely on efforts to develop and test students' memory so that students' thinking abilities are reduced and simply understood as the ability to remember (Herpiana & Rosidin, 2018), whereas they should be oriented towards achieving thinking and solving skills the problem is in accordance with the contents of the 2013 Curriculum. The impact is an evaluation tool used to measure aspects of ordinary cognitive abilities. In other cases, it was also found that although the learning process aimed at training students' critical thinking, in the assessment process the teacher did not use the instrument of critical thinking to measure learning outcomes according to the learning process intended (Sudrajat et al., 2018). Whereas learning assessment is one of the elements in learning used to determine the extent of the achievement of student competencies and the effectiveness of the learning process undertaken to achieve learning objectives (William, 2013). Assessment is an inseparable part of the learning process. Learning assessment is important so that students know what they have learned or show what they have not learned (Jabbarifar, 2009).


Test instruments to measure critical thinking skills have been developed by experts and researchers before, including; California Critical Thinking Skill Test (Facione, 1990), California Critical Thinking Disposition Inventory (Facione & Facione, 1992), Watson-Glaser Critical Thinking Appraisal (Watson & Glaser, 1980), Ennis-Weir Critical Thinking Essay Test (Ennis & Weir, 1985), Cornell Critical Thinking Test (Ennis, Millman, & Tomko, 1985), Halper Critical Thinking Assessment Manual (Halpern, 2010), and many others. However, to date the multivariate nature of the definitions offered for critical thinking, the assessment of critical thinking, their level of generality or specificity, and their practical impact on broader academic achievement is still being debated (Liu et al., 2014). According to Lai (2011), these instruments vary greatly in purpose and format, and critical thinking assessments tend to be general in nature. Norris (1989) has long argued that the facts about the level of uniqueness in critical thinking have not been resolved because of the many theories in different views, making measurement and evaluation of critical thinking difficult. First, the type of a person's conclusions is still unclear to the extent that researchers cannot agree whether critical thinking is a general or special subject. Second, it is difficult to assess the transfer of critical thinking with other subjects, because transfer to other contexts may be different from the specificity of knowledge in critical thinking. Thus, despite the fact that previous researchers or experts have identified critical thinking skills or abilities and dispositions that differ from one another, it turns out to describe separate effects and the use of difficult judgments in practice (Prayogi, 2019). Therefore, the measurement of critical thinking in a more specific context needs to be done and the instrument used as an important measurement tool to be developed, in this study is the instrument for measuring critical thinking skills in fluid material. The instrument for measuring critical thinking skills developed was tested for its validity (content and construct), and its reliability.

The purpose of this study, namely: a) describe the characteristics of test instruments for the measurement of students' critical thinking skills on fluid material, and b) describe the validity and reliability of instruments measuring students' critical thinking skills on fluid material. The test instrument was developed based on critical thinking indicators that have been used by researchers previously, namely in the aspects of analysis, inference, evaluation, and decision making (Prayogi et al., 2017; Prayogi et al., 2018; Prayogi et al., 2019; Wahyudi et al., 2019; Verawati et al., 2019).

Commented [B3]: Describe the expected real contribution of this article in the related field.

METHOD

This research and development (R & D) study aimed to develop an instrument to measure students' critical thinking skills. According to Borg and Gall (1983), a R & D study involves 10 stages of research, that are research and information collecting, planning, develop preliminary form of product, preliminary field testing, main product revision, main field testing, operational product revision, operational field testing, final product revisions, and dissemination. In accordance with the needs of this research, adaptation and modification are carried out into 5 stages of research, namely: 1) information collecting, includes literature review and preparation of the subject matter; 2) planning, includes defining and formulating objectives; 3) develop preliminary form of instrumen test product; 4) preliminary field testing (expert validation); and 5) main product revision in accordance with the recommendations in the preliminary field testing. The research phase is summarized in Figure 1.



annotation: : action : selection : result
 —▶ : sequence - - - - -▶ : cycle

Figure 1. The research stage is the development of the test instrument

Information collecting includes reviewing the literature, preliminary observations, and determination of the subject matter, this is done to determine the learning needs associated with the plan to develop instruments for measuring students' critical thinking abilities. The instrument development planning includes defining and formulating objectives. Next, the researcher designed the draft of test instrument in Bahasa Indonesia to measure students' critical thinking skills and then validated it. Validation contains two elements of validity, namely content validity and construct validity. The instrument developed was validated by 2 validators, with the validator criteria experts in the field of physics and at least had conducted studies on critical thinking. Suggestions and input from the validator in the next will be followed up to improve the instruments developed. The validity of the instrument by experts shows the quality of the instrument in terms of content and construct validity. Content validity refers to the extent to which the test measures the domain of content to be measured. There are three aspects of content validity: domain definition (operational definition of the content domain), domain representation (a test match between content and cognitive specifications), and domain relevance (relevance of test items to content domains) (Sireci, 1998). While the construct validity refers to the extent to which the operationalization of the construct is defined by a theory (Cronbach & Meehl, 1955). Reliability tests were also conducted and calculated using the equation of percentage of agreement by Emmer and Millett in Borich (1994), the instrument is said to be reliable if it has a match percentage of $\geq 75\%$. If the match percentage is high, then

Commented [B4]: Are there no recent references regarding this calculation or provision?

the instrument is said to be reliable. Reliability shows the level of consistency of the instrument based on observers' judgment in this context is the validator.

$$\text{Percentage of Agreement} = 100(1 - \frac{A-B}{A+B})$$

annotation:

A = The frequency of the aspects observed by the observer by giving a high frequency

B = The frequency of the aspects observed by other observers by giving a low frequency

The data of validation result were analyzed descriptively qualitatively, that is, by averaging the scores obtained from the validators. Validity assessment uses a scale of 5 (highest score 5, lowest score 1). Scores obtained from expert judgment are then converted into qualitative data and categorized as in Table 1.

Table 1. Conversion of quantitative data into categories

Interval Score	Category
$X > X_i + 1,8 Sbi$	very good
$X_i + 0,6 Sbi < X \leq X_i + 1,8 Sbi$	good
$X_i - 0,6 Sbi < X \leq X_i + 0,6 Sbi$	enought
$X_i - 1,8 Sbi < X \leq X_i - 0,6 Sbi$	less
$X \leq X_i - 1,8 Sbi$	not good

annotation: X (empirical score), X_i (mean ideal), Sbi (ideal deviation standard)

The level of validity (V_a) is determined by calculating the average score of indicators and aspects for each expert, by adapting the interval of values in Table 1, then the level of instrument validity as in Table 2.

Table 2. Level of instrumen validity

Interval Score	Category
$V_a > 4,21$	very valid
$3,40 < V_a \leq 4,21$	valid
$2,60 < V_a \leq 3,40$	enought valid
$1,79 < V_a \leq 2,60$	less valid
$V_a \leq 1,79$	not valid

The instrument for measuring critical thinking skills is said to have a good degree of validity, if the minimum level of validity achieved is valid. If the achievement level of validity is under valid, a revision is necessary.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Characteristics of test instruments for the measurement of critical thinking skills

Characteristics of test instruments for the measurement of critical thinking skills in this study are based on theoretical studies from experts who develop high-level thinking instruments. In general, the preparation of assessment instruments generally involves three things, namely: a) clearly determining what will be assessed; b) arrange test assignments or questions; and c) determine the criteria for mastery of the thing being assessed. In this study the assessed context, test questions, and mastery criteria were judged to focus on critical thinking skills. Furthermore, according to Brookhart (2010), in the preparation of high-level thinking assessment instruments, there are three things that need to be considered, namely: a) using stimulus; b) use a new context; and c) distinguish between the level of difficulty and complexity of the thought process. Test instruments to measure high-level thinking according to Scully (2017) can be arranged by taking into account the following matters: a) manipulation

Commented [B5]: is there any reference to this table?

Commented [B6]: is there any reference to this table?

of target verbs in accordance with the aspects measured, b) item flipping, meaning items simple questions can be modified (reversed) for example by presenting specific examples in the problem bar, and asking respondents to identify the rules or concepts underlying them, c) the use of high quality distractors, and d) tapping multiple neurons, meaning presenting several items content where the instrument can be a stimulus that allows the interconnection between knowledge. Elaboration of existing theories, the determination of the characteristics of the critical thinking skills test instrument in this study refers to the following things.

- a. The suitability of the content domain to be measured and assessed. This research has determined the critical thinking skill as an aspect to be measured in fluid material, namely on the indicators of analysis, inference, evaluation, and decision making. Operationally the skill to think critically on the aspect of analyzing is the process of actually identifying the relationship between statements, concepts, and descriptions of a situation or phenomenon, and describing reasons as a form of representation to express opinions and beliefs. Referencing is the process of concluding information correctly using logical reasoning from data, statements, principles, concepts, or other forms of representation. Evaluating is the process of evaluating the credibility of a statement, description, experience, situation, or opinion, as well as describing the reasons for the evaluation. Making a decision is the process of choosing choices or actions between a set of alternatives based on criteria or strategy.
- b. Item compatibility with critical thinking indicators. 14 questions were developed for fluid matter to accommodate the critical thinking indicators that are used as a reference for the preparation of items. One example problem to measure the critical thinking skill on the indicator analysis as in Figure 2.
- c. Give a stimulus in which the problem presented is closely related to the real-world context. On the subject of fluid matter, for example, in rivers, objects are often found in a floating position on the water, partially submerged, floating in water, and even submerged entirely in the riverbed. This case is then elaborated into the idea of preparing critical thinking skills items that measure analytical skills in the sub-subject matter of density, where students are asked to analyze the state of objects immersed in water with different conditions based on their density and determine the position of each object immersed. This problem requires the critical thinking skill in this context of analysis, because it is not possible for students to determine the position of each object if it cannot analyze the state of an object based on its density. The item construction of the critical thinking skill instrument in the aspect of analysis as in Figure 2.

Berikut ditampilkan lima densitas atau massa jenis benda yang dicelupkan ke dalam bak berisi air (perhatikan gambar di samping!)

- a. $0,85 \text{ g/cm}^3$
- b. $0,95 \text{ g/cm}^3$
- c. $1,05 \text{ g/cm}^3$
- d. $1,15 \text{ g/cm}^3$
- e. $1,25 \text{ g/cm}^3$



Massa jenis air adalah $1,0 \text{ g/cm}^3$. Diagram pada gambar menunjukkan enam kemungkinan posisi kelima benda jika dimasukkan ke dalam bak berisi air tersebut. Analisislah keadaan benda dengan memilih posisi dari 1-6 untuk kelima benda, berikan alasanmu!


Figure 2. The example of test instrumen in analysis aspect

The validity and reliability of the test instruments measure critical thinking skills

The critical thinking skills test instrument that has been compiled is tested for its validity and reliability. Validity test includes content validity and construct validity. Content validity according to Sireci (1998), i.e. "...refers to the degree to which a test measures the content domain it purports to measure". There are three aspects of content validity: domain definition

(operational definition of content domain), domain representation (how well a test matches its content and cognitive specification), and domain relevance (relevance of each test item to the content domain). Content validity refers to the extent to which the test measures the domain of content to be measured. While the construct validity according to Cronbach and Meehl (1955), i.e "...refers to the extent to which operationalizations of a construct are defined by a theory," its means that the construct validity refers to the extent to which the operationalization of the construct is defined by a theory. Reliability shows the level of consistency of the instrument based on observers' judgment in this context is the validator. Reliability is calculated using the percentage of agreement equation. The validation sheets are developed as follows (examples for analysis indicators).


1. Here are shown the density of five objects dipped in a tub of water (see the figure on the side!)
 - a. 0,85 g/cm³
 - b. 0.95 g/cm³
 - c. 1,05 g/cm³
 - d. 1,15 g/cm³
 - e. 1,25 g/cm³




The density of water is 1.0 g / cm³. The diagram in the figure shows the six possible positions of the five objects if inserted into the tub of water. Analyze the state of objects by selecting positions from 1-6 for the five objects, give your reasons!

2. According to research data, atmospheric pressure is proportional to 100,000 Pa, this means that the weight of the earth's atmosphere is proportional to 100,000 N per meter- square on earth and the pressure is proportional to the weight of a minibus. Our body is surrounded by this pressure every day. Why is our body not destroyed by this pressure?

3. The large cross section of a hydraulic pump has a surface area of 50 times the small cross section of a hydraulic pump. A stocky man wants to provide enough force to lift an object placed on a small cross section. If the mass of the object is 10 kg, according to your analysis can the man give a strong force to lift the object at a small cross section?



4. The mass of an aluminum beam is 25.0 gr. (a) Analyze how much is the volume? (b) how much the tension of the rope that holds the beam when the beam is fully submerged in water? The density of alumanium is 2700. kg/m³.



The aspects to be assessed: Content validity (domain definition)

The test instrument presents statements, data, phenomena, facts, or laws that enable students to conduct an analysis (the process of actually identifying the relationship between statements, concepts, and descriptions of a situation or phenomenon, and describing reasons as a form of representation to express opinions and beliefs).

Item test number 1					Item test number 2				
not valid				very valid	not valid				very valid
1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5

Commented [B7]: Make it into a picture ... then describe it

Item test number 3

not valid 1	2	3	4	very valid 5

Item test number 4

not valid 1	2	3	4	very valid 5

The aspects to be assessed: Content validity (domain relevance and representation)

The test instruments that was developed are relevant and represent the content of the analysis.

Item test number 1

not valid 1	2	3	4	very valid 5

Item test number 2

not valid 1	2	3	4	very valid 5

Item test number 3

not valid 1	2	3	4	very valid 5

Item test number 4

not valid 1	2	3	4	very valid 5

The aspects to be assessed: Construct validity

The test instrument that was developed factually contains the correctness of the concept in terms of theory.

Item test number 1

not valid 1	2	3	4	very valid 5

Item test number 2

not valid 1	2	3	4	very valid 5

Item test number 3

not valid 1	2	3	4	very valid 5

Item test number 4

not valid 1	2	3	4	very valid 5

The results of the validity and reliability tests of the instrumen that was developed are presented in Table 3.

Table 3. The results of the validity and reliability tests of the instrumen

Indicator	Items	Content Validity				Mean	Construct Validity		Mean
		DD		DRR			V ₁	V ₂	
		V ₁	V ₂	V ₁	V ₂				
Analysis	1	4	2	4	5	3.75	5	5	5
	2	4	4	5	5	4.5	5	5	5
	3	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
	mean					4.56			5
Inference	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
	6	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	4.5
	7	4	5	4	5	4.5	4	5	4.5

Indicator	Items	Content Validity				Mean	Construct Validity		Mean
		DD		DRR			V ₁	V ₂	
		V ₁	V ₂	V ₁	V ₂				
	8	4	5	4	5	4.5	4	5	4.5
	mean					4.75			4.63
Evaluation	9	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
	10	3	5	3	5	4	3	5	4
	11	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
	12	3	5	4	4	4	4	5	4.5
	mean					4.5			4.63
Decision making	13	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
	14	4	5	4	5	4.5	4	5	4.5
	mean					4.75			4.75
	mean score					4.75			4.75
	mean score of each item validity					4.63			4.75
	mean score of validity (Va)					4.69 (very valid)			
	Percentage of agreement					98,7% (reliable)			

annotation: DD (domain definition), DRR (domain representation and relevance), V (validator)

In recent years, there has been increased recognition of the role of measuring instruments in the assessment process in education. That is, in addition to providing evaluative information about a student, assessment can and must also function as a mechanism to assist learning to achieve desired learning goals (Black & Wiliam, 1998). As specific objectives are established in learning, specific instruments are developed for the intended purpose. For example, learning objectives towards learning outcomes of critical thinking skills, the measurement and assessment instruments must also be oriented towards critical thinking skills. In compiling an instrument, it is not enough just to determine the topic or material to be assessed, but it is also necessary to determine more specifically what thought processes will be assessed for certain materials. Criteria for measuring critical thinking instruments have been established in this study, namely the suitability of the content domain to be measured and assessed in critical thinking, the suitability of the item items with indicators of critical thinking, and the instrument items can provide a stimulus in which the questions presented are closely related to the real world context. Critical thinking skills criteria are set in this research, namely on aspects of analysis, inference, evaluation, and making decisions. Furthermore, the instrument item construction format is in the form of essay questions, this is in accordance with the suggestion from Brookhart (2010) that the measurement of high-level thinking including critical thinking can use two item item formats, namely essay questions or performance assessment. Explanation in students' answers can provide more valid information about their critical thinking abilities, so that the evaluator in this case the teacher can give an interpretation of the level of critical thinking students.

Accommodating the criteria for the preparation of instruments for measuring critical thinking skills as explained earlier, the instrument for thinking critical thinking skills has been developed and compiled in the form of essay questions. A total of 14 test items were developed to accommodate the four indicators of critical thinking set in this study. The instruments compiled were then validated (content and construct) by two validators. Validation results show the average score for each component, namely the content validity of 4.63 and construct validity of 4.75. The average score which is the final result of the instrument validation is 4.69 categorized as very valid (very valid if: $V_a > 4.21$), a percentage of agreement rating of the validators is 98.7% with reliable criteria.

The average score of content validity on aspects of analysis, inference, evaluation, and making decisions in a row is 4.56, 4.75, 4.5, and 4.75, all with very valid criteria. These results indicate that the instrument is able to measure the content domain to be measured, in this context is the content of critical thinking. A more specific meaning is that the test instruments developed are in accordance with the operational definitions of the aspects of critical thinking that are measured as well as, are relevant and represent questions of critical thinking. The average construct validity score on aspects of analysis, inference, evaluation, and making decisions in a row of 5, 4.63, 4.63, and 4.75, all with very valid criteria. That is, the operationalization of the instrument item developed construct is based on theory. The developed instrument has also been reliable, this is indicated by the percentage of compatibility by two validators of 98.7%. In accordance with the objectives to be achieved, the instrument has been developed in measuring the critical thinking skill and has been declared valid and reliable.

CONCLUSION

The instrument for measuring critical thinking skills was developed with criteria; a) the suitability of the content domain to be measured and assessed in critical thinking, b) the suitability of the item items with the critical thinking indicator, and c) the instrument items can provide a stimulus where the questions presented are closely related to the real world context. The measurement instruments of critical thinking skills developed have been valid in content and construct, as well as reliable based on the validator's instrument rating. Therefore, it can be used to measure students' critical thinking skills on fluid material.

RECOMMENDATION

The instruments developed need to be empirically tested in class in high school students and their empirical validity is calculated, the results will be a strong support for the results of the content and construct validity tests that have been obtained previously.

REFERENCES

- Black, P. & Wiliam, D. (1998). Assessment and classroom learning. *Assessment in education: Principles, Policy & Practice*, 5(1), 7-74.
- Bonk, C. J., & Smith, G. S. (1998). Alternative instructional strategies for creative and critical thinking in the accounting curriculum. *Journal of Accounting Education*, 16(2), 261-293.
- Butler, H. A. (2012). Halpern critical thinking assessment predicts real-world outcomes of critical thinking. *Applied Cognitive Psychology*, 25(5), 721-729.
- Chalkiadaki, A. (2018). A systematic literature review of 21st century skills and competencies in primary education. *International Journal of Instruction*, 11(3), 1-16.
- Costa, A. L. (1985). *Developing minds: A resource book for teaching thinking* (3rd Ed). Retrieved from [ERIC database. \(ED262968\)](#).
- Dwijananti, P., & Yuliyanti, D. (2010). Pengembangan kemampuan berpikir kritis mahasiswa melalui pembelajaran problem-based instruction pada mata kuliah fisika lingkungan. *Jurnal Pendidikan Fisika Indonesia*, 6, 108-114.
- Ennis, R. H., & Weir, E. (1985). *The Ennis -Weir Critical Thinking Essay Test*. Pacific Grove, CA: Midwest Publications.
- Ennis, R. H., Millman, J., & Tomko, T. N. (1985). *Cornell Critical Thinking Tests*. Pacific Grove, CA: Midwest Publications.
- Ennis, R. H. (1996). *Critical thinking*. New York: Prentice-Hall.
- Ennis, R. H. (1991). Critical thinking: A streamlined conception. *Teaching Philosophy*, 14(1), 5-24.
- Ennis, R. H. (1993). Critical thinking assessment. *Theory into Practice*, 32(3), 179-186.
- Facione, P. A. (1990a). *The California Critical Thinking Skills Test-college level. Technical report #2. Factors predictive of CT skills*. Millbrae, CA: California Academic Press.

- Facione, P. A., & Facione, N. C. (1992). *The California Critical Thinking Dispositions Inventory*. Millbrae, CA: California Academic Press.
- Facione, P. (2011). *Critical thinking. What it is and why it counts*. Millbrae, CA: The California Academic Press.
- Facione, P. A., Facione N. C., & Giancarlo, C. (2000). The disposition toward critical thinking: Its character, measurement, and relationship to critical thinking skills. *Journal of Informal Logic*, 20(1), 61-84.
- Facione, P., Giancarlo, N., & Gainen J. (1995). The disposition toward critical thinking. *Journal of General Education*, 44(1), 1-25.
- Fisher, A. (2003). *Critical thinking an introduction*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Glaser, E. M. (1941). *Experiment in the development of critical thinking*. New York: Teachers College, Columbia University.
- Halpern, D. F. (2010). *Halpern Critical Thinking Assessment manual*. Vienna, Austria: Schuhfried GmbH.
- Hassard, J. (2005). *The art teaching science*. New York: Oxford University Press
- Herpiana, R. & Rosidin, U. (2018). Development of instrument for assessing students' critical and creative thinking ability. *J. Phys.: Conf. Ser.* 948, 1-7.
- Horn, S. & Veermans, K. (2019). Critical thinking efficacy and transfer skills defend against 'fake news' at an international school in Finland. *Journal of Research in International Education*, 18(1), 1-19.
- Howard, P. G. (2018). Twenty-first century learning as a radical re-thinking of education in the service of life. *Education Sciences*, 8(189), 1-13.
- Jabbarifar, T. (2009). The importance of classroom assessment and evaluation in educational system. In *Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference of Teaching and Learning* (pp. 1-9). Malaysia: INTI University College.
- Kiltz, L. (2009). Developing critical thinking skills in homeland security and emergency management courses. *Journal of Homeland Security and Emergency Management*, 6(1), 1-23.
- Ku, K. Y. L. (2009). Assessing students' critical thinking performance: Urging for measurements using multi-response format. *Thinking Skills and Creativity*, 4(1), 70-76
- Lai, E. (2011). *Critical thinking: A literatur review*. Pearson Research Reports. Retrieved from <http://images.pearsonassessments.com/CriticalThinkingReviewFINAL.pdf>
- Lewis, A., & Smith, D. (1993). Defining higher order thinking. *Theory into Practice*, 32(3), 131-137.
- Lipman, M. (1984). The cultivation of reasoning through philosophy. *Educational Leadership*, 42(1), 26-36.
- Liu, O. L., Frankel, L. & Roohr, K.C. (2014). Assessing critical thinking in higher education: Current state and directions for next-generation assessment. *ETS Research Report*, 14(10), 1-23.
- Megawati, Wardani, A. K., & Hartatiana. (2020). Kemampuan berpikir tingkat tinggi siswa SMP dalam menyelesaikan soal matematika model PISA. *Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*, 14(1): 15-24.
- Moss, P. A., & Koziol, S. M. (1991). Investigating the validity of a locally developed critical thinking test. *Educational Measurement: Issues and Practice*, 10(3), 17-22.
- Mufahroyin. (2009). *Memberdayakan kemampuan berpikir kritis*. Versi online di <http://mufahroyin.blogspot.com>. Diakses tanggal 24 September 2013.
- Mullis, I. V. S., Martin, M. O., Foy, P., & Hooper, M. (2015). *TIMSS 2015 international results*. Boston: TIMSS & PIRLS International Study Center.
- Norris, S. (1989). Can we test validly for critical thinking? *Educational Researcher*, 18(9), 21-26.
- Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. (2017). *PISA 2015 results: Collaborative problem solving* (Volume V). Paris: OECD Publishing.

- Partnership for 21st Century Skills. (2002). *Learning for the 21st century: A report and mile guide for 21st century skills*. Tucson, AZ: Author.
- Paul, R., & Elder, L. (2006). *Critical thinking (concepts and tools)*. The Foundation for Critical Thinking.
- Pramudya, S. A. (2006). *Menumbuhkan kematangan berpikir*. Jakarta: Edsa Mahkota.
- Prayogi, S., Yuanita, L. & Wasis. (2018). Critical-Inquiry-Based-Learning: A model of learning to promote critical thinking among prospective teachers of physic. *Journal of Turkish Science Education*, 15(1), 43-56.
- Prayogi, S., Muhali, Yuliyanti, S., Asy'ari, M., Azmi, I. & Verawati, N. N. S. P. (2019). The effect of presenting anomalous data on improving student's critical thinking ability. *International Journal of Emerging Technologies in Learning*, 14(6), 133-137.
- Prayogi, S. (2019). Pengembangan model pembelajaran Critical-Inquiry-Based-Learning untuk meningkatkan kemampuan berpikir kritis mahasiswa. *Disertasi*. Surabaya: Universitas Negeri Surabaya.
- Reid, J. C. (2006). *Mengajar anak berpikir kreatif, mandiri, mental dan analitis*. Jakarta: Prestasi Pustakaraya.
- Ricketts, J., & Rudd, R. (2004). The relationship between critical thinking dispositions and critical thinking skills of selected youth leaders in the national FFA organization. *Journal of Southern Agricultural Education Research*, 54(1), 21-33.
- Saleh, S. E. (2019). Critical thinking as a 21st century skill: Conceptions, implementation and challenges in the EFL classroom. *European Journal of Foreign Language Teaching*, 4(1), 1-16.
- Scriven, M., & Paul, R. (2009). SLA 2009 Annual Meeting Washington DC.
- Silva, E. (2008). *Measuring skills for the 21st century*. Washington, DC: Education Sector. Diakses dari http://www.educationsector.org/usr_doc.pdf.
- Solso, R., Maclin, O., & Maclin, K. (2008). *Cognitive psychology* (8th ed). Boston, MA: Pearson Inc.
- Sternberg, R. J. (1986). *Critical thinking: Its nature, measurement, and improvement*. Retrieved from ERIC database. (ED272882).
- Sudrajat, A.K., Saptasari, M. & Tenzer, A. (2018). Developing formative assessment instruments to measure students' critical thinking ability in human circulatory system material for 11th grade students of UM High School Laboratorium. *Jurnal Penelitian Pendidikan*, 18(3), 243-251.
- Wasis. (2016). *Higher order thinking skills (HOTS): Konsep dan implementasinya*. Sem. Nas. PKPSM IKIP Mataram (Mataram: West Nusa Tenggara-Indonesia).
- Watson, G., & Glaser, E. M. (1980). *Watson-Glaser Critical Thinking Appraisal, forms A and B manual*. San Antonio, TX: The Psychological Corporation.
- Wiliam, D. (2013). Assessment: The bridge between teaching and learning. *Voices from the Middle*, 21(2), 15-20.



Prisma Sains : Jurnal Pengkajian Ilmu dan Pembelajaran MIPA IKIP Mataram
 ISSN 2338-4530 (Print) ISSN 2540-7899 (Online) | website: ojs.ikipmataram.ac.id/index.php/prismasains/index
 Accredited Sinta 3 by Ministry of Research, Technology and Higher Education (Ristekdikti) of the Republic of Indonesia, Decree No. 10/E/KTP/2019

prismasains.pkpsm@gmail.com @prisma.sains.9 Pemuda 59A Mataram +6287864524853

HOME ABOUT USER HOME SEARCH CURRENT ARCHIVES ANNOUNCEMENTS

ARTICLE TEMPLATE



TOOLS



USER

You are logged in as... yogi

- ▶ My Journals
- ▶ My Profile
- ▶ Log Out

EDITOR

Submissions

- ▶ Unassigned (11)
- ▶ In Review (18)
- ▶ In Editing (0)
- ▶ Archives

Issues

- ▶ Create Issue
- ▶ Notify Users
- ▶ Future Issues
- ▶ Back Issues

KEYWORDS

Augmented Reality, Interactive Media, Electron Configuration, Niels Bohr BPIS: Services: Outpatient Blended Learning Covid-19 pandemic Critical thinking ability Development Formative Assessment, Physics, Problem Solving Ability Gender Integrated Twin Tower (ITT) Kemampuan Berpikir Kritis Learn to Talk (LTT) Learning Outcomes Learning model Mathematical Problem Solving PBL Satisfaction Level Validity analysis, directed speech acts, form, function, pragmatic studies concept mastery critical thinking skills learning media

Home > User > Editor > Submissions > #2487 > Editing

#2487 Editing

SUMMARY REVIEW **EDITING** HISTORY REFERENCES

Submission

Authors: Ni Nyoman Sri Putu Verawati, Saiful Prayogi, Muhammad Yusril Yusup, Hafsa Taha
 Title: Development of the Test Instrument for Measuring Students' Critical Thinking Abilities on Fluid Material
 Section: Research Articles
 Editor: Muhammad Asy'ari

Copyediting

[COPYEDIT INSTRUCTIONS](#)

REQUEST	UNDERWAY	COMPLETE	ACKNOWLEDGE
1. Initial Copyedit File: Request email cannot be sent until file is selected for copyediting in Editor Decision, Review page.	N/A	COMPLETE	N/A
2. Author Copyedit File:	—	—	—
3. Final Copyedit File:	N/A	COMPLETE	N/A

Upload file to Step 1, Step 2, or Step 3 No file selected.

Copyedit Comments

Scheduling

Schedule for publication in [TABLE OF CONTENTS](#)
 Published

Layout

REQUEST	UNDERWAY	COMPLETE	ACKNOWLEDGE
Layout Version File: None (Upload final copyedit version as Layout Version prior to sending request)	N/A	N/A	N/A

FILE	ORDER	ACTION	VIEWS
1. PDF VIEW PROOF 2487-7034-1-PB.PDF 2020-07-19	↑ ↓	EDIT DELETE	26

FILE	ORDER	ACTION
1. Development of the Test Instrument for Measuring Students' Critical Thinking skills on Fluid Material 2487-5900-1-SP.DOCX 2020-04-03	↑ ↓	EDIT DELETE

Upload file to Layout Version, Galley, Supp. files No file selected.

Create remote Galley, Supp. files

Layout Comments [REFERENCE LINKING](#) [PETUNJUK PENULISAN](#)

Proofreading

REQUEST	UNDERWAY	COMPLETE	ACKNOWLEDGE
1. Author <input type="button" value="Initiate"/>	—	—	<input type="button" value="Acknowledge"/>
2. Proofreader INITIATE	N/A	—	N/A
3. Layout Editor INITIATE	N/A	—	N/A

Proofreading Corrections [PROOFING INSTRUCTIONS](#)



J-PS (Prisma Sains: Jurnal Pengkajian Ilmu dan Pembelajaran Matematika dan IPA IKIP Mataram) p-ISSN (print) 2338-4530, e-ISSN (online) 2540-7899 is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

[View My Stats](#)

JOURNAL ACCREDITATION



ADDITIONAL MENU

FOCUS AND SCOPE

PUBLICATION ETHICS

EDITORIAL TEAM

REVIEWER

SCREENING FOR PLAGIARISM

OPEN ACCESS POLICY

AUTHOR GUIDELINES

ONLINE SUBMISSION

AUTHOR FEES

CONTACT JOURNAL

ABSTRACTING/INDEXING

JOURNAL HISTORY

SCOPUS CITATION ANALYSIS

LANGUAGE

Select Language

[Free counters!](#)



Development of the Test Instrument for Measuring Students' Critical Thinking skills on Fluid Material

¹Ni Nyoman Sri Putu Verawati, ^{2*}Saiful Prayogi, ²Muhammad Yusril Yusup, ³Hafsah Taha

¹Physics Education Department, Universitas Mataram. Jl. Majapahit No. 62, Mataram, 83125, Indonesia

²Physics Education Department, Universitas Pendidikan Mandalika. Jl. Pemuda No. 59A, Mataram, 83126, Indonesia

³Faculty of Sciences and Mathematics, Sultan Idris Education University. Proton City, 35900, Malaysia

*Corresponding Author e-mail: saifulprayogi@ikipmataram.ac.id

Received: April 2020; Revised: June 2020; Published: June 2020

Abstract

This study aimed to develop a test instrument to measure students' critical thinking skills on fluid material. Characteristics of the instrument and its validity estimation are also described. This research and development study employed five stages of research, namely information collecting (literature review and preparation of the subject matter), planning (defining and formulating objectives), developing preliminary form of the test instrument, preliminary field testing (expert validation), and main product revision (in accordance with the recommendations in the preliminary field testing). The content and construct validity were estimated by expert validation. Results of the instrument validation showed average scores for each component (content validity index of 4.63 and construct validity of 4.75), both of which are in the *very valid* category. The final result of the instrument validation is 4.69 (very valid if: $V_a > 4.21$), with 98.7% reliability. Description of the study result is presented further in this article.

Keywords: Test instrument, Critical thinking skills, Fluid material

How to Cite: Verawati, N., N., S., P., Prayogi, S., Yusup, M., Y., & Taha, H. (2020). Development of the Test Instrument for Measuring Students' Critical Thinking Abilities on Fluid Material. *Prisma Sains: Jurnal Pengkajian Ilmu dan Pembelajaran Matematika dan IPA IKIP Mataram*, 8(1), 46-56. doi:<https://doi.org/10.33394/j-ps.v8i1.2487>



<https://doi.org/10.33394/j-ps.v8i1.2487>

Copyright© 2020, Verawati et al

This is an open-access article under the [CC-BY License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).



INTRODUCTION

One measure of progress for a nation is the quality of education and one of the important aspects of quality education, namely students who can compete globally and are able to solve problems in daily life (Megawati et al., 2020). In terms of global competitiveness, the quality of Indonesian education seems to be still low. The results of the Program for International Student Assessment (PISA) study conducted by the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) in 2015, Indonesia ranked 62 out of 70 countries. Indicators of PISA assessment included the ability of students to solve problems and higher-order thinking skills (OECD, 2017). The results of the 2015 Trends in International Mathematics and Science Study (TIMSS) study showed that Indonesia ranked 46 out of 51 countries in science achievements (Mullis et al., 2015). In addition, studies other than PISA and TIMSS have long reported that in order to face the challenges and developments of the modern age, it is necessary not just conceptual knowledge, but the skill to apply knowledge and various thinking skills called 21st Century Skills (Partnership for 21st Century Skills, 2002). One of the skills contained in 21st Century skills, namely critical thinking (Prayogi et al., 2018). Critical thinking is basically a

detailed description of several characteristics which include the process of interpretation, analysis, evaluation, inference, explanation and self-regulation (Facione, 2011). One famous contributor in the tradition of critical thinking is Robert Ennis. Ennis (1996) provide the definition about the concept of critical thinking, namely critical thinking as sensible and reflective thinking that focuses on deciding what to believe or do.

Improving the quality of education delivery is marked by curriculum reform. For example, Finland is a developed country in the field of education that has long placed critical thinking as one of the goals of learning in its curriculum content (Horn & Veermans, 2019). In Indonesia, curriculum content which is oriented towards the development of a variety of thinking skills, especially critical thinking skills, has begun to be noticed with the implementation of the Curriculum-2013 (K-13) (Prayogi et al., 2019). In several other developing countries critical thinking is one of the high-level skills most often discussed and linked to educational goals, because it is believed to play a central role in logical thinking, problem solving, an in decision making (Butler, 2012). Various factors can contribute to achieving learning goals towards increasing critical thinking skills, one of which is the ability of teachers to carry out and utilize the critical thinking assessment process itself, because it can stimulate students to develop critical thinking skills (Herpiana & Rosidin, 2018).

The learning objectives towards training students' critical thinking are contained in K-13 in Indonesia. However, learning in reality there are still many that are oriented solely on efforts to develop and test students' memory so that students' thinking abilities are reduced and simply understood as the ability to remember (Herpiana & Rosidin, 2018), whereas they should be oriented towards achieving thinking and solving skills the problem is in accordance with the contents of the 2013 Curriculum. The impact is an evaluation tool used to measure aspects of ordinary cognitive abilities. In other cases, it was also found that although the learning process aimed at training students' critical thinking, in the assessment process the teacher did not use the instrument of critical thinking to measure learning outcomes according to the learning process intended (Sudrajat et al., 2018). Whereas learning assessment is one of the elements in learning used to determine the extent of the achievement of student competencies and the effectiveness of the learning process undertaken to achieve learning objectives (William, 2013). Assessment is an inseparable part of the learning process. Learning assessment is important so that students know what they have learned or show what they have not learned (Jabbarifar, 2009).

Test instruments to measure critical thinking skills have been developed by experts and researchers before, including; California Critical Thinking Skill Test (Facione, 1990), California Critical Thinking Disposition Inventory (Facione & Facione, 1992), Watson-Glaser Critical Thinking Appraisal (Watson & Glaser, 1980), Ennis-Weir Critical Thinking Essay Test (Ennis & Weir, 1985), Cornell Critical Thinking Test (Ennis, Millman, & Tomko, 1985), Halper Critical Thinking Assessment Manual (Halpern, 2010), and many others. However, to date the multivariate nature of the definitions offered for critical thinking, the assessment of critical thinking, their level of generality or specificity, and their practical impact on broader academic achievement is still being debated (Liu et al., 2014). According to Lai (2011), these instruments vary greatly in purpose and format, and critical thinking assessments tend to be general in nature. Norris (1989) has long argued that the facts about the level of uniqueness in critical thinking have not been resolved because of the many theories in different views, making measurement and evaluation of critical thinking difficult. First, the type of a person's conclusions is still unclear to the extent that researchers cannot agree whether critical thinking is a general or special subject. Second, it is difficult to assess the transfer of critical thinking with other subjects, because transfer to other contexts may be different from the specificity of knowledge in critical thinking. Thus, despite the fact that previous researchers or experts have identified critical thinking skills or abilities and dispositions that differ from one another, it turns out to describe separate effects and the use of difficult judgments in practice (Prayogi, 2019). Therefore, the measurement of critical thinking in a more specific context needs to be

done and the instrument used as an important measurement tool to be developed, in this study is the instrument for measuring critical thinking skills in fluid material. The instrument for measuring critical thinking skills developed was tested for its validity (content and construct), and its reliability.

The purpose of this study, namely: a) describe the characteristics of test instruments for the measurement of students' critical thinking skills on fluid material, and b) describe the validity and reliability of instruments measuring students' critical thinking skills on fluid material. The test instrument was developed based on critical thinking indicators that have been used by researchers previously, namely in the aspects of analysis, inference, evaluation, and decision making (Prayogi et al., 2017; Prayogi et al., 2018; Prayogi et al., 2019; Prayogi, 2019; Wahyudi et al., 2019; Verawati et al., 2019). The results of this study can be a reference in the development of test instruments to measure critical thinking skills.

METHOD

This research and development (R & D) study aimed to develop an instrument to measure students' critical thinking skills. According to Borg and Gall (1983), a R & D study involves 10 stages of research, that are research and information collecting, planning, develop preliminary form of product, preliminary field testing, main product revision, main field testing, operational product revision, operational field testing, final product revisions, and dissemination. In accordance with the needs of this research, adaptation and modification are carried out into 5 stages of research, namely: 1) information collecting, includes literature review and preparation of the subject matter; 2) planning, includes defining and formulating objectives; 3) develop preliminary form of instrumen test product; 4) preliminary field testing (expert validation); and 5) main product revision in accordance with the recommendations in the preliminary field testing. The research phase is summarized in Figure 1.




Figure 1. The research stage is the development of the test instrument

Information collecting includes reviewing the literature, preliminary observations, and determination of the subject matter, this is done to determine the learning needs associated with the plan to develop instruments for measuring students' critical thinking abilities. The instrument development planning includes defining and formulating objectives. Next, the researcher designed the draft of test instrument in Bahasa Indonesia to measure students' critical thinking skills and then validated it. Validation contains two elements of validity, namely content validity and construct validity. The instrument developed was validated by 2 validators, with the validator criteria experts in the field of physics and at least had conducted studies on

critical thinking. Suggestions and input from the validator in the next will be followed up to improve the instruments developed. The validity of the instrument by experts shows the quality of the instrument in terms of content and construct validity. Content validity refers to the extent to which the test measures the domain of content to be measured. There are three aspects of content validity: domain definition (operational definition of the content domain), domain representation (a test match between content and cognitive specifications), and domain relevance (relevance of test items to content domains) (Sireci, 1998). While the construct validity refers to the extent to which the operationalization of the construct is defined by a theory (Cronbach & Meehl, 1955). Reliability tests were also conducted and calculated using the equation of percentage of agreement by Emmer and Millett in Borich (1994), the instrument is said to be reliable if it has a match percentage of $\geq 75\%$. If the match percentage is high, then the instrument is said to be reliable. Reliability shows the level of consistency of the instrument based on observers' judgment in this context is the validator.

$$\text{Percentage of Agreement} = 100 \left(1 - \frac{A-B}{A+B} \right)$$

annotation:

A = The frequency of the aspects observed by the observer by giving a high frequency

B = The frequency of the aspects observed by other observers by giving a low frequency

The data of validation result were analyzed descriptively qualitatively, that is, by averaging the scores obtained from the validators. Validity assessment uses a scale of 5 (highest score 5, lowest score 1). Scores obtained from expert judgment are then converted into qualitative data and categorized as in Table 1.

Table 1. Conversion of quantitative data into categories (Prayogi, 2019)

Interval Score		Category
$X > X_i + 1,8 Sbi$	$X > 4,21$	very good
$X_i + 0,6 Sbi < X \leq X_i + 1,8 Sbi$	$3,40 < X \leq 4,21$	good
$X_i - 0,6 Sbi < X \leq X_i + 0,6 Sbi$	$2,60 < X \leq 3,40$	enough
$X_i - 1,8 Sbi < X \leq X_i - 0,6 Sbi$	$1,79 < X \leq 2,60$	less
$X \leq X_i - 1,8 Sbi$	$X \leq 1,79$	not good

annotation: X (empirical score), X_i (mean ideal), Sbi (ideal deviation standard)

The level of validity (V_a) is determined by calculating the average score of indicators and aspects for each expert, by adapting the interval of values in Table 1, then the level of instrument validity as in Table 2.

Table 2. Level of instrumen validity (Prayogi, 2019)

Interval Score	Category
$V_a > 4,21$	very valid
$3,40 < V_a \leq 4,21$	valid
$2,60 < V_a \leq 3,40$	enough valid
$1,79 < V_a \leq 2,60$	less valid
$V_a \leq 1,79$	not valid

The instrument for measuring critical thinking skills is said to have a good degree of validity, if the minimum level of validity achieved is valid. If the achievement level of validity is under valid, a revision is necessary.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Characteristics of test instruments for the measurement of critical thinking skills

Characteristics of test instruments for the measurement of critical thinking skills in this study are based on theoretical studies from experts who develop high-level thinking instruments. In general, the preparation of assessment instruments generally involves three

things, namely: a) clearly determining what will be assessed; b) arrange test assignments or questions; and c) determine the criteria for mastery of the thing being assessed. In this study the assessed context, test questions, and mastery criteria were judged to focus on critical thinking skills. Furthermore, according to Brookhart (2010), in the preparation of high-level thinking assessment instruments, there are three things that need to be considered, namely: a) using stimulus; b) use a new context; and c) distinguish between the level of difficulty and complexity of the thought process. Test instruments to measure high-level thinking according to Scully (2017) can be arranged by taking into account the following matters: a) manipulation of target verbs in accordance with the aspects measured, b) item flipping, meaning items simple questions can be modified (reversed) for example by presenting specific examples in the problem bar, and asking respondents to identify the rules or concepts underlying them, c) the use of high quality distractors, and d) tapping multiple neurons, meaning presenting several items content where the instrument can be a stimulus that allows the interconnection between knowledge. Elaboration of existing theories, the determination of the characteristics of the critical thinking skills test instrument in this study refers to the following things.

- a. The suitability of the content domain to be measured and assessed. This research has determined the critical thinking skill as an aspect to be measured in fluid material, namely on the indicators of analysis, inference, evaluation, and decision making. Operationally the skill to think critically on the aspect of analyzing is the process of actually identifying the relationship between statements, concepts, and descriptions of a situation or phenomenon, and describing reasons as a form of representation to express opinions and beliefs. Referencing is the process of concluding information correctly using logical reasoning from data, statements, principles, concepts, or other forms of representation. Evaluating is the process of evaluating the credibility of a statement, description, experience, situation, or opinion, as well as describing the reasons for the evaluation. Making a decision is the process of choosing choices or actions between a set of alternatives based on criteria or strategy.
- b. Item compatibility with critical thinking indicators. 14 questions were developed for fluid matter to accommodate the critical thinking indicators that are used as a reference for the preparation of items. One example problem to measure the critical thinking skill on the indicator analysis as in Figure 2.
- c. Give a stimulus in which the problem presented is closely related to the real-world context. On the subject of fluid matter, for example, in rivers, objects are often found in a floating position on the water, partially submerged, floating in water, and even submerged entirely in the riverbed. This case is then elaborated into the idea of preparing critical thinking skills items that measure analytical skills in the sub-subject matter of density, where students are asked to analyze the state of objects immersed in water with different conditions based on their density and determine the position of each object immersed. This problem requires the critical thinking skill in this context of analysis, because it is not possible for students to determine the position of each object if it cannot analyze the state of an object based on its density. The item construction of the critical thinking skill instrument in the aspect of analysis as in Figure 2.

Berikut ditampilkan lima densitas atau massa jenis benda yang dicelupkan ke dalam bak berisi air (perhatikan gambar di samping!)

- a. $0,85 \text{ g/cm}^3$
- b. $0,95 \text{ g/cm}^3$
- c. $1,05 \text{ g/cm}^3$
- d. $1,15 \text{ g/cm}^3$
- e. $1,25 \text{ g/cm}^3$

Massa jenis air adalah $1,0 \text{ g/cm}^3$. Diagram pada gambar menunjukkan enam kemungkinan posisi kelima benda jika dimasukkan ke dalam bak berisi air tersebut. Analisislah keadaan benda dengan memilih posisi dari 1-6 untuk kelima benda, berikan alasanmu!




Figure 2. The example of test instrumen in analysis aspect

The validity and reliability of the test instruments measure critical thinking skills

The critical thinking skills test instrument that has been compiled is tested for its validity and reliability. Validity test includes content validity and construct validity. Content validity according to Sireci (1998), i.e. "...refers to the degree to which a test measures the content domain it purports to measure". There are three aspects of content validity: domain definition (operational definition of content domain), domain representation (how well a test matches its content and cognitive specification), and domain relevance (relevance of each test item to the content domain). Content validity refers to the extent to which the test measures the domain of content to be measured. While the construct validity according to Cronbach and Meehl (1955), i.e. "...refers to the extent to which operationalizations of a construct are defined by a theory," its means that the construct validity refers to the extent to which the operationalization of the construct is defined by a theory. Reliability shows the level of consistency of the instrument based on observers' judgment in this context is the validator. Reliability is calculated using the percentage of agreement equation. The validation sheets are developed as Figure 3, Figure 4, Figure 5, and Figure 6 (examples for analysis indicators). Figure 3 explains the critical thinking skills test instrument, Figure 4 and Figure 5 explain aspects of content validity, and Figure 6 explain of construct validity.

1. Here are shown the density of five objects dipped in a tub of water (see the figure on the side!)

- $0,85 \text{ g/cm}^3$
- $0,95 \text{ g/cm}^3$
- $1,05 \text{ g/cm}^3$
- $1,15 \text{ g/cm}^3$
- $1,25 \text{ g/cm}^3$



The density of water is 1.0 g/cm^3 . The diagram in the figure shows the six possible positions of the five objects if inserted into the tub of water. Analyze the state of objects by selecting positions from 1-6 for the five objects, give your reasons!

2. According to research data, atmospheric pressure is proportional to $100,000 \text{ Pa}$, this means that the weight of the earth's atmosphere is proportional to $100,000 \text{ N}$ per meter- square on earth and the pressure is proportional to the weight of a minibus. Our body is surrounded by this pressure every day. Why is our body not destroyed by this pressure?

3. The large cross section of a hydraulic pump has a surface area of 50 times the small cross section of a hydraulic pump. A stocky man wants to provide enough force to lift an object placed on a small cross section. If the mass of the object is 10 kg , according to your analysis can the man give a strong force to lift the object at a small cross section?



4. The mass of an aluminum beam is 25.0 gr . (a) Analyze how much is the volume? (b) how much the tension of the rope that holds the beam when the beam is fully submerged in water? The density of aluminum is $2700. \text{ kg/m}^3$.




Figure 3. Critical thinking skills test instrument for analysis aspect

The aspects to be assessed: Content validity (domain definition)

The test instrument presents statements, data, phenomena, facts, or laws that enable students to conduct an analysis (the process of actually identifying the relationship between statements, concepts, and descriptions of a situation or phenomenon, and describing reasons as a form of representation to express opinions and beliefs).

Item test number 1					Item test number 2				
<i>not valid</i>				<i>very valid</i>	<i>not valid</i>				<i>very valid</i>
1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>

Item test number 3					Item test number 4				
<i>not valid</i>				<i>very valid</i>	<i>not valid</i>				<i>very valid</i>
1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>

Figure 4. The validation sheet in the aspect of content validity (domain definition)

The aspects to be assessed: Content validity (domain relevance and representation)

The test instruments that was developed are relevant and represent the content of the analysis.

Item test number 1					Item test number 2				
<i>not valid</i>				<i>very valid</i>	<i>not valid</i>				<i>very valid</i>
1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>

Item test number 3					Item test number 4				
<i>not valid</i>				<i>very valid</i>	<i>not valid</i>				<i>very valid</i>
1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>

Figure 5. The validation sheet in the aspect of content validity (domain relevance and representation)

The aspects to be assessed: Construct validity

The test instrument that was developed factually contains the correctness of the concept in terms of theory.

Item test number 1					Item test number 2				
<i>not valid</i>				<i>very valid</i>	<i>not valid</i>				<i>very valid</i>
1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>

Item test number 3					Item test number 4				
<i>not valid</i>				<i>very valid</i>	<i>not valid</i>				<i>very valid</i>
1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>

Figure 6. The validation sheet in the aspect of construct validity

The results of the validity and reliability tests of the instrumen that was developed are presented in Table 3.

Table 3. The results of the validity and reliability tests of the instrumen

Indicator	Items	Content Validity				Mean	Construct Validity		Mean
		DD		DRR			V ₁	V ₂	
		V ₁	V ₂	V ₁	V ₂				
Analysis	1	4	2	4	5	3.75	5	5	5
	2	4	4	5	5	4.5	5	5	5

Indicator	Items	Content Validity				Mean	Construct Validity		Mean
		DD		DRR			V ₁	V ₂	
		V ₁	V ₂	V ₁	V ₂				
	3	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	
	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	
	mean					4.56		5	
Inference	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	
	6	5	5	5	5	5	5	4.5	
	7	4	5	4	5	4.5	4	4.5	
	8	4	5	4	5	4.5	4	4.5	
	mean					4.75		4.63	
Evaluation	9	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	
	10	3	5	3	5	4	3	4	
	11	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	
	12	3	5	4	4	4	4	4.5	
	mean					4.5		4.63	
Decision making	13	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	
	14	4	5	4	5	4.5	4	4.5	
	mean					4.75		4.75	
	mean score					4.75		4.75	
	mean score of each item validity					4.63		4.75	
	mean score of validity (Va)					4.69 (very valid)			
	Percentage of agreement					98,7% (reliable)			

annotation: DD (domain definition), DRR (domain representation and relevance), V (validator)

In recent years, there has been increased recognition of the role of measuring instruments in the assessment process in education. That is, in addition to providing evaluative information about a student, assessment can and must also function as a mechanism to assist learning to achieve desired learning goals (Wiliam, 2013). As specific objectives are established in learning, specific instruments are developed for the intended purpose. For example, learning objectives towards learning outcomes of critical thinking skills, the measurement and assessment instruments must also be oriented towards critical thinking skills. In compiling an instrument, it is not enough just to determine the topic or material to be assessed, but it is also necessary to determine more specifically what thought processes will be assessed for certain materials. Criteria for measuring critical thinking instruments have been established in this study, namely the suitability of the content domain to be measured and assessed in critical thinking, the suitability of the item items with indicators of critical thinking, and the instrument items can provide a stimulus in which the questions presented are closely related to the real world context. Critical thinking skills criteria are set in this research, namely on aspects of analysis, inference, evaluation, and making decisions. Furthermore, the instrument item construction format is in the form of essay questions, this is in accordance with the suggestion from Brookhart (2010) that the measurement of high-level thinking including critical thinking can use two item item formats, namely essay questions or performance assessment. Explanation in students' answers can provide more valid information about their critical thinking abilities, so that the evaluator in this case the teacher can give an interpretation of the level of critical thinking students.

Accommodating the criteria for the preparation of instruments for measuring critical thinking skills as explained earlier, the instrument for thinking critical thinking skills has been

developed and compiled in the form of essay questions. A total of 14 test items were developed to accommodate the four indicators of critical thinking set in this study. The instruments compiled were then validated (content and construct) by two validators. Validation results show the average score for each component, namely the content validity of 4.63 and construct validity of 4.75. The average score which is the final result of the instrument validation is 4.69 categorized as very valid (very valid if: $V_a > 4.21$), a percentage of agreement rating of the validators is 98.7% with reliable criteria.

The average score of content validity on aspects of analysis, inference, evaluation, and making decisions in a row is 4.56, 4.75, 4.5, and 4.75, all with very valid criteria. These results indicate that the instrument is able to measure the content domain to be measured, in this context is the content of critical thinking. A more specific meaning is that the test instruments developed are in accordance with the operational definitions of the aspects of critical thinking that are measured as well as, are relevant and represent questions of critical thinking. The average construct validity score on aspects of analysis, inference, evaluation, and making decisions in a row of 5, 4.63, 4.63, and 4.75, all with very valid criteria. That is, the operationalization of the instrument item developed construct is based on theory. The developed instrument has also been reliable, this is indicated by the percentage of compatibility by two validators of 98.7%. In accordance with the objectives to be achieved, the instrument has been developed in measuring the critical thinking skill and has been declared valid and reliable.

CONCLUSION

The instrument for measuring critical thinking skills was developed with criteria; a) the suitability of the content domain to be measured and assessed in critical thinking, b) the suitability of the item items with the critical thinking indicator, and c) the instrument items can provide a stimulus where the questions presented are closely related to the real world context. The measurement instruments of critical thinking skills developed have been valid in content and construct, as well as reliable based on the validator's instrument rating. Therefore, it can be used to measure students' critical thinking skills on fluid material.

RECOMMENDATION

The instruments developed need to be empirically tested in class in high school students and their empirical validity is calculated, the results will be a strong support for the results of the content and construct validity tests that have been obtained previously.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

REFERENCES

- Borg, W. R., & Gall, M. D. (1983). *Educational research: An introduction (4th ed)*. White Plains, NY: Longman Inc.
- Borich, G. D. (1994). *Observation skills for effective teaching*. Columbus, OH: Merrill
- Brookhart, S. M. (2010). *How to assess higher-order thinking in your classroom*. Virginia: ASCD Publication.
- Butler, H. A. (2012). Halpern critical thinking assessment predicts real-world outcomes of critical thinking. *Applied Cognitive Psychology*, 25(5), 721-729.
- Cronbach, L. J., & Meehl, P. E. (1955). Construct validity in psychological tests. *Psychological Bulletin*, 52(4), 281-302.
- Ennis, R. H., & Weir, E. (1985). *The Ennis -Weir Critical Thinking Essay Test*. Pacific Grove, CA: Midwest Publications.

- Ennis, R. H., Millman, J., & Tomko, T. N. (1985). *Cornell Critical Thinking Tests*. Pacific Grove, CA: Midwest Publications.
- Facione, P. A. (1990). *The California Critical Thinking Skills Test-college level. Technical report #2. Factors predictive of CT skills*. Millbrae, CA: California Academic Press.
- Facione, P. A., & Facione, N. C. (1992). *The California Critical Thinking Dispositions Inventory*. Millbrae, CA: California Academic Press.
- Facione, P. (2011). *Critical thinking. What it is and why its counts*. Millbrae, CA: The California Academic Press.
- Halpern, D. F. (2010). *Halpern Critical Thinking Assessment manual*. Vienna, Austria: Schuhfried GmbH.
- Herpiana, R. & Rosidin, U. (2018). Development of instrument for assessing students' critical and creative thinking ability. *J. Phys.: Conf. Ser.* 948, 1-7.
- Horn, S. & Veermans, K. (2019). Critical thinking efficacy and transfer skills defend against 'fake news' at an international school in Finland. *Journal of Research in International Education*, 18(1), 1-19.
- Jabbarifar, T. (2009). The importance of classroom assessment and evaluation in educational system. In *Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference of Teaching and Learning* (pp. 1–9). Malaysia: INTI University College.
- Lai, E. (2011). *Critical thinking: A literatur review*. Pearson Research Reports. Retrieved from [http://images.pearsonassessments.com/CriticalThinking ReviewFINAL.pdf](http://images.pearsonassessments.com/CriticalThinking%20ReviewFINAL.pdf)
- Liu, O. L., Frankel, L., & Roohr, K.C. (2014). Assessing critical thinking in higher education: Current state and directions for next-generation assessment. *ETS Research Report*, 14(10), 1-23.
- Megawati, Wardani, A. K., & Hartatiana. (2020). Kemampuan berpikir tingkat tinggi siswa SMP dalam menyelesaikan soal matematika model PISA. *Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*, 14(1): 15-24.
- Mullis, I. V. S., Martin, M. O., Foy, P., & Hooper, M. (2015). *TIMSS 2015 international results*. Boston: TIMSS & PIRLS International Study Center.
- Norris, S. (1989). Can we test validly for critical thinking? *Educational Researcher*, 18(9), 21-26.
- Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. (2017). *PISA 2015 results: Collaborative problem solving* (Volume V). Paris: OECD Publishing.
- Partnership for 21st Century Skills. (2002). *Learning for the 21st century: A report and mile guide for 21st century skills*. Tucson, AZ: Author.
- Prayogi, S., Yuanita, L. & Wasis. (2017). Critical-Inquiry-Based-Learning: Model of learning to promote critical thinking ability of pre-service teachers. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* 947, 1-6, doi:10.1088/1742-6596/947/1/012013
- Prayogi, S., Yuanita, L. & Wasis. (2018). Critical-Inquiry-Based-Learning: A model of learning to promote critical thinking among prospective teachers of physic. *Journal of Turkish Science Education*, 15(1), 43-56.
- Prayogi, S., Muhali, Yuliyanti, S., Asy'ari, M., Azmi, I. & Verawati, N. N. S. P. (2019). The effect of presenting anomalous data on improving student's critical thinking ability. *International Journal of Emerging Technologies in Learning*, 14(6), 133-137.
- Prayogi, S. (2019). Pengembangan model pembelajaran Critical-Inquiry-Based-Learning untuk meningkatkan kemampuan berpikir kritis mahasiswa. *Disertasi*. Surabaya: Universitas Negeri Surabaya.
- Scully, D. (2017). Constructing multiple-choice items to measure higher-order thinking. *Practical Assessment, Research & Evaluation*, 22(4), 1-13.
- Sireci, S. G. (1998). Gathering and analyzing content validity. *Educational Assessment* 5(4), 299-321.
- Sudrajat, A.K., Saptasari, M. & Tenzer, A. (2018). Developing formative assessment instruments to measure students' critical thinking ability in human circulatory system

- material for 11th grade students of UM High School Laboratorium. *Jurnal Penelitian Pendidikan*, 18(3), 243-251.
- Verawati, N. N. S. P., Prayogi, S., Gummah, S., Muliadi, A. & Yusup, M. Y. (2019). The effect of conflict-cognitive strategy in inquiry learning towards pre-service teachers' critical thinking ability. *Jurnal Pendidikan IPA Indonesia*, 8(4), 529-537
- Wahyudi, Verawati, N. N. S. P., Ayub, S., & Prayogi, S. (2019). The effect of scientific creativity in inquiry learning to promote critical thinking ability of prospective teachers. *International Journal of Emerging Technologies in Learning*, 14(14), 122-131.
- Watson, G., & Glaser, E. M. (1980). *Watson-Glaser Critical Thinking Appraisal, forms A and B manual*. San Antonio, TX: The Psychological Corporation.
- Wiliam, D. (2013). Assessment: The bridge between teaching and learning. *Voices from the Middle*, 21(2), 15-20.